Footn ote / Figure	Description (Short)	Disposition
[A1]	CEQA Appx G (Wildfire); §15126.2(a) – hazards/evac uation	No – statute
[A2]	PRC §4290; 14 CCR §§1270.00 et seq. – State Minimum Fire Safe	No – statute
[B1]	\$15124; PRC \$4290; 14 CCR \$\$1270.00 et seq.; PRC \$21168.5	No – statute
[B2]	14 CCR §1270.01(i) – Driveway (≤4 res.; no commercial)	No – statute
[B3]	14 CCR \$1270.01(y) – Road (includes commercial)	No – statute
[B4]	14 CCR §1273.06 – Turnouts supplement, not replace width	No – statute

[B5] IS/MND (Apr Yes-2025) p.12 **Exhibit File** (16-ft width; 00 (MND) 15-ft gate); p.73 (WDF-1) [B6] IS/MND (Apr Yes-**Exhibit File** 2025) p.73 -'commercial 00 (MND) driveway' mitigation [B7] 14 CCR No – statute §1270.01(y) -Road definition [B8] Friends of B No-case Street; San law Joaquin Raptor prejudicial misstatement S [C1] PRC §4290; Nostatute/cas §15126.2(a); League to e law Save Lake Tahoe v. Placer (2022) [C2] Yes-14 CCR **Exhibit File** §1273.01(a) (20-ft) & 00 (MND); IS/MND p.12 No – statute (16-ft width; 15-ft gate) [C3] 14 CCR No – statute §1273.04(a) -≥50-ft inside

turning radius

[C4] **14 CCR** Yes-**Exhibit File** §1273.03(a) -00 (MND); ≤16% grade; No – statute IS/MND pp.13,96 (0-50% slopes) [C5] 14 CCR Yes-**Exhibit File** §1273.01(c) -00 (MND); all-weather 40,000 lbs; No – statute IS/MND p.13 (gravel) [C6] PC Hearing No URL UP 21-07 (Dec 12, 2024) 1:18:45 - staff limits 4290 to on-site [C7] PC Hearing No URL UP 21-07 (Mar 22, 2025) 0:21:48 -'historically applied 4290 to parcels' Figure Aerial Yes – 01 Poverty Flats 4290 Violations Aerial Imagery and C2 imagery: **Include in** Parcel Viewer.pdf non-complian this chapter t access curves below 4290 Figure Parcel Viewer Yes -01 Poverty Flats 4290 Violations Aerial Imagery and C3 slope overlay Parcel Viewer.pdf **Include in** showing this chapter >16% segments [D1] 14 CCR No - statute §1273.07 -

Undeveloped strategic ridgelines [D2] §15126.2(a); Nostatute/cas League to Save Lake e law Tahoe v. Placer (2022) [D3] IS/MND Yes-**Exhibit File** pp.13,33 -00 (MND) VHFHSZ; Ranch Fire history [D4] Historic use Noof ridgeline for reference defense (general) (Mendo Complex, Forks, Glen Haven) [D5] Press No-URL/referen Democrat (Kent Porter се photo) caption re: Ranch Fire [D6] Lake County Yes - URL https://museums.lakecountyca.gov/DocumentCe CWPP (2009) nter/View/4715/Lake-County-CWPP-PDF Link – HV Rd shaded fuelbreak (Priority 1) Yes – URL [D7] https://museums.lakecountyca.gov/DocumentCe Lake County **CWPP** nter/View/14037/Lake-County-Community-(2023/update Wildfire-Protection-Plan-042225 d 2025) -

retains/elevat

es HV fuel break [D8] CWPP (2009) Yes – URL https://museums.lakecountyca.gov/DocumentCe p5-2 – shaded nter/View/4715/Lake-County-CWPP-PDF fuelbreak along High Valley Rd quote No – URL [D9] CalFire Update video reference (Aug 6, 2018) ops along High Valley Rd [D10] YouTube: No-URL backburn/torc reference hing downslope from ridgeline Figure Incident Map 02 Incident Map Mendocino Complex Fire Yes – D1 - HV Rd as **Include in** strategic this chapter ridgeline Figure Photo – HV Rd Yes – 02 Incident Map Mendocino Complex Fire.pdf D2 strategic **Include in** importance this chapter Figure CalFire Ops Yes -02 Incident Map Mendocino Complex Fire.pdf D3 Chief briefing **Include in** - HV Rd this chapter operations Figure Backburn/tor Yes – 02 Incident Map Mendocino Complex Fire.pdf D4 ching along **Include in** ridgeline this chapter (imagery) Figure Facebook Yes -02 Incident Map Mendocino Complex Fire.pdf D5 posts: Ranch **Include in** Fire on this chapter

Springs Rd [E1] **14 CCR** No – statute §1273.08(a) -Dead-end ≤1 mile (commercial) [E2] **USFS** closure Partial -Original USFS bulletin Mentioned in the Mendocino practices on <mark>attach</mark> Voice Article is no longer available on the agency website as of 10/13/2025." High Valley Rd specific (2015-2025)**closure** <mark>orders</mark> [E3] Lake County Nowildfire reference history (general) (Mendo Complex, Forks, Glen Haven) Figure **USFS** Yes – Original USFS bulletin Mentioned in the Mendocino E1 extended **Include in** Voice Article is no longer available on the agency website as of 10/13/2025." closure this chapter map/screens hot – HV Rd [F1] Appendix G & No – statute §15126.2(a) evac/emergen cy hazards analysis [F2] Golden Door No-case v. San Diego law

Highland

(2020) – no deferred mitigation [F3] IS/MND (Apr Yes-25, 2025 **Exhibit File** redline) -00 (MND) 110-trip screen; no evac modeling [F4] League to No-case Save Lake law Tahoe v. Placer (2022) - evac analysis required [F5] No Oil v. City No-case of LA (1974) law fair argument [F6] Sundstrom v. No-case County of law Mendocino (1988) - nodeferral [F7] §15091 / PRC No – statute §21081 feasibility findings [F8] CA AG No-Wildfire CEQA URL/referen Guidance се (Oct 10, 2022) [F9] Penal Code No-statute §409.5 -

> closure authority; misdemeanor

[F10] Lake County No-URL News & Ukiah reference Daily Journal evac/arrest coverage [F11] Resident 11 Neighbor Testimony Yesletter: **Include in** this chapter shelter-in-pla ce; threatened arrest (Mendo Complex) Figure **Ukiah Daily** Yes – https://www.ukiahdailyjournal.com/2018/08/02/la F1 Journal article **Include in** test-evac-orders-shelter-info-resources-forexcerpt this chapter mendocino-complex-fire-hopland-fire/ mandatory evac & arrest [G1] PRC §4290; Nostatute/cas §15126.2(a); §15126.4(a)(2) e law ; City of Santa Barbara v. SCt [G2] IS/MND Yes-**Exhibit File** pp.12–13, 71– 00 (MND) 74, 92–95; WDF-1; 'less than significant' wildfire [G3] **Public** Yes-Refer to Exhibits from Chapter 2: 02 CHP letter.pdf testimony & **Exhibit File** CHP 00 (hearing/CH comments (2024-2025) -P) County on notice [H1] **PRC** No - statute §21080(d);

§15064(f)(1) – fair-argument requires EIR

[H2] IS/MND cites

Yes –

(pp.12–13,

**Exhibit File** 

71–74, 92–95)

00 (MND)

\_

misclassificat ion; no evac modeling

[H3] Friends of B

No-case

law

Street; San

Joaquin Raptor –

prejudicial omissions