


## Q1 Select the option that best describes your relationship to Upper Lake, CA

Answered: 69 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I live in Upper Lake	73.91%	51
I do not live in Upper Lake, but I work in UL or my kid(s) go to school in Upper Lake	5.80%	4
I do not live in Upper Lake, but I have family that live in Upper Lake	7.25%	5
I do not live in Upper Lake, but I do live in Lake County	13.04%	9
TOTAL		69

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY):	DATE
1	Live in Nice for 43 years	10/27/2025 7:34 AM
2	My kids and there dad live in upper lake and go to school and wrk there I also lived in upperlake for 20 yrs	10/26/2025 4:05 PM
3	I live above upper lake in the Mendocino national Forest	10/26/2025 2:33 PM
4	Item 4 applies could only choose 1	10/26/2025 2:17 PM
5	Upper Lake is my home town. I live in Nice now	10/26/2025 1:14 PM
6	Grandparents of children that attend Upper Lake schools	10/26/2025 12:51 PM

## Q2 How far do you live from the proposed project site at 755 E. Hwy 20, Upper Lake, CA? ("As the crow flies" - Not miles by car)

Answered: 69 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Less than 1 mile (all schools & most of town)	50.72%	35
1-5 miles	27.54%	19
5+ miles	21.74%	15
TOTAL		69

Q3 The proposed BioEnergy project would allow Scotts Valley Energy Corporation to build a wood processing, biochar and Syngas production facility on 42.6 acres of prime agricultural land in Upper Lake. The land was purchased by the County with taxpayer funds to restore the wetlands around Rodman Slough for the Middle Creek Restoration project. The County leased the land to SVEC for \$100/year for this BioEnergy project.

Do you think this is the right location for this project?


Answered: 69 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	4.35%	3
No	95.65%	66
TOTAL		69

## Q4 Please mark all of your concerns about this project:

Answered: 68 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Proximity to residences and schools	88.24%	60
Fire risks	72.06%	49
Noise pollution	86.76%	59
Air pollution	85.29%	58
Visual pollution ("eyesore")	77.94%	53
Proximity to waterways and wetlands	91.18%	62
Public safety of Hwy 20 corridor and increased diesel traffic on Hwy 20 near site	79.41%	54
Loss of prime agricultural land	77.94%	53
Spread of wood disease and invasive species	67.65%	46
Disruption to wildlife	82.35%	56
Changes in property insurance	67.65%	46
Changes in property value	73.53%	50
Lack of transparency / poor project planning / lack of community buy-in	80.88%	55
Land purchased with taxpayer funds for Middle Creek Project	86.76%	59
Lack of information about the project	66.18%	45
Total Respondents: 68		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY):	DATE
1	Not in Upper Lake, go to another location	10/26/2025 10:00 PM
2	All of the above, Hell no	10/26/2025 6:42 PM
3	It'll make the whole place STINK!!	10/26/2025 6:35 PM
4	The shady way this was being pushed through.	10/26/2025 5:51 PM
5	The missing \$14 million that hasn't been accounted for and documented.	10/26/2025 4:34 PM
6	This is just not the right location. Upper lake is a beautiful town with lots of tourist potential. This project will kill the town.	10/26/2025 2:33 PM
7	And it is illegal to use grant money to purchase for a specific reason and then use the land for something else ILLEGAL	10/26/2025 2:32 PM
8	False information about project	10/26/2025 2:16 PM
9	The criminals who put this illegal deal together at the county should all be arrested and prosecuted for fraud, malfeasance. We need a trustworthy agency from outside California to prosecute all of them.	10/26/2025 1:05 PM
10	Long term health risks	10/26/2025 12:51 PM
11	No EIR	10/26/2025 12:40 PM

**Q5 Please provide a comment we can share with the Board of Supervisors regarding your feelings about this project in Upper Lake. You can include your name or remain anonymous. Thank you.**

Answered: 45 Skipped: 24

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	this is the wrong location for this project	10/27/2025 8:52 AM
2	Proposed biochar generation units will create literal tons of wood ash/year per spec sheet. No plans for disposal/mitigation.	10/27/2025 7:53 AM
3	The land was purchased by county taxpayer money for a wetlands project. Allowing commercial operation on that property defeats the purpose of restoring wetlands. It would be a misappropriation of funds for the original purpose that you bought it. That is very deceptive to the taxpayers of Lake County.	10/27/2025 7:22 AM
4	Biochar will have negative health, environmental, and agricultural impacts. It will release dozens of pollutants along with toxins and heavy metals into the water, soil, and air.	10/27/2025 7:14 AM
5	A project like this should be done on privately owned land that is zoned accordingly. Like any business SVEC should acquire their own land, pay market price, and not be allowed to utilize county land for free basically while in turn increasing profits. The simple fact that the land wasn't publicly offered for lease is a crime in itself. Can I lease county land for business development for \$100 per year, NO!	10/27/2025 6:57 AM
6	I don't feel this is the right location for this project	10/27/2025 4:25 AM
7	I moved here 2 yrs ago and bought a house. The air here is clean. I will sue the county if this project messes up our air here. The county will regret the headaches that they will get if this project goes forward.	10/27/2025 4:15 AM
8	Put your industrial project on lands zoned for industrial use.	10/26/2025 11:26 PM
9	Go to a less populated area. This is going to be a eyesore.	10/26/2025 10:00 PM
10	The biochar project is two scams in one. The first scam is Woodbridge energy is essentially a fake company trying to legitimize itself with non-existent corporate officers and technology it's never used before. It's a 21st century version of The Rainmaker scam being foisted upon the people of Lake County. The second scam is the Community Development trying to sell or lease land it does not have the legal authority to sell or lease. The County cannot sell or lease the land unless they have permission from the state and the state has not given the County permission. This is a 21st century version of the Brooklyn Bridge scam.	10/26/2025 9:43 PM
11	HELL NO	10/26/2025 6:42 PM
12	Find somewhere away from population and also away from a pristine nature area. Can you put that thing in upper lake you'll be screwing up big time. And it will mess up what tourism life there is.	10/26/2025 6:35 PM
13	A biochar project is not necessarily bad, but this one is in a bad location. The terms of the grant used to purchased the project land must be followed: conservation easement must be placed and the land can't be leased without the state's permission--according to grant terms.	10/26/2025 6:24 PM
14	It is inappropriate to put this facility so close to people's work, school and homes. We suffer while someone's pockets are being filled. I have asthma and thus will force me to sell my home and move. I love it here.	10/26/2025 5:51 PM
15	I grew up in upper lake and have family that currently reside. Taking away from the small country town as well as adding unnecessary pollution. Not a good idea. Please reconsider.	10/26/2025 5:40 PM
16	This project is bad for our community. Bad for our children and the future of Upper Lake!	10/26/2025 5:39 PM

## Upper Lake Biochar Project Community Feedback

SurveyMonkey

17	It's ridiculous they used taxpayer funds without taxpayer appro	10/26/2025 5:11 PM
18	Finally an employment opportunity for the area.	10/26/2025 4:48 PM
19	Supervisor Crandell has ignored representing Upper Lake completely on the BioChar plant. Please listen to the residents of Upper Lake. THIS PROJECT DOES NOT AND SHOULD NOT BE LOCATED IN UPPER LAKE FOR ALL OF THE ABOVE REASONS IN ITEM 4!	10/26/2025 4:34 PM
20	The fact that it does not bring many jobs to the community does not make sense with the noise pollution it is going to give off	10/26/2025 4:19 PM
21	Wrong location...	10/26/2025 4:11 PM
22	This project will do nothing for the town and potentially a lot of negative effects extremely irresponsible planning as usual	10/26/2025 3:58 PM
23	Who's making money from this? Why not control burn and mange forest land in place? There's already one of these plants in Scott's valley, why not send more material there or expand that plant rather than build an additional facility? I haven't heard from a single person who believes this would be in the best interest of the community it would impact.	10/26/2025 3:26 PM
24	Tribes have or can acquire their own land for this type of industry, this ag land was purchased for a specific purpose for returning to bare land, it should be left at that and NOT changed for other uses.	10/26/2025 3:14 PM
25	I think this is a horrible idea for our community, children and livelihood. Its dangerous and we don't want it.	10/26/2025 3:14 PM
26	Why would we wanna risk one of our best fishing exploring areas in Lake County? We live here. These people do not. Please don't do this on that property. Thank you.	10/26/2025 3:05 PM
27	Build it somewhere else tryna come in and cause pollution	10/26/2025 3:03 PM
28	I live across the hwy from there i do not want it next to my house and property. I have walnut trees that i do not want possibly be infected. Daniel Gard	10/26/2025 3:03 PM
29	Too close to SCHOOLS and TOWN!	10/26/2025 2:59 PM
30	Too many reasons against the plant being built and used on this property.	10/26/2025 2:53 PM
31	This is ridiculous and I am Firmly against this disaster in our Little Agriculture Town	10/26/2025 2:43 PM
32	This project will be the death of tourism in upper lake. Find a more appropriate location geared to industry. NOT TOURISM! (Lloyd Seevers)	10/26/2025 2:33 PM
33	What you up continuing to push through is noticed And recognized by the citizens of Lake County that this is completely illegal. All residents in Upper Lake watching exactly what their supervisor is doing conducting illegal activity and may not be supported in the next election. There was no value in putting the residences of Upper Lake at risk to fire damage. When there are fire department only runs Monday through Friday.	10/26/2025 2:32 PM
34	Saying No! To this project here is the right decision.Even if it starts smaller,they will want More, take more.It can't be undone, if it is started. It would,will be a giant black mark on this little town..literally.Just traffic, trucks,alone, would make this a nightmare.Its bad enough with our two lanes in the county.So many accidents,and distracted drivers.That area is prime for a big fat mess regularly, if this plant entry is right here.Thereare other places to put this Biochar. AND,MUCH MORE APPROPRIATELY, WAY OUT OF A TOWN THANK YOU.HOPEFULYOU WILL ALL SEE THIS BIOCHAR DOESNT BEIONG HERE AND VOTE IT DOWN.DO THE RIGHT THING, PLEASE. From Upper Lake Lifer, Senior	10/26/2025 2:32 PM
35	This project was a terrible idea that definately does not have the community of Upper Lake in the Vision. Should not be built in close proximity to schools, watershed, residential. It was bought with Taxpayer money to preserve the Wetlands and this is the opposite of that.	10/26/2025 2:23 PM
36	What about this project makes you think it's appropriate	10/26/2025 2:17 PM
37	This project is not in line with what this plot of land was intended to be used for.	10/26/2025 2:16 PM
38	This project is NOT qualified or SAFE to be considered!!! NO TO Biochar!!	10/26/2025 1:54 PM
39	Living in such close proximity really makes me uncomfortable. It's the absolute worst location,	10/26/2025 1:43 PM

there are significant accountability to taxpayers from a fiscal perspective. The entire project needs to be stopped, correct the convenient omissions (as set forth per conditions of original terms of sale).

40	Larry Kahn	10/26/2025 1:30 PM
41	The criminals who put this illegal deal together at the county should all be arrested and prosecuted for fraud, malfeasance. We need a trustworthy agency from outside California to prosecute all of them.	10/26/2025 1:05 PM
42	I'm most concerned about the location close to schools. Air pollution and long term exposure. Very concerned about my grandchildren's safety and health. I feel this is not the right location.	10/26/2025 12:51 PM
43	Please enforce the grant requirements and come into compliance	10/26/2025 12:40 PM
44	The lot was purchased to protect n restore wetlands and was specific in the purchase that it was not for development	10/26/2025 12:26 PM
45	I'm against this project. The project manager is a fraud and use the SV Tribe to receive grants to fill his and his out of county partners pockets with the grant money received for the tribes projects.	10/26/2025 12:10 PM