
**BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT FOR THE
CANNABIS CULTIVATION OPERATION AT
11250 CERRITO DRIVE, CLEARLAKE OAKS, CALIFORNIA**



January 13, 2021

Applicant:

Monte Cristo Vineyards, LLC
11250 Cerrito Drive, Clearlake Oaks, CA

Prepared by:

G.O. Graening, PhD and Tim Nosal, MS
Natural Investigations Company, Inc.
3104 O Street, #221, Sacramento, CA 95816

 NATURAL INVESTIGATIONS CO.
WWW.NATURALINVESTIGATIONS.COM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	2
1.1. PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	2
1.2. SCOPE OF ASSESSMENT	2
1.3. REGULATORY SETTING.....	3
1.3.1. Special-status Species Regulations	3
1.3.2. Water Resource Protection.....	4
1.3.3. Tree Protection	5
2. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	6
3. METHODOLOGY.....	6
3.1. PRELIMINARY DATA GATHERING AND RESEARCH	6
3.2. FIELD SURVEY.....	6
3.3. MAPPING AND OTHER ANALYSES	7
4. RESULTS	8
4.1. INVENTORY OF FLORA AND FAUNA FROM FIELD SURVEY.....	8
4.2. VEGETATION COMMUNITIES AND WILDLIFE HABITAT TYPES	8
4.2.1. Terrestrial Vegetation Communities	8
4.2.2. Wildlife Habitat Types	9
4.2.3. Critical Habitat and Special-status Habitat	9
4.2.4. Habitat Plans and Wildlife Corridors	9
4.3. LISTED SPECIES AND OTHER SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES.....	9
4.3.1. Reported Occurrences of Listed Species and Other Special-status Species	10
4.3.2. Listed Species or Special-status Species Observed During Field Survey	14
4.3.3. Potential for Listed Species or Special-status Species to Occur in the Study Area	14
4.4. POTENTIALLY-JURISDICTIONAL WATER RESOURCES	14
5. IMPACT ANALYSES AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	15
5.1. IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	15
5.2. IMPACT ANALYSIS.....	15
5.2.1. Potential Direct / Indirect Adverse Effects Upon Special-status Species	15
5.2.2. Potential Direct / Indirect Adverse Effects Upon Special-status Habitats or Natural Communities or Corridors	16
5.2.3. Potential Direct / Indirect Adverse Effects on Jurisdictional Water Resources	16
5.2.4. Potential Impacts to Wildlife Movement, Corridors, etc.	18
5.2.5. Potential Conflicts with Ordinances, Habitat Conservation Plans, etc.	18
6. REFERENCES	19
EXHIBITS	A
APPENDIX 1: USFWS SPECIES LIST	B
APPENDIX 2: CHECKLIST OF PLANTS DETECTED IN THE STUDY AREA	C
APPENDIX 3: SITE PHOTOS.....	D

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Natural Investigations Company conducted a biological resources assessment for a cannabis cultivation operation on a 523.23-acre property at 11250 Cerrito Drive, Clearlake Oaks, in Lake County, California. The property consisting of the following parcels:

- APN 006-007-30 (12.32 acres)
- APN 006-007-17 (400.05 acres)
- APN 006-007-29 (25.86 acres)
- APN 006-007-27 (44.75 acres)
- APN 006-007-23 (40.25 acres)

The proposed cannabis cultivation operation consists of the establishment of 5 cultivation areas containing a total of 25 acres of mature cannabis canopy. The project will be constructed in existing vineyard and in chaparral habitat. No new grading is needed; however, grape vines and chaparral vegetation will be grubbed. Plants will be grown in full sun, either in fabric pots or in the ground in amended native soil. Water will be supplied to each plant via drip irrigation utilizing the existing system of wells, reservoirs, and water tanks. An existing barn will be used for storage. No new roads or structures are planned at this time.

For this assessment, the Project Area was defined as the proposed cultivation areas plus the ancillary facilities, and this 30.5-acre area was the subject of the impact analysis. The entire 523.23-acre property was defined as the Study Area. The Study Area is defined to identify biological resources adjacent to the Project Area, and is the area subject to potential indirect effects from Project implementation.

1.2. SCOPE OF ASSESSMENT

This assessment provides information about the biological resources within the Study Area, the regulatory environment affecting such resources, any potential Project-related impacts upon these resources, and finally, to identify mitigation measures and other recommendations to reduce the significance of these impacts. The specific scope of services performed for this assessment consisted of the following tasks:

- Compile all readily-available historical biological resource information about the Study Area;
- Spatially query state and federal databases for any occurrences of special-status species or habitats within the Study Area and vicinity;
- Perform a reconnaissance-level field survey of the Study Area, including photographic documentation;
- Inventory all flora and fauna observed during the field survey;
- Characterize and map the habitat types present within the Study Area, including any potentially-jurisdictional water resources;
- Evaluate the likelihood for the occurrence of any special-status species;
- Assess the potential for the Project to adversely impact any sensitive biological resources;
- Recommend mitigation measures designed to avoid or minimize Project-related impacts; and
- Prepare and submit a report summarizing all of the above tasks.

The scope of services does not include other services that are not described in this Section, such as formal aquatic resource delineations or protocol-level surveys for special-status species.

1.3. REGULATORY SETTING

The following section summarizes some applicable regulations of biological resources on real property in California.

1.3.1. Special-status Species Regulations

The United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service implement the Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973 (FESA) (16 USC §1531 *et seq.*). Threatened and endangered species on the federal list (50 CFR §17.11, 17.12) are protected from “take” (direct or indirect harm), unless a FESA Section 10 Permit is granted or a FESA Section 7 Biological Opinion with incidental take provisions is rendered. Pursuant to the requirements of FESA, an agency reviewing a proposed project within its jurisdiction must determine whether any federally listed species may be present in the project area and determine whether the proposed project will have a potentially significant impact upon such species. Under FESA, habitat loss is considered to be an impact to the species. In addition, the agency is required to determine whether the project is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any species proposed to be listed under FESA or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat proposed to be designated for such species (16 USC §1536[3], [4]). Therefore, project-related impacts to these species or their habitats would be considered significant and would require mitigation. Species that are candidates for listing are not protected under FESA; however, USFWS advises that a candidate species could be elevated to listed status at any time, and therefore, applicants should regard these species with special consideration.

The California Endangered Species Act of 1970 (CESA) (California Fish and Game Code §2050 *et seq.*, and CCR Title 14, §670.2, 670.51) prohibits “take” (defined as hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill) of species listed under CESA. A CESA permit must be obtained if a project will result in take of listed species, either during construction or over the life of the project. Section 2081 establishes an incidental take permit program for state-listed species. Under CESA, California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has the responsibility for maintaining a list of threatened and endangered species designated under state law (CFG Code 2070). CDFW also maintains lists of species of special concern, which serve as “watch lists.” Pursuant to requirements of CESA, an agency reviewing proposed projects within its jurisdiction must determine whether any state-listed species may be present in the Study Area and determine whether the proposed project will have a potentially significant impact upon such species. Project-related impacts to species on the CESA list would be considered significant and would require mitigation.

California Fish and Game Code Sections 4700, 5050, and 5515 designates certain mammal, amphibian, and reptile species “fully protected”, making it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy these species except under issuance of a specific permit. The California Native Plant Protection Act of 1977 (CFG Code §1900 *et seq.*) requires CDFW to establish criteria for determining if a species or variety of native plant is endangered or rare. Section 19131 of the code requires that landowners notify CDFW at least 10 days prior to initiating activities that will destroy a listed plant to allow the salvage of plant material.

Many bird species, especially those that are breeding, migratory, or of limited distribution, are protected under federal and state regulations. Under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 (16 USC §703-711), migratory bird species and their nests and eggs that are on the federal list (50 CFR §10.13) are protected from injury or death, and project-related disturbances must be reduced or eliminated during the nesting cycle. California Fish and Game Code (§3503, 3503.5, and 3800) prohibits the possession, incidental take, or needless destruction of any bird nests or eggs. Fish and Game Code §3511 designates certain bird species “fully protected”, making it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy these species except under issuance of a specific permit. The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 USC §668) specifically protects bald and golden eagles from harm or trade in parts of these species.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code §15380) defines “rare” in a broader sense than the definitions of threatened, endangered, or fully protected. Under the CEQA definition, CDFW can request additional consideration of species not otherwise protected. CEQA requires that the impacts of a project upon environmental resources must be analyzed and assessed using criteria determined by the lead agency. Sensitive species that would qualify for listing but are not currently listed may be afforded protection under CEQA. The CEQA Guidelines (§15065) require that a substantial reduction in numbers of a rare or endangered species be considered a significant effect. CEQA Guidelines (§15380) provide for assessment of unlisted species as rare or endangered under CEQA if the species can be shown to meet the criteria for listing. Plant species on the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Lists 1A, 1B, or 2 are typically considered rare under CEQA. California “Species of Special Concern” is a category conferred by CDFW on those species that are indicators of regional habitat changes or are considered potential future protected species. While they do not have statutory protection, Species of Special Concern are typically considered rare under CEQA and thereby warrant specific protection measures.

1.3.2. Water Resource Protection

Real property that contains water resources are subject to various federal and state regulations and activities occurring in these water resources may require permits, licenses, variances, or similar authorization from federal, state and local agencies, as described next.

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (as amended), commonly known as the Clean Water Act (CWA), established the basic structure for regulating discharges of pollutants into “waters of the United States”. Waters of the US includes essentially all surface waters, all interstate waters and their tributaries, all impoundments of these waters, and all wetlands adjacent to these waters. CWA Section 404 requires approval prior to dredging or discharging fill material into any waters of the US, especially wetlands. The permitting program is designed to minimize impacts to waters of the US, and when impacts cannot be avoided, requires compensatory mitigation. The US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) is responsible for administering Section 404 regulations. Substantial impacts to jurisdictional wetlands may require an Individual Permit. Small-scale projects may require only a Nationwide Permit, which typically has an expedited process compared to the Individual Permit process. Mitigation of wetland impacts is required as a condition of the CWA Section 404 Permit and may include on-site preservation, restoration, or enhancement and/or off-site restoration or enhancement. The characteristics of the restored or enhanced wetlands must be equal to or better than those of the affected wetlands to achieve no net loss of wetlands.

Under CWA Section 401, every applicant for a federal permit or license for any activity which may result in a discharge to a water body must obtain State Water Quality Certification that the proposed activity will comply with State water quality standards. The California State Water Resources Control Board is responsible for administering CWA Section 401 regulations.

Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 requires approval from USACE prior to the commencement of any work in or over navigable Waters of the US, or which affects the course, location, condition or capacity of such waters. Navigable waters of the United States are defined as waters that have been used in the past, are now used, or are susceptible to use, as a means to transport interstate or foreign commerce up to the head of navigation. Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 permits are required for construction activities in these waters.

California Fish and Game Code (§1601 - 1607) protects fishery resources by regulating “*any activity that may substantially divert or obstruct the natural flow or substantially change the bed, channel, or bank of any river, stream, or lake.*” CDFW requires notification prior to commencement, and issuance of a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement, if a proposed project will result in the alteration or degradation of “waters of the State”. The limit of CDFW jurisdiction is subject to the judgment of the Department;

currently, this jurisdiction is interpreted to be the “stream zone”, defined as “*that portion of the stream channel that restricts lateral movement of water*” and delineated at “*the top of the bank or the outer edge of any riparian vegetation, whichever is more landward*”. CDFW reviews the proposed actions and, if necessary, submits to the applicant a proposal for measures to protect affected fish and wildlife resources. The final proposal that is mutually agreed upon by the CDFW and the applicant is the Streambed Alteration Agreement. Projects that require a Streambed Alteration Agreement may also require a CWA 404 Section Permit and/or CWA Section 401 Water Quality Certification.

For construction projects that disturb one or more acres of soil, the landowner or developer must obtain coverage under the General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Construction Activity (Construction General Permit, 2009-0009-DWQ).

The State Water Resources Control Board’s Order WQ 2019-0001-DWQ General Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Waste Associated with Cannabis Cultivation Activities protects receiving water bodies from water-quality impacts associated with cannabis cultivation using a combination of Best Management Practices, buffer zones, sediment and erosion controls, site management plans, inspections and reporting, and regulatory oversight.

1.3.3. Tree Protection

At the State level, in areas inside timberland, any tree removal is subject to the conditions and requirements set forth in the Z’berg-Nejedly Forest Practice Act and the California Forest Practice Rules. If development of a project will result in the removal of commercial tree species, one of the following permits is needed: Less than 3 Acre Conversion Exemption; Christmas Tree; Dead, Dying or Diseased, Fuelwood, or Split Products Exemption; a Public Agency, Public and Private Utility Right of Way Exemption; a Notice of Exemption from Timberland Conversion Permit for Subdivision; or an Application for Timberland Conversion Permit.

Lake County does not have a specific ordinance protecting native trees. However, under the Cannabis Ordinance 3084, Section 4, Subsection iii) Prohibited Activities (a) Tree Removal, Lake County restricts tree removal as follows:

“The removal of any commercial tree species as defined by the California Code of Regulations section 895.1, Commercial Species for the Coast Forest District and Northern Forest District, and the removal of any true oak species (Quercus species) or Tan Oak (Notholithocarpus species) for the purpose of developing a cannabis cultivation site should be avoided and minimized. This shall not include the pruning of any such tree species for the health of the tree or the removal of such trees if necessary for safety or disease concerns.”

During the permitting process, Lake County requires mitigation for the removal of protected trees; typical mitigation is tree replacement at a ratio of 2:1 or 3:1.

2. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The Study Area is located within the Inner North Coast Range geographic subregion, which is contained within the Northwestern California geographic subdivision of the larger California Floristic Province (Baldwin et al. 2012). This region has a Mediterranean-type climate, characterized by distinct seasons of hot, dry summers and wet, moderately-cold winters. The Study Area and vicinity is in Climate Zone 7 - California's Gray Pine Belt, defined by hot summers and mild but pronounced winters without severe winter cold or high humidity (Sunset, 2020). The topography of the Study Area is an east-west trending ridgeline. The elevation ranges from approximately 1,670 feet to 2,405 feet above mean sea level. Drainage runs north and south off of the ridgeline. Water flows north into Schindler Creek, and eventually flows into Clear Lake. Water flows south off of the ridgeline into Clear Lake. Prior to the establishment of this cultivation operation, land uses were vineyard, olive orchard, rural residential and open space. The surrounding land uses are private residences, pasture, open space, and vineyards.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. PRELIMINARY DATA GATHERING AND RESEARCH

Prior to conducting the field survey, the following information sources were reviewed:

- Any readily-available previous biological resource studies pertaining to the Study Area or vicinity
- Aerial photography of the Study Area (current and historical)
- United States Geologic Service 7.5 degree-minute topographic quadrangles of the Study Area and vicinity
- USFWS National Wetland Inventory
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service soil survey maps
- California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB), electronically updated monthly by subscription
- USFWS species list (IPaC Trust Resources Report).

3.2. FIELD SURVEY

Consulting biologist Tim Nosal, MS. conducted a reconnaissance-level field survey on December 29, 2020. Weather conditions were cool and sunny. A variable-intensity pedestrian survey was performed, and modified to account for differences in terrain, vegetation density, and visibility. All visible fauna and flora observed were recorded in a field notebook, and identified to the lowest possible taxon. Survey efforts emphasized the search for any special-status species that had documented occurrences in the CNDDDB within the vicinity of the Study Area and those species on the USFWS species list (Appendix 1).

When a specimen could not be identified in the field, a photograph or voucher specimen (depending upon permit requirements) was taken and identified in the laboratory using a dissecting scope where necessary. Dr. Graening holds the following scientific collection permits: CDFW Scientific Collecting Permit No. SC-006802; and CDFW Plant Voucher Specimen Permit 09004. Tim Nosal holds CDFW Plant Voucher Specimen Permit 2081(a)-16-102-V. Taxonomic determinations were facilitated by referencing museum specimens or by various texts, including the following: Powell and Hogue (1979); Pavlik (1991); (1993); Brenzel (2012); Stuart and Sawyer (2001); Lanner (2002); Sibley (2003); Baldwin et al. (2012); Calflora (2020); CDFW (2020b,c); NatureServe 2020; and University of California at Berkeley (2020a,b).

The locations of any special-status species sighted were marked on aerial photographs and/or georeferenced with a geographic positioning system (GPS) receiver. Habitat types occurring in the Study Area were mapped on aerial photographs, and information on habitat conditions and the suitability of the habitats to support special-status species was also recorded. The Study Area was also informally assessed for the presence of potentially-jurisdictional water features, including riparian zones, isolated wetlands and vernal pools, and other biologically-sensitive aquatic habitats

3.3. MAPPING AND OTHER ANALYSES

Locations of species' occurrences and habitat boundaries within the Study Area were digitized to produce the final habitat maps. The boundaries of potentially jurisdictional water resources within the Study Area were identified and measured in the field, and similarly digitized to calculate acreage and to produce informal delineation maps. Geographic analyses were performed using geographical information system software (ArcGIS 10, ESRI, Inc.). Vegetation communities (assemblages of plant species growing in an area of similar biological and environmental factors), were classified by Vegetation Series (distinctive associations of plants, described by dominant species and particular environmental setting) using the CNPS Vegetation Classification system (Sawyer and Keeler-Wolf, 1995). Informal wetland delineation methods consisted of an abbreviated, visual assessment of the three requisite wetland parameters (hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, hydrologic regime) defined in the US Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, 1987). Wildlife habitats were classified according to the CDFW's California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System (CDFW, 2020c). Species' habitat requirements and life histories were identified using the following sources: Baldwin et al. (2012); CNPS (2020), Calflora (2020); CDFW (2020a,b,c); and University of California at Berkeley (2020a,b).

4. RESULTS

4.1. INVENTORY OF FLORA AND FAUNA FROM FIELD SURVEY

All plants detected during the field survey of the Study Area are listed in Appendix 2. The following animals were detected within the Study Area during the field survey:

Botta's pocket gopher (*Thomomys bottae*); Columbian black-tailed deer (*Odocoileus hemionus columbianus*); coyote (*Canis latrans*); dusky-footed wood rat (*Neotoma fuscipes*); gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*); western gray squirrel (*Sciurus griseus*); acorn woodpecker (*Melanerpes formicivorus*); American goldfinch (*Spinus tristis*); American robin (*Turdus migratorius*); bushtit (*Psaltriparus minimus*); California quail (*Callipepla californica*); California scrub jay (*Aphelocoma californica*); California towhee (*Melospiza crissalis*); common raven (*Corvus corax*); dark-eyed junco (*Junco hyemalis*); hairy woodpecker (*Leuconotopicus villosus*); house finch (*Haemorhous mexicanus*); mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*); mourning dove (*Zenaidura macroura*); northern flicker (*Colaptes auratus*); red-shouldered hawk (*Buteo lineatus*); ring-necked duck (*Aythya collaris*); sparrow (Emberizidae); spotted towhee (*Pipilo maculatus*); turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*); western bluebird (*Sialia mexicana*); and other common songbirds.

4.2. VEGETATION COMMUNITIES AND WILDLIFE HABITAT TYPES

4.2.1. Terrestrial Vegetation Communities

The Study Area contains the following terrestrial vegetation communities: Ruderal/Developed, Vineyard/Orchard, Annual Grassland, Chaparral, Pine-Oak Woodland, and Oak Forest. These vegetation communities are discussed here and are delineated in the Exhibits.

Ruderal/Disturbed: These areas consist of disturbed or converted natural habitat that is now either in ruderal state, graded, or urbanized with gravel roads. Vegetation within this habitat type consists primarily of nonnative weedy or invasive species lacking a consistent community structure. This habitat type provides limited resources for wildlife and is utilized primarily by species tolerant of human activities. The disturbed and altered condition of these lands greatly reduces their habitat value and ability to sustain rare plants or diverse wildlife assemblages.

Vineyard/Orchard: These areas consist of land that has been planted in wine grapes or olives. Like the ruderal/developed habitat noted above, this habitat type provides limited resources for wildlife. The heavily managed condition of these lands greatly reduces their habitat value.

Annual Grassland: Several areas across the Study Area are largely devoid of trees and are characterized by annual grassland habitat. This vegetation is comprised mostly of non-native grasses and native and non-native herbs including slender wild oat (*Avena barbata*), bromes (*Bromus* spp.), Medusa-head (*Elymus caput-medusae*), yellow star-thistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*) and dogtail grass (*Cynosurus echinoides*). This vegetation can be classified as the Holland Type "Non-native Grassland," and "42.027.00 Wild Oat and Annual Brome Grasslands" (CDFW 2020).

Chaparral (Chamise): Chaparral habitat is common throughout the Study Area. The dominant species within the chaparral is chamise (*Adenostoma fasciculatum*). Other species encountered in the chaparral include white-leaf manzanita (*Arctostaphylos viscida*), common manzanita (*Arctostaphylos manzanita* ssp. *manzanita*), wedgeleaf ceanothus (*Ceanothus cuneatus*), California scrub oak (*Quercus berberidifolia*), chaparral pea (*Pickeringia montana*), interior live oak (*Quercus wislizeni* var. *wislizeni*), knobcone pine (*Pinus attenuata*), California bay (*Umbellularia californica*), yerba santa (*Eriodictyon californicum*) and toyon (*Heteromeles arbutifolia*). Few grasses and herbs were observed in the understory of the dense shrub canopy.

This vegetation type can be classified as the Holland Type “Chamise Chaparral” or as “37.101.00 Chamise Chaparral (CDFW 2020)”.

Pine-Oak woodland: The southern half of the Study Area is dominated by an open canopy of pines and oaks with an herbaceous understory. The pine-oak woodland consists of gray pine (*Pinus sabiniana*), blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*), California black oak (*Quercus kelloggii*) and interior live oak with an understory similar to the annual grassland noted above. This vegetation type can be classified as the Holland Type “Non-serpentine Gray Pine Woodland” or as “87.130.00 Foothill Pine Woodland (CDFW 2020)”.

Oak Forest: North-facing slopes and ravines within the Study Area are dominated by a dense canopy of trees. This habitat can be further described as oak forest. The composition of the mixed oak forest varies across the parcel. Dominant species include interior live oak, California bay (*Umbellularia californica*) and gray pine. Various shrubs and small trees are found in the understory including California buckeye (*Aesculus californicus*), two-petal ash (*Fraxinus dipetala*), poison oak (*Toxicodendron diversifolius*), western redbud (*Cercis occidentalis*), deer brush, toyon, and California scrub oak. Few grasses and herbs were observed in the understory of the dense canopy. This vegetation type can be classified as the Holland Type “Interior Live Oak Forest” or as “71.080.00 Interior Live Oak Forest (CDFW 2020)”.

4.2.2. Wildlife Habitat Types

Wildlife habitat types were classified using CDFW’s Wildlife Habitat Relationship System. The Study Area contains the following wildlife habitat types: Urban; Barren; Vineyard; Evergreen Orchard; Annual Grassland; Chamise-Redshank Chaparral; Blue Oak – Foothill Pine and Montane Hardwood.

4.2.3. Critical Habitat and Special-status Habitat

No critical habitat for any federally-listed species occurs within the Project Area or the surrounding Study Area. The CNDDDB reported no special-status habitats within the Project Area or surrounding Study Area. The CNDDDB reported the following special-status habitats in a 10-mile radius outside of the Study Area: Clear Lake Drainage Resident Trout Stream; Clear Lake Drainage Cyprinid/Catostomid Stream; Clear Lake Drainage Seasonal Lakefish Spawning Stream; Northern Basalt Flow Vernal Pool; Northern Volcanic Ash Vernal Pool; Coastal and Valley Freshwater Marsh and Great Valley Mixed Riparian Forest. No special-status habitats were detected within the Project Area or surrounding Study Area during the field survey other than ephemeral watercourses.

4.2.4. Habitat Plans and Wildlife Corridors

Wildlife movement corridors link remaining areas of functional wildlife habitat that are separated primarily by human disturbance, but natural barriers such as rugged terrain and abrupt changes in vegetation cover are also possible. Wilderness and open lands have been fragmented by urbanization, which can disrupt migratory species and separate interbreeding populations. Corridors allow migratory movements and act as links between these separated populations.

No fishery resources or designated wildlife corridors exist within the Study Area. Although there are no designated wildlife corridors, the open space within the Study Area allows for unrestricted animal movement. The Study Area is not located within any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan or Natural Community Conservation Plan.

4.3. LISTED SPECIES AND OTHER SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES

For the purposes of this assessment, “special status” is defined to be species that are of management concern to state or federal natural resource agencies, and include those species that are:

- Listed as endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate for listing under the Federal Endangered Species Act;
- Listed as endangered, threatened, rare, or proposed for listing, under the California Endangered Species Act of 1970;
- Designated as endangered or rare, pursuant to California Fish and Game Code (§1901);
- Designated as fully protected, pursuant to California Fish and Game Code (§3511, §4700, or §5050);
- Designated as a species of special concern by CDFW;
- Plants considered to be rare, threatened or endangered in California by the California Native Plant Society (CNPS); this consists of species on Lists 1A, 1B, and 2 of the CNPS Ranking System; or
- Plants listed as rare under the California Native Plant Protection Act.

4.3.1. Reported Occurrences of Listed Species and Other Special-status Species

A list of special-status plant and animal species that have occurred within the Study Area and vicinity was compiled based upon the following:

- Any previous and readily-available biological resource studies pertaining to the Study Area;
- Informal consultation with USFWS by generating an electronic Species List (Information for Planning and Conservation website at <https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/>); and
- A spatial query of the CNDDDB.

The CNDDDB was queried and any reported occurrences of special-status species were plotted in relation to the Study Area boundary using GIS software (see exhibits). The CNDDDB has mapped an occurrence of eel-grass pondweed (*Potamogeton zosteriformis*) within the Study Area. This occurrence is an artifact of the mapping process at CNDDDB. This report for eel-grass pondweed is from an unspecified location in nearby Clear Lake. Suitable habitat for this species is not found within the Study Area. Within a 10-mile buffer of the Study Area boundary, the CNDDDB reported several special-status species occurrences, summarized in the following table.

A USFWS species list was generated online using the USFWS' IPaC Trust Resource Report System (see Appendix 1). This list is generated using a regional and/or watershed approach and does not necessarily indicate that the Study Area provides suitable habitat. The following listed species should be considered in the impact assessment:

- Northern Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) Threatened
- California Red-legged Frog (*Rana draytonii*) Threatened
- Delta Smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*) Threatened
- Burke's Goldfields (*Lasthenia burkei*) Endangered

Migratory birds should also be considered in the impact assessment.

Special-status Species Reported by CNDDB in the Vicinity of the Study Area

Common Name Scientific Name	Status*	General Habitat**	Microhabitat**
Red-bellied newt <i>Taricha rivularis</i>	CSSC	Found in coastal woodlands and redwood forests along the coast of Northern California	A stream or river dweller. Larvae retreat into vegetation and under stones during the day.
Foothill yellow-legged frog <i>Rana boylei</i>	CE/CSSC	Partly-shaded, shallow streams & riffles with a rocky substrate in a variety of habitats.	Need at least some cobble-sized substrate for egg-laying. Need at least 15 weeks to attain metamorphosis.
Osprey <i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	CWL	Ocean shore, bays, fresh-water lakes, and larger streams.	Large nests built in tree-tops within 15 miles of a good fish-producing body of water.
Golden eagle <i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>	CFP/CWL	Rolling foothills, mountain areas, sage-juniper flats, & desert.	Cliff-walled canyons provide nesting habitat in most parts of range; also, large trees in open areas.
Prairie falcon <i>Falco mexicanus</i>	CWL	Inhabits dry, open terrain, either level or hilly.	Breeding sites located on cliffs. Forages far afield, even to marshlands and ocean shores.
Western yellow-billed cuckoo <i>Coccyzus americanus occidentalis</i>	FT/CE	Riparian forest nester, along the broad, lower flood-bottoms of larger river systems.	Nests in riparian jungles of willow, often mixed with cottonwoods, w/ lower story of blackberry, nettles, or wild grape.
Clear Lake hitch <i>Lavinia exilicauda chi</i>	CT	Found only in Clear Lake, Lake Co, and associated ponds. Spawns in streams flowing into Clear Lake.	Adults found in the limnetic zone. Juveniles found in the nearshore shallow-water habitat hiding in the vegetation.
Sacramento perch <i>Archoplites interruptus</i>	CSSC	Historically found in the sloughs, slow-moving rivers, and lakes of the Central Valley.	Prefers warm water. Aquatic vegetation is essential for young. Tolerates wide range of physio-chemical water conditions.
Clear Lake tule perch <i>Hysteroecarpus traskii lagunae</i>	CSSC	Endemic to Clear Lake, Lower Blue Lake and Upper Blue Lake	Typically found in deep (3+m) tule beds, among rocks (especially along steep rocky shores) or among the branches of fallen trees.
Silver-haired bat <i>Lasiorycteris noctivagans</i>	CSSC	Primarily a coastal & montane forest dweller feeding over streams, ponds & open brushy areas.	Roosts in hollow trees, beneath exfoliating bark, abandoned woodpecker holes & rarely under rocks. Needs drinking water.
Townsend's big-eared bat <i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>	CSSC	Throughout California in a wide variety of habitats. Most common in mesic sites.	Roosts in the open, hanging from walls & ceilings. Roosting sites limiting. Extremely sensitive to human disturbance.
Pallid bat <i>Antrozous pallidus</i>	CSSC	Deserts, grasslands, shrublands, woodlands & forests. Most common in open, dry habitats with rocky areas for roosting.	Roosts must protect bats from high temperatures. Very sensitive to disturbance of roosting sites.
North American porcupine <i>Erethizon dorsatum</i>	CSSC	Coast ranges, Klamath Mountains, southern Cascades, Modoc Plateau, Sierra Nevada and Transverse Ranges.	Montane conifer and wet meadow habitats.
Humboldt marten <i>Martes caurina humboldtensis</i>	FPT/CE/CSSC	Occurs only in the coastal redwood zone from the Oregon border south to Sonoma County.	Associated with late-successional coniferous forests, prefer forests with low, overhead cover.
Fisher <i>Pekania pennanti</i>	CSSC	Historic range in California from the Oregon border south to Marin County, though the southern Cascades and the southern Sierra Nevada.	Associated with forested environments.
Western pond turtle <i>Emys marmorata</i>	CSSC	A thoroughly aquatic turtle of ponds, marshes, rivers, streams & irrigation ditches, usually with aquatic vegetation, be	Need basking sites and suitable (sandy banks or grassy open fields) upland habitat up to 0.5 km from water for egg-laying
California linderiella <i>Linderiella occidentalis</i>	CSSC	Seasonal pools in unplowed grasslands with old alluvial soils underlain by hardpan or in sandstone depressions.	Water in the pools has very low alkalinity, conductivity, and TDS.
An isopod <i>Calasellus californicus</i>	CSSC	Known from Lake, Napa, Marin, Santa Cruz and Santa Clara counties.	
Brownish dubiraphian riffle beetle <i>Dubiraphia brunnescens</i>	CSSC	Aquatic; known only from the NE shore of Clear Lake, Lake County.	Inhabits exposed, wave-washed willow roots.
Obscure bumble bee <i>Bombus caliginosus</i>	CSSC	Open grassy coastal prairies and Coast Range meadows. Nesting occurs underground as well as above ground in abandoned bird nests.	Food plants include Ceanothus, Cirsium, Clarkia, Keckiella, Lathyrus, Lotus, Lupinus, Rhododendron, Rubus, Trifolium, and Vaccinium.

Blennosperma vernal pool andrenid bee <i>Andrena blennospermatis</i>	CSSC	This bee is oligolectic on vernal pool <i>Blennosperma</i> .	Bees nest in the uplands around vernal pools.
Borax Lake cuckoo wasp <i>Hedychridium milleri</i>	CSSC	Endemic to Central California. Only collection is from the type locality.	External parasite of wasp and bee larva.
Western ridged mussel <i>Gonidea angulata</i>	CSSC	Primarily creeks & rivers & less often lakes. Originally in most of state, now extirpated from Central & Southern Calif.	
Clear Lake pyrg <i>Pyrgulopsis ventricosa</i>	CSSC	Restricted to Seigler Creek drainage in the south end of the Clear Lake Basin.	Freshwater.
Loch Lomond button-celery <i>Eryngium constancei</i>	FE/CE/1B.1	Vernal pools.	Volcanic ash flow vernal pools. 460-855 m.
Big-scale balsamroot <i>Balsamorhiza macrolepis</i>	1B.2	Chaparral, valley and foothill grassland, cismontane woodland.	Sometimes on serpentine. 90-1555 m.
Small-flowered calycadenia <i>Calycadenia micrantha</i>	1B.2	Chaparral, valley and foothill grassland, meadows and seeps.	Rocky talus or scree; sparsely vegetated areas. Occasionally on roadsides; sometimes on serpentine. 5-1500 m.
Greene's narrow-leaved daisy <i>Erigeron greenei</i>	1B.2	Chaparral.	Serpentine and volcanic substrates, generally in shrubby vegetation. 80-1005 m.
Burke's goldfields <i>Lasthenia burkei</i>	FE/CE/1B.1	Vernal pools, meadows and seeps.	Most often in vernal pools and swales. 15-600 m.
Colusa layia <i>Layia septentrionalis</i>	1B.2	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland.	Scattered colonies in fields and grassy slopes in sandy or serpentine soil. 145-1095m.
Hall's harmonia <i>Harmonia hallii</i>	1B.2	Chaparral.	Serpentine hills and ridges. Open, rocky areas within chaparral. 500-900 m.
Bent-flowered fiddleneck <i>Amsinckia lunaris</i>	1B.2	Cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland.	50-500m.
Watershield <i>Brasenia schreberi</i>	2B.3	Freshwater marshes and swamps.	Aquatic from water bodies both natural and artificial in California.
Oval-leaved viburnum <i>Viburnum ellipticum</i>	2B.3	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest.	215-1400 m.
Lake County stonecrop <i>Sedella leiocarpa</i>	FE/CE/1B.1	Valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools, cismontane woodland.	Level areas that are seasonally wet and dry out in late spring; substrate usually of volcanic origin. 365-790 m.
Raiche's manzanita <i>Arctostaphylos stanfordiana</i> ssp. <i>raichei</i>	1B.1	Chaparral, lower montane coniferous forest.	Rocky, serpentine sites. Slopes and ridges. 450-1000 m.
Konociti manzanita <i>Arctostaphylos manzanita</i> ssp. <i>elegans</i>	1B.3	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest.	Volcanic soils. 395-1615 m.
Jepson's milk-vetch <i>Astragalus rattanii</i> var. <i>jepsonianus</i>	1B.2	Cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland, chaparral.	Commonly on serpentine in grassland or openings in chaparral. 180-1000 m.
Anthony Peak lupine <i>Lupinus antoninus</i>	1B.2	Upper montane coniferous forest, lower montane coniferous forest.	Open areas with surrounding forest; rocky sites. 1220-2285 m.
Napa bluecurls <i>Trichostema ruygtii</i>	1B.2	Cismontane woodland, chaparral, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools, lower montane coniferous forest.	Often in open, sunny areas. Also has been found in vernal pools. 30-590m.
Woolly meadowfoam <i>Limnanthes floccosa</i> ssp. <i>floccosa</i>	4.2	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools.	Vernally wet areas, ditches, and ponds. 60-1335 m.
Glandular western flax <i>Hesperolinon adenophyllum</i>	1B.2	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland.	Serpentine soils; generally found in serpentine chaparral. 150-1315 m.
Two-carpellate western flax <i>Hesperolinon bicarpellatum</i>	1B.2	Serpentine chaparral.	Serpentine barrens at edge of chaparral. 60-1005 m.
Marsh checkerbloom <i>Sidalcea oregana</i> ssp. <i>hydrophila</i>	1B.2	Meadows and seeps, riparian forest.	Wet soil of streambanks, meadows. 1100-2300 m.
Brandegee's eriastrum <i>Eriastrum brandegeae</i>	1B.1	Chaparral, cismontane woodland.	On barren volcanic soils; often in open areas. 425-840 m.

Baker's navarretia <i>Navarretia leucocephala</i> <i>ssp. bakeri</i>	1B.1	Cismontane woodland, meadows and seeps, vernal pools, valley and foothill grassland, lower montane coniferous forest.	Vernal pools and swales; adobe or alkaline soils. 5-1740 m.
Few-flowered navarretia <i>Navarretia leucocephala</i> <i>ssp. pauciflora</i>	FE/CT/1B.1	Vernal pools.	Volcanic ash flow, and volcanic substrate vernal pools. 400-855 m.
Many-flowered navarretia <i>Navarretia leucocephala</i> <i>ssp. plieantha</i>	FE/CE/1B.2	Vernal pools.	Volcanic ash flow vernal pools. 30-950 m.
Rincon Ridge ceanothus <i>Ceanothus confusus</i>	1B.1	Closed-cone coniferous forest, chaparral, cismontane woodland.	Known from volcanic or serpentine soils, dry shrubby slopes. 75-1065 m.
Bolander's horkelia <i>Horkelia bolanderi</i>	1B.2	Lower montane coniferous forest, chaparral, meadows, valley and foothill grassland.	Grassy margins of vernal pools and meadows. 450-1100 m.
Boggs Lake hedge-hyssop <i>Gratiola heterosepala</i>	CE/1B.2	Marshes and swamps (freshwater), vernal pools.	Clay soils; usually in vernal pools, sometimes on lake margins. 10-2375 m.
Sonoma beardtongue <i>Penstemon newberryi</i> var. <i>sonomensis</i>	1B.3	Chaparral.	Crevices in rock outcrops and talus slopes. 700-1370 m.
Indian Valley brodiaea <i>Brodiaea rosea</i>	CE/3.1	Closed cone coniferous forest, chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland.	Serpentinite endemic. 335-1450 m
Adobe-lily <i>Fritillaria pluriflora</i>	1B.2	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, foothill grassland.	Usually on clay soils; sometimes serpentine. 60-705 m.
California satintail <i>Imperata brevifolia</i>	2B.1	Coastal scrub, chaparral, riparian scrub, Mojavean scrub, meadows and seeps (alkali), riparian scrub.	Mesic sites, alkali seeps, riparian areas. 0-1215 m.
Slender Orcutt grass <i>Orcuttia tenuis</i>	FT/CE/1B.1	Vernal pools.	Often in gravelly pools. 35-1760 m.
Eel-grass pondweed <i>Potamogeton zosteriformis</i>	2B.2	Marshes and swamps.	Ponds, lakes, streams. 0-1860 m.

*Definitions of Status Codes: FE = Federally listed as endangered; FT = Federally listed as threatened; FPE = Federally proposed for listing as endangered; FPT = Federally proposed for listing as threatened; FC = Candidate for Federal listing; MB = Migratory Bird Act; CE = California State listed as endangered; CT = California State listed as threatened; CSSC = California species of special concern; CR = California rare species; CFP = California fully protected species; CNPS (California Native Plant Society) List 1A = Plants presumed extinct in California by CNPS; CNPS List 1B = CNPS designated rare or endangered plants in California and elsewhere; and CNPS List 2 = CNPS designated rare or endangered plants in California, but more common elsewhere. Global Ranking: G1 = Critically Imperiled; G2 = Imperiled; G3 = Vulnerable. State Ranking: S1 = Critically Imperiled; S2 = Imperiled; S3 = Vulnerable.

**Copied verbatim from CNDDDB, unless otherwise noted.

4.3.2. Listed Species or Special-status Species Observed During Field Survey

During the field survey, no special-status species were detected within the Project Area or the surrounding Study Area.

4.3.3. Potential for Listed Species or Special-status Species to Occur in the Study Area

The annual grasslands and pine-oak woodlands within the Study Area have a moderate potential for harboring special-status plant species. The disturbed/developed, vineyard, chaparral and oak forest habitats have a low potential for harboring special status plant species. Special-status animals have a low potential to occur in the disturbed/developed, vineyard, annual grassland, chaparral, pine-oak woodland and oak forest habitats. There are no wetlands or watercourses within the Study Area that can sustain aquatic special-status animals because these habitats do not hold water long enough.

4.4. POTENTIALLY-JURISDICTIONAL WATER RESOURCES

The USFWS National Wetland Inventory reported no water features within the Project Area, but the Inventory did report the following water features within the Study Area (see Exhibits): 5 Riverine Features.

An informal assessment for the presence of potentially-jurisdictional water resources within the Study Area was also conducted during the field survey. For purposes of this biological site assessment, non-wetland waters (i.e., channels) were classified using the California Forest Practice Rules. The California Forest Practice Rules define a Class I watercourse as 1) a watercourse providing habitat for fish always or seasonally, and/or 2) providing a domestic water source; a Class II watercourse is 1) a watercourse capable of supporting non-fish aquatic species, or 2) a watercourse within 1,000 feet of a watercourse that seasonally or always has fish present; a Class III watercourse is a watercourse with no aquatic life present and that shows evidence of being capable of transporting sediment to Class I and Class II waters during high water flow conditions.

The field survey determined that the Project Area does not contain any channels or wetlands. The following water features were detected within the larger Study Area during the field survey (see Exhibits):

- 14 unnamed ephemeral channels (Class III watercourses)
- 2 irrigation reservoirs (with no wetland habitat)

There are no vernal pools or other isolated wetlands in the Study Area.

5. IMPACT ANALYSES AND MITIGATION MEASURES

This section establishes the impact criteria, then analyzes potential Project-related impacts upon the known biological resources within the Study Area, and then suggests mitigation measures to reduce these impacts to a less-than-significant level.

5.1. IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

The significance of impacts to biological resources depends upon the proximity and quality of vegetation communities and wildlife habitats, the presence or absence of special-status species, and the effectiveness of measures implemented to protect these resources from Project-related impacts. As defined by CEQA, the Project would be considered to have a significant adverse impact on biological resources if it would:

- Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by USFWS or CDFW
- Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by USFWS or CDFW
- Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means
- Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites
- Conflict with any county or municipal policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance
- Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved governmental habitat conservation plan.

5.2. IMPACT ANALYSIS

The following discussion evaluates the potential for Project-related activities to adversely affect biological resources. The Project boundaries were digitized and then overlaid on the habitat map using GIS to quantify potential impacts. Historical aerial photos were also analyzed for changes in land use.

5.2.1. Potential Direct / Indirect Adverse Effects Upon Special-status Species

- *Will the project have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?*

No special-status species were detected within the Study Area. The Project Areas are located in vineyard and chaparral habitat, which will be impacted by project implementation. The vineyards within the Study Area have a low potential for harboring special-status plant species due to the constant disturbance and frequent crop management activities that takes place among the vines. The chaparral within the Study Area has a low potential for harboring special-status plant species due to the dense canopy of evergreen shrubs that choke out / outcompete sensitive species. There are no perennial water resources within the Study Area that can sustain aquatic special-status species. Two irrigation reservoirs are found within the Study Area. The reservoirs have a low potential for harboring special-status plant or animal species due to frequent weed management activities that keep the reservoirs free of vegetation. No impacts to special-status species were identified from project implementation. Therefore, no mitigation is required.

The Study Area contains suitable nesting habitat for various bird species because of the presence of trees and poles. However, no nests or nesting activity was observed in the project area during the field survey. Trees must be inspected for the presence of active bird nests before tree felling or ground clearing. If active nests are present in the project area during construction of the project, CDFW should be consulted to develop measures to avoid “take” of active nests prior to the initiation of any construction activities. Avoidance measures may include establishment of a buffer zone using construction fencing or the postponement of vegetation removal until after the nesting season, or until after a qualified biologist has determined the young have fledged and are independent of the nest site.

Recommended Mitigation Measures

If construction activities would occur during the nesting season (typically February through August), a pre-construction survey for the presence of special-status bird species or any nesting bird species should be conducted by a qualified biologist within 500 feet of proposed construction areas. If active nests are identified in these areas, CDFW and/or USFWS should be consulted to develop measures to avoid “take” of active nests prior to the initiation of any construction activities. Avoidance measures may include establishment of a buffer zone using construction fencing or the postponement of vegetation removal until after the nesting season, or until after a qualified biologist has determined the young have fledged and are independent of the nest site. With the implementation of this mitigation measure, adverse impacts upon special-status bird species and nesting birds would be reduced to a less-than-significant level.

5.2.2. Potential Direct / Indirect Adverse Effects Upon Special-status Habitats or Natural Communities or Corridors

- *Will the project have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?*

The Project Area and surrounding Study Area are not within any designated listed species' critical habitat. The Project Area does not contain special-status habitats. The Study Area contains five channels, which are special-status habitats due to their potential to attract wildlife or harbor rare plants and because these resources are protected by multiple laws. The Project Areas were designed to avoid watercourses with a buffer of at least 100 feet; the nearest watercourse is 170 feet away. No impacts to special-status habitats will occur.

Recommended Mitigation Measures

No mitigation is necessary.

5.2.3. Potential Direct / Indirect Adverse Effects on Jurisdictional Water Resources

- *Will the project have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?*

There are no water resources within the Project Area. There are several water resources within the surrounding Study Area: 14 Class III Watercourses. Potential direct impacts to water resources could occur during construction by modification or destruction of stream banks or riparian vegetation or the filling of wetlands or channels. However, the cultivation areas have been designed with minimum 150-foot setbacks from watercourses and situated on the flattest areas possible. Due to the lack of riparian

or wetland vegetation at either of the irrigation reservoirs, no setback is required. Because of these avoidance measures, no direct impacts to water resources are expected.

Potential indirect impacts to water resources could occur during construction by increased erosion and sedimentation in receiving water bodies due to soil disturbance. If the total area of ground disturbance from installation of the cultivation operation is 1 acre or more, the Cultivator must enroll for coverage under the General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Construction Activity (Construction General Permit, 2009-0009-DWQ). Implementation of a stormwater pollution prevention plan, and erosion control plan, along with regular inspections, will ensure that construction activities do not pollute receiving waterbodies.

Potential adverse impacts to water resources could occur during operation of cultivation activities resources by discharge of sediment or other pollutants (fertilizers, pesticides, human waste, etc.) into receiving waterbodies. However, the project proponent must file a Notice of Intent and enroll in Cannabis Cultivation Order WQ 2019-0001-DWQ. Compliance with this Order will ensure that cultivation operations will not significantly impact water resources by using a combination of Best Management Practices (BMPs), buffer zones, sediment and erosion controls, site management plans, inspection and reporting, and regulatory oversight.

Cultivators who enroll in the State Water Board's Waste Discharge Requirements for Cannabis Cultivation Order WQ 2019-0001-DWQ must comply with the Minimum Riparian Setbacks, as summarized in the following table. The Project would be considered to have a significant adverse impact on jurisdictional water resources if it would be non-compliant with these requirements. The minimum riparian setbacks apply to all land disturbance, cannabis cultivation activities, and facilities (e.g., material or vehicle storage, diesel powered pump locations, water storage areas, and chemical toilet placement). The proposed project is compliant with the setback requirements of Cannabis Cultivation Order WQ 2019-0001-DWQ.

Minimum Riparian Setbacks

Common Name	Watercourse Class	Distance
Perennial watercourses, waterbodies (e.g., lakes, ponds), or springs	I	150 ft.
Intermittent watercourses or wetlands	II	100 ft.
Ephemeral watercourses	III	50 ft.
Man-made irrigation canals, water supply reservoirs, or hydroelectric canals that support native aquatic species	IV	Established riparian zone vegetation

Recommended Mitigation Measures

No impacts were identified, and therefore no mitigation measures are proposed.

5.2.4. Potential Impacts to Wildlife Movement, Corridors, etc.

- *Will the project interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?*

Although no mapped wildlife corridors (such as the California Essential Habitat Connectivity Area layer in CNDDDB) exist within or near the Study Area, the open space and the stream corridors in the Study Area facilitate animal movement and migrations. While the Study Area may be used by wildlife for movement or migration, the Project would not have a significant impact on this movement because it would not block movement and the majority of the open space in the Study Area would still be available.

Implementation of the proposed project would necessitate erection of security fences around the cultivation compounds. These fences do not allow animal movement and may act as a local barrier to wildlife movement. However, the fenced cultivation areas are surrounded by open space, allowing wildlife to move around these fenced areas. Thus, implementation of the proposed project is a less than significant impact upon wildlife movement. Implementation of the project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.

Recommended Mitigation Measures

No mitigation is necessary.

5.2.5. Potential Conflicts with Ordinances, Habitat Conservation Plans, etc.

- *Will the project conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?*
- *Will the project conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?*

The project will not require the removal of trees and does not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or another approved governmental habitat conservation plan. The Study Area is not within the coverage area of any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan or Natural Community Conservation Plan.

Recommended Mitigation Measures

No mitigation is necessary.

6. REFERENCES

Baldwin, B.G., D.H. Goldman, D.J. Keil, R. Patterson, and T.J. Rosatti, editors. 2012. The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California, second edition, thoroughly revised and expanded. University of California Press, Berkeley, California. 1,600 pp.

Calflora. 2020. Calflora, the on-line gateway to information about native and introduced wild plants in California. Internet database available at <http://calflora.org/>.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2019. List of California Terrestrial Natural Communities Recognized by the California Natural Diversity Database. Available on the Internet at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities>.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020a. RareFind, California Natural Diversity Data Base. Biogeographic Data Branch, Sacramento, California. (updated monthly by subscription service)

California Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2020b. California's Plants and Animals. Habitat Conservation Planning Branch, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, California. http://www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/species/search_species.shtml.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020c. California's Wildlife. California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System, Biogeographic Data Branch, California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Internet database available at <http://www.dfg.ca.gov/whdab/html/cawildlife.html>.

California Native Plant Society. 2020. Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants. Rare Plant Scientific Advisory Committee, David P. Tibor, convening editor. California Native Plant Society. Sacramento, California. Internet database available at <http://cnps.web.aplus.net/cgi-bin/inv/inventory.cgi>.

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, Mississippi. 92 pp.

Holland, R. F. 1986. Preliminary descriptions of the terrestrial natural communities of California. State of California, The Resources Agency, Nongame Heritage Program, Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, California. 156 pp.

Lanner, R. M. 2002. Conifers of California. Cachuma Press, Los Olivos, California. 274 pp.

Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2020. Web Soil Survey. National Cooperative Soil Survey, U.S. Department of Agriculture. NRCS Soils Website (Internet database and digital maps) available at: <https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm>.

NatureServe. 2020. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Internet database available at <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>.

Pavlik, B. M., P. C. Muick, S. G. Johnson, and M. Popper. 1991. Oaks of California. Cachuma Press and the California Oak Foundation. Los Olivos, California. 184 pp.

Sawyer, J. O., and T. Keeler-Wolf. 1995. A manual of California vegetation. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, California. Available electronically at <http://davisherb.ucdavis.edu/cnpsActiveServer/index.html>.

Sibley, D. A. 2003. The Sibley Field Guide to Birds of Western North America. Alfred A. Knopf, Inc., New York, New York.

Stuart, J. D., and J. O. Sawyer. 2001. Trees and Shrubs of California. California Natural History Guides. University of California Press, Berkeley, California. 467 pp.


Sunset Western Garden Collection. 2020. Sunset Climate Zones. Sunset Publishing Corporation. Available on the Internet at: <https://www.sunsetwesterngardencollection.com/climate-zones>.

University of California at Berkeley. 2020a. Jepson Online Interchange for California Floristics. Jepson Flora Project, University Herbarium and Jepson Herbarium, University of California at Berkeley. Internet database available at <http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/interchange.html>.

University of California at Berkeley. 2020b. CalPhotos. Biodiversity Sciences Technology Group, University of California at Berkeley. Internet database available at <http://calphotos.berkeley.edu/>

United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2020. Wetlands Digital Data. National Wetlands Inventory Center. Digital maps downloaded from the Internet at <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/>.

EXHIBITS



Parcel Location

1:24,000


11250 Cerrito Drive
 Parcel Location Map

NATURAL INVESTIGATIONS COMPANY

- Parcel boundaries
- Cannabis Production Area

Vegetation Community Types


- Mixed oak forest
- Annual grassland
- Urbanized/developed
- Chaparral
- Oak-conifer woodland
- Vineyard



Habitat Types
 11250 Cerrito Drive, Clearlake Oaks

NATURAL INVESTIGATIONS
 COMPANY

3000 ft



 Parcel Location  10 Mile Buffer

1:190,000 1 inch = 3 miles
 0 3 6
 Miles



Notes:

1. The locations of all features shown are approximate.
2. This drawing is for information purposes. It is intended to assist in showing features discussed in an attached document. Natural Investigations Company can not guarantee the accuracy and content of electronic files. The master file is stored by Natural Investigations Company and will serve as the official record of this communication.
3. It is unlawful to copy or reproduce all or any part thereof, whether for personal use or resale, without permission. Data Sources: California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. RareFind 5.x, California Natural Diversity Data Base. Biogeographic Data Branch, Sacramento, California. (updated monthly by subscription service)

Special-Status Species Occurrences Map

11250 Cerrito Drive


Clear Lake Oaks 1996 Quadrangle: Township 14N, Range 8W, Section 25,26



NATURAL INVESTIGATIONS CO.

WWW.NATURALINVESTIGATIONS.COM

Parcel boundaries
Cannabis Production Area
Water Resources
Class III Watercourse
Pond



Water Resources (east)
11250 Cerrito Drive, Clearlake Oaks




2000 ft

- Parcel boundaries
- Cannabis Production Area

Water Resources

- Class III Watercourse
- Pond




Water Resources (west)
 11250 Cerrito Drive, Clearlake Oaks



2000 ft

N





Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community



Parcel Location



Wetlands and Channels



1:16,000

11250 Cerrito Drive
National Wetlands Inventory
Features Map



NATURAL
INVESTIGATIONS
COMPANY

APPENDIX 1: USFWS SPECIES LIST



United States Department of the Interior



FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
Sacramento Fish And Wildlife Office
Federal Building
2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605
Sacramento, CA 95825-1846
Phone: (916) 414-6600 Fax: (916) 414-6713

In Reply Refer To:
Consultation Code: 08ESMF00-2021-SLI-0584
Event Code: 08ESMF00-2021-E-01636
Project Name: 11250 Cerrito Drive

December 18, 2020

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location, and/or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species, as well as proposed and final designated critical habitat, under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the Service under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Please follow the link below to see if your proposed project has the potential to affect other species or their habitats under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service:

http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/protected_species/species_list/species_lists.html

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the ECOS-IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the ECOS-IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 *et seq.*), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat.

A Biological Assessment is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2) (c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological evaluation similar to a Biological Assessment be prepared to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a Biological Assessment are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a Federal agency determines, based on the Biological Assessment or biological evaluation, that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

<http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/TOC-GLOS.PDF>

Please be aware that bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668 *et seq.*), and projects affecting these species may require development of an eagle conservation plan (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/eagle_guidance.html). Additionally, wind energy projects should follow the wind energy guidelines (<http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/>) for minimizing impacts to migratory birds and bats.

Guidance for minimizing impacts to migratory birds for projects including communications towers (e.g., cellular, digital television, radio, and emergency broadcast) can be found at: <http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/towers.htm>; <http://www.towerkill.com>; and <http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/comtow.html>.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

- Official Species List

Official Species List

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

Sacramento Fish And Wildlife Office

Federal Building

2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605

Sacramento, CA 95825-1846

(916) 414-6600

Project Summary

Consultation Code: 08ESMF00-2021-SLI-0584

Event Code: 08ESMF00-2021-E-01636


Project Name: 11250 Cerrito Drive

Project Type: ** OTHER **

Project Description: Bio Assessment

Project Location:

Approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <https://www.google.com/maps/place/39.032370856607784N122.7067001181762W>



Counties: Lake, CA

Endangered Species Act Species

There is a total of 4 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries¹, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

-
1. [NOAA Fisheries](#), also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

Birds

NAME	STATUS
Northern Spotted Owl <i>Strix occidentalis caurina</i> There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1123	Threatened

Amphibians

NAME	STATUS
California Red-legged Frog <i>Rana draytonii</i> There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2891 Species survey guidelines: https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/guideline/survey/population/205/office/11420.pdf	Threatened

Fishes

NAME	STATUS
Delta Smelt <i>Hypomesus transpacificus</i> There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/321	Threatened

Flowering Plants

NAME	STATUS
Burke's Goldfields <i>Lasthenia burkei</i> No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4338	Endangered

Critical habitats

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.

APPENDIX 2: CHECKLIST OF PLANTS DETECTED IN THE STUDY AREA

Appendix 2:

Plants Observed at 11250 Cerrito Drive, Clearlake Oaks on December 29, 2020

Common Name	Scientific Name
Deerweed	<i>Acmispon glaber</i>
Chamise	<i>Adenostoma fasciculatum</i>
California buckeye	<i>Aesculus californicus</i>
Bentgrass	<i>Agrostis sp.</i>
Silver hairgrass	<i>Aira caryophylla</i>
Common fiddleneck	<i>Amsinckia menziesii</i>
Pearly everlasting	<i>Anaphalis margaritacea</i>
Silvery everlasting	<i>Antennaria argentea</i>
Pine dwarf mistletoe	<i>Arceuthobium campylopodum</i>
Common manzanita	<i>Arctostaphylos manzanita ssp. manzanita</i>
Whiteleaf manzanita	<i>Arctostaphylos viscida ssp. viscida</i>
Slender wild oat	<i>Avena barbata</i>
Wild oat	<i>Avena fatua</i>
Coyote brush	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i>
California brome	<i>Bromus carinatus</i>
Ripgut brome	<i>Bromus diandrus</i>
Soft chess	<i>Bromus hordeaceus</i>
Madrid brome	<i>Bromus madritensis</i>
Cheat grass	<i>Bromus tectorum</i>
Wedge leaf ceanothus	<i>Ceanothus cuneatus</i>
Deerbrush	<i>Ceanothus integerrimus var. macrothyrsus</i>
Maltese star thistle	<i>Centaurea melitensis</i>
Yellow star thistle	<i>Centaurea solstitialis</i>
Western redbud	<i>Cercis occidentalis</i>
Birchleaf mountain mahogany	<i>Cercocarpus betuloides</i>
Wavy leaf soap plant	<i>Chlorogalum pomeridianum</i>
Thistle	<i>Cirsium sp.</i>
Bull thistle	<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>
Clarkia	<i>Clarkia sp.</i>
Dove weed	<i>Croton setiger</i>
Dogtail grass	<i>Cynosurus echinoides</i>
Sticky cinquefoil	<i>Drymocallis glandulosa</i>
Tall willowherb	<i>Epilobium brachycarpum</i>
Canada horseweed	<i>Erigeron canadensis</i>
Yerba santa	<i>Eriodictyon californicum</i>
Wooly sunflower	<i>Eriophyllum lanatum</i>
Filaree	<i>Erodium sp.</i>
Brome fescue	<i>Festuca bromoides</i>
Rattail sixweeks grass	<i>Festuca myuros</i>
Narrowleaf cottonrose	<i>Filago gallica</i>
California coffeeberry	<i>Frangula californica</i>

Common Name	Scientific Name
Two-petal ash	<i>Fraxinus dipetala</i>
Bolander's bedstraw	<i>Galium bolanderi</i>
Bedstraw	<i>Galium sp.</i>
Nit grass	<i>Gastridium phleoides</i>
Toyon	<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>
Shortpod mustard	<i>Hirschfeldia incana</i>
Goldwire	<i>Hypericum concinnum</i>
Iris	<i>Iris sp.</i>
Chaparral honeysuckle	<i>Lonicera interrupta</i>
Horehound	<i>Marrubium vulgare</i>
Coyote mint	<i>Monardella villosa</i>
Navarretia	<i>Navarretia sp.</i>
Goldback fern	<i>Pentagramma triangularis</i>
American mistletoe	<i>Phoradendron leucarpum</i>
Chaparral pea	<i>Pickeringia montana</i>
Knobcone pine	<i>Pinus attenuata</i>
Ponderosa pine	<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>
Gray pine	<i>Pinus sabiniana</i>
English plantain	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>
California scrub oak	<i>Quercus berberidifolia</i>
Blue oak	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>
California black oak	<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>
Bush interior live oak	<i>Quercus wislizeni var. frutescens</i>
Interior live oak	<i>Quercus wislizeni var. wislizeni</i>
Fragrant sumac	<i>Rhus aromatica</i>
Chaparral currant	<i>Ribes malvaceum</i>
Pacific sanicle	<i>Sanicula crassicaulis</i>
Tall sock-destroyer	<i>Torilis arvensis</i>
Poison-oak	<i>Toxicodendron diversilobum</i>
Death camas	<i>Toxicoscordion sp.</i>
California bay	<i>Umbellularia californica</i>
Spring vetch	<i>Vicia sativa</i>
Winter vetch	<i>Vicia villosa</i>
Smooth mule ears	<i>Wyethia glabra</i>

APPENDIX 3: SITE PHOTOS









