

Laura Hall

From: Lori Baca
Sent: Friday, April 18, 2025 8:13 AM
To: Laura Hall
Subject: RE: Request For Review for Major Use Permit PL-25-48/ UP 25-03 Esther Formula USA and CEQA Exemption CE 25-03:

Laura,

The parcels are outside of any Special Districts service area.

Lori A. Baca

Customer Service Supervisor

Lori.Baca@lakecountyca.gov

Office Number (707) 263-0119

Fax (707) 263-3836



From: Laura Hall <Laura.Hall@lakecountyca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 17, 2025 5:00 PM
To: Douglas Gearhart <dougg@lcaqmd.net>; Elizabeth Knight <elizabethk@lcaqmd.net>; Ryan Lewelling <Ryan.Lewelling@lakecountyca.gov>; Lori Baca <Lori.Baca@lakecountyca.gov>; preitz@lakeportfire.com; Craig Wetherbee <Craig.Wetherbee@lakecountyca.gov>; R2CEQA@wildlife.ca.gov; dchance@cityoflakeport.com; dnorris@lakeport.k12.ca.us; centralvalleysac@waterboards.ca.gov; skrul@chp.ca.gov; james.scott@lakecountyca.gov; Greg Peters <Greg.Peters@lakecountyca.gov>; Daniel.Gjerde@dot.ca.gov; Luke Bingham <Lucas.Bingham@lakecountyca.gov>; Lori Baca <Lori.Baca@lakecountyca.gov>; Vance Ricks <Vance.Ricks@lakecountyca.gov>; Greg Peters <Greg.Peters@lakecountyca.gov>; Bruce.Mccracken@wasteconnections.com; Lars Ewing <Lars.Ewing@lakecountyca.gov>; gdm@paratransit.net; cal@cobbareawater.com; PGEPlanReview@pge.com; roberta.lyons@att.net; redbud.audubon@gmail.com; elioth@sscra.org; gscottdtm@gmail.com; bray@big-valley.net; chairman@big-valley.net; sryan@big-valley.net; l.brown.elem@gmail.com; Agustin Garcia (agarcia@elemindiancolony.com) <agarcia@elemindiancolony.com>; apopaditch@elemindiancolony.com; cmota@elemindiancolony.com; rsteele@knwi.org; dcirelli@hpultribe-nsn.gov; aaroyosr@hpultribe-nsn.gov; rgeary@hpultribe-nsn.gov; jley@hpultribe-nsn.gov; Ideas@hpultribe-nsn.gov; dczares@hpultribe-nsn.gov; dbeltran@koination.com; yolandatovar@koination.com; tc@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; lgalupe@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; kcole@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; thpo@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; bcromwell@rrcbc-nsn.gov; ejcrandell@rrcbc-nsn.gov; lsantana@rrcbc-nsn.gov; shawn.davis@sv-nsn.gov; alondra.herrera@sv-nsn.gov; patty.franklin@sv-nsn.gov; cww281@gmail.com; sjelliott@hoplandtribe.com; ta@hoplandtribe.com; welliott@hoplandtribe.com; scottg@mishewalwappotribe.com; admin@rvrpomo.net; enved@rvrpomo.net; thpo@yochadehe-nsn.gov; jkinter@yochadehe-nsn.gov; aroberts@yochadehe-nsn.gov
Cc: Joseph Ahn <j.ahn@estherformulausa.com>; ruskali@estherformulausa.com

Laura Hall

From: Ryan Lewelling
Sent: Wednesday, April 23, 2025 9:46 AM
To: Laura Hall
Subject: RE: Request For Review for Major Use Permit PL-25-48/ UP 25-03 Esther Formula USA and CEQA Exemption CE 25-03:

Laura,

The Assessor's Office has only the following comments at this time:

- Property taxes are current as of 4/4/2025.
- Ownership confirmed per doc no. 2022009211

Ryan Lewelling
Cadastral Mapping Specialist,
Assessor-Recorder, County of Lake, California
707-263-2302 | Ryan.Lewelling@LakeCountyCA.gov

From: Laura Hall <Laura.Hall@lakecountyca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 17, 2025 5:00 PM
To: Douglas Gearhart <doug@lcaqmd.net>; Elizabeth Knight <elizabethk@lcaqmd.net>; Ryan Lewelling <Ryan.Lewelling@lakecountyca.gov>; Lori Baca <Lori.Baca@lakecountyca.gov>; preitz@lakeportfire.com; Craig Wetherbee <Craig.Wetherbee@lakecountyca.gov>; R2CEQA@wildlife.ca.gov; dchance@cityoflakeport.com; dnorris@lakeport.k12.ca.us; centralvalleysac@waterboards.ca.gov; skrul@chp.ca.gov; james.scott@lakecountyca.gov; Greg Peters <Greg.Peters@lakecountyca.gov>; Daniel.Gjerde@dot.ca.gov; Luke Bingham <Lucas.Bingham@lakecountyca.gov>; Lori Baca <Lori.Baca@lakecountyca.gov>; Vance Ricks <Vance.Ricks@lakecountyca.gov>; Greg Peters <Greg.Peters@lakecountyca.gov>; Bruce.Mccracken@wasteconnections.com; Lars Ewing <Lars.Ewing@lakecountyca.gov>; gdm@paratransit.net; cal@cobbareawater.com; PGEPlanReview@pge.com; roberta.lyons@att.net; redbud.audubon@gmail.com; elioth@sscra.org; gscottdtm@gmail.com; bray@big-valley.net; chairman@big-valley.net; sryan@big-valley.net; l.brown.elem@gmail.com; Agustin Garcia (agarcia@elemindiancolony.com) <agarcia@elemindiancolony.com>; apopaditch@elemindiancolony.com; cmota@elemindiancolony.com; rsteele@knwi.org; dcirelli@hpultribe-nsn.gov; aaroyosr@hpultribe-nsn.gov; rgeary@hpultribe-nsn.gov; jley@hpultribe-nsn.gov; Ideas@hpultribe-nsn.gov; dcazares@hpultribe-nsn.gov; dbeltran@koination.com; yolandatovar@koination.com; tc@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; lgalupe@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; kcole@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; thpo@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; bcromwell@rrcbc-nsn.gov; ejcrandell@rrcbc-nsn.gov; lsantana@rrcbc-nsn.gov; shawn.davis@sv-nsn.gov; alondra.herrera@sv-nsn.gov; patty.franklin@sv-nsn.gov; cww281@gmail.com; sjelliott@hoplandtribe.com; ta@hoplandtribe.com; welliott@hoplandtribe.com; scottg@mishewalwappotribe.com; admin@rvrpomo.net; enved@rvrpomo.net; thpo@yochadehe-nsn.gov; jkinter@yochadehe-nsn.gov; aroberts@yochadehe-nsn.gov
Cc: Joseph Ahn <j.ahn@estherformulausa.com>; ruskali@estherformulausa.com
Subject: Request For Review for Major Use Permit PL-25-48/ UP 25-03 Esther Formula USA and CEQA Exemption CE 25-03:

Dear Agencies and Other Interested Parties,

This is a Request for Review (RFR) for Major Use Permit PL-25-48/ UP 25-03 Esther Formula USA and CEQA Exemption CE 25-03 to allow for a dietary supplement manufacturing business at 4615 Work Right Circle, Lakeport, CA 95453



April 21, 2025

Ref: Gas and Electric Transmission and Distribution

Hello,

Thank you for submitting project plans for our review. PG&E will review the submitted plans in relationship to any existing Gas and Electric facilities within the project area. If the proposed project is adjacent/or within PG&E owned property and/or easements, we will be working with you to ensure compatible uses and activities near our facilities.

Attached you will find information and requirements as it relates to Gas facilities (Attachment 1) and Electric facilities (Attachment 2). Please review these in detail, as it is critical to ensure your safety and to protect PG&E's facilities and its existing rights.

Below is additional information for your review:

1. This plan review process does not replace the application process for PG&E gas or electric service your project may require. For these requests, please continue to work with PG&E Service Planning: <https://www.pge.com/en/account/service-requests/building-and-renovation.html>.
2. If the project being submitted is part of a larger project, please include the entire scope of your project, and not just a portion of it. PG&E's facilities are to be incorporated within any CEQA document. PG&E needs to verify that the CEQA document will identify any required future PG&E services.
3. An engineering deposit may be required to review plans for a project depending on the size, scope, and location of the project and as it relates to any rearrangement or new installation of PG&E facilities.

Any proposed uses within the PG&E fee strip and/or easement, may include a California Public Utility Commission (CPUC) Section 851 filing. This requires the CPUC to render approval for a conveyance of rights for specific uses on PG&E's fee strip or easement. PG&E will advise if the necessity to incorporate a CPUC Section 851 filing is required.

This letter does not constitute PG&E's consent to use any portion of its easement for any purpose not previously conveyed. PG&E will provide a project specific response as required.

Sincerely,

Plan Review Team
Land Management



Attachment 1 – Gas Facilities

There could be gas transmission pipelines in this area which would be considered critical facilities for PG&E and a high priority subsurface installation under California law. Care must be taken to ensure safety and accessibility. So, please ensure that if PG&E approves work near gas transmission pipelines it is done in adherence with the below stipulations. Additionally, the following link provides additional information regarding legal requirements under California excavation laws: <https://www.usanorth811.org/images/pdfs/CA-LAW-2018.pdf>

1. Standby Inspection: A PG&E Gas Transmission Standby Inspector must be present during any demolition or construction activity that comes within 10 feet of the gas pipeline. This includes all grading, trenching, substructure depth verifications (potholes), asphalt or concrete demolition/removal, removal of trees, signs, light poles, etc. This inspection can be coordinated through the Underground Service Alert (USA) service at 811. A minimum notice of 48 hours is required. Ensure the USA markings and notifications are maintained throughout the duration of your work.
2. Access: At any time, PG&E may need to access, excavate, and perform work on the gas pipeline. Any construction equipment, materials, or spoils may need to be removed upon notice. Any temporary construction fencing installed within PG&E's easement would also need to be capable of being removed at any time upon notice. Any plans to cut temporary slopes exceeding a 1:4 grade within 10 feet of a gas transmission pipeline need to be approved by PG&E Pipeline Services in writing PRIOR to performing the work.
3. Wheel Loads: To prevent damage to the buried gas pipeline, there are weight limits that must be enforced whenever any equipment gets within 10 feet of traversing the pipe.

Ensure a list of the axle weights of all equipment being used is available for PG&E's Standby Inspector. To confirm the depth of cover, the pipeline may need to be potholed by hand in a few areas.

Due to the complex variability of tracked equipment, vibratory compaction equipment, and cranes, PG&E must evaluate those items on a case-by-case basis prior to use over the gas pipeline (provide a list of any proposed equipment of this type noting model numbers and specific attachments).

No equipment may be set up over the gas pipeline while operating. Ensure crane outriggers are at least 10 feet from the centerline of the gas pipeline. Transport trucks must not be parked over the gas pipeline while being loaded or unloaded.

4. Grading: PG&E requires a minimum of 36 inches of cover over gas pipelines (or existing grade if less) and a maximum of 7 feet of cover at all locations. The graded surface cannot exceed a cross slope of 1:4.
5. Excavating: Any digging within 2 feet of a gas pipeline must be dug by hand. Note that while the minimum clearance is only 24 inches, any excavation work within 24 inches of the edge of a pipeline must be done with hand tools. So to avoid having to dig a trench entirely with hand tools, the edge of the trench must be over 24 inches away. (Doing the math for a 24 inch



wide trench being dug along a 36 inch pipeline, the centerline of the trench would need to be at least 54 inches [$24/2 + 24 + 36/2 = 54$] away, or be entirely dug by hand.)

Water jetting to assist vacuum excavating must be limited to 1000 psig and directed at a 40° angle to the pipe. All pile driving must be kept a minimum of 3 feet away.

Any plans to expose and support a PG&E gas transmission pipeline across an open excavation need to be approved by PG&E Pipeline Services in writing PRIOR to performing the work.

6. Boring/Trenchless Installations: PG&E Pipeline Services must review and approve all plans to bore across or parallel to (within 10 feet) a gas transmission pipeline. There are stringent criteria to pothole the gas transmission facility at regular intervals for all parallel bore installations.

For bore paths that cross gas transmission pipelines perpendicularly, the pipeline must be potholed a minimum of 2 feet in the horizontal direction of the bore path and a minimum of 24 inches in the vertical direction from the bottom of the pipe with minimum clearances measured from the edge of the pipe in both directions. Standby personnel must watch the locator trace (and every ream pass) the path of the bore as it approaches the pipeline and visually monitor the pothole (with the exposed transmission pipe) as the bore traverses the pipeline to ensure adequate clearance with the pipeline. The pothole width must account for the inaccuracy of the locating equipment.

7. Substructures: All utility crossings of a gas pipeline should be made as close to perpendicular as feasible ($90^\circ \pm 15^\circ$). All utility lines crossing the gas pipeline must have a minimum of 24 inches of separation from the gas pipeline. Parallel utilities, pole bases, water line 'kicker blocks', storm drain inlets, water meters, valves, back pressure devices or other utility substructures are not allowed in the PG&E gas pipeline easement.

If previously retired PG&E facilities are in conflict with proposed substructures, PG&E must verify they are safe prior to removal. This includes verification testing of the contents of the facilities, as well as environmental testing of the coating and internal surfaces. Timelines for PG&E completion of this verification will vary depending on the type and location of facilities in conflict.

8. Structures: No structures are to be built within the PG&E gas pipeline easement. This includes buildings, retaining walls, fences, decks, patios, carports, septic tanks, storage sheds, tanks, loading ramps, or any structure that could limit PG&E's ability to access its facilities.

9. Fencing: Permanent fencing is not allowed within PG&E easements except for perpendicular crossings which must include a 16 foot wide gate for vehicular access. Gates will be secured with PG&E corporation locks.

10. Landscaping: Landscaping must be designed to allow PG&E to access the pipeline for maintenance and not interfere with pipeline coatings or other cathodic protection systems. No trees, shrubs, brush, vines, and other vegetation may be planted within the easement area. Only those plants, ground covers, grasses, flowers, and low-growing plants that grow unsupported to a maximum of four feet (4') in height at maturity may be planted within the easement area.



11. Cathodic Protection: PG&E pipelines are protected from corrosion with an “Impressed Current” cathodic protection system. Any proposed facilities, such as metal conduit, pipes, service lines, ground rods, anodes, wires, etc. that might affect the pipeline cathodic protection system must be reviewed and approved by PG&E Corrosion Engineering.

12. Pipeline Marker Signs: PG&E needs to maintain pipeline marker signs for gas transmission pipelines in order to ensure public awareness of the presence of the pipelines. With prior written approval from PG&E Pipeline Services, an existing PG&E pipeline marker sign that is in direct conflict with proposed developments may be temporarily relocated to accommodate construction work. The pipeline marker must be moved back once construction is complete.

13. PG&E is also the provider of distribution facilities throughout many of the areas within the state of California. Therefore, any plans that impact PG&E’s facilities must be reviewed and approved by PG&E to ensure that no impact occurs which may endanger the safe operation of its facilities.



Attachment 2 – Electric Facilities

It is PG&E's policy to permit certain uses on a case by case basis within its electric transmission fee strip(s) and/or easement(s) provided such uses and manner in which they are exercised, will not interfere with PG&E's rights or endanger its facilities. Some examples/restrictions are as follows:

1. **Buildings and Other Structures:** No buildings or other structures including the foot print and eave of any buildings, swimming pools, wells or similar structures will be permitted within fee strip(s) and/or easement(s) areas. PG&E's transmission easement shall be designated on subdivision/parcel maps as **"RESTRICTED USE AREA – NO BUILDING."**
2. **Grading:** Cuts, trenches or excavations may not be made within 25 feet of our towers. Developers must submit grading plans and site development plans (including geotechnical reports if applicable), signed and dated, for PG&E's review. PG&E engineers must review grade changes in the vicinity of our towers. No fills will be allowed which would impair ground-to-conductor clearances. Towers shall not be left on mounds without adequate road access to base of tower or structure.
3. **Fences:** Walls, fences, and other structures must be installed at locations that do not affect the safe operation of PG&E's facilities. Heavy equipment access to our facilities must be maintained at all times. Metal fences are to be grounded to PG&E specifications. No wall, fence or other like structure is to be installed within 10 feet of tower footings and unrestricted access must be maintained from a tower structure to the nearest street. Walls, fences and other structures proposed along or within the fee strip(s) and/or easement(s) will require PG&E review; submit plans to PG&E Centralized Review Team for review and comment.
4. **Landscaping:** Vegetation may be allowed; subject to review of plans. On overhead electric transmission fee strip(s) and/or easement(s), plant only low-growing shrubs under the wire zone and only grasses within the area directly below the tower. Along the border of the transmission line right-of-way, plant only small trees no taller than 10 feet in height at maturity. PG&E must have access to its facilities at all times, including access by heavy equipment. No planting is to occur within the footprint of the tower legs. Greenbelts are encouraged.
5. **Reservoirs, Sumps, Drainage Basins, and Ponds:** Prohibited within PG&E's fee strip(s) and/or easement(s) for electric transmission lines.
6. **Automobile Parking:** Short term parking of movable passenger vehicles and light trucks (pickups, vans, etc.) is allowed. The lighting within these parking areas will need to be reviewed by PG&E; approval will be on a case by case basis. Heavy equipment access to PG&E facilities is to be maintained at all times. Parking is to clear PG&E structures by at least 10 feet. Protection of PG&E facilities from vehicular traffic is to be provided at developer's expense AND to PG&E specifications. Blocked-up vehicles are not allowed. Carports, canopies, or awnings are not allowed.
7. **Storage of Flammable, Explosive or Corrosive Materials:** There shall be no storage of fuel or combustibles and no fueling of vehicles within PG&E's easement. No trash bins or incinerators are allowed.



8. Streets and Roads: Access to facilities must be maintained at all times. Street lights may be allowed in the fee strip(s) and/or easement(s) but in all cases must be reviewed by PG&E for proper clearance. Roads and utilities should cross the transmission easement as nearly at right angles as possible. Road intersections will not be allowed within the transmission easement.

9. Pipelines: Pipelines may be allowed provided crossings are held to a minimum and to be as nearly perpendicular as possible. Pipelines within 25 feet of PG&E structures require review by PG&E. Sprinklers systems may be allowed; subject to review. Leach fields and septic tanks are not allowed. Construction plans must be submitted to PG&E for review and approval prior to the commencement of any construction.

10. Signs: Signs are not allowed except in rare cases subject to individual review by PG&E.

11. Recreation Areas: Playgrounds, parks, tennis courts, basketball courts, barbecue and light trucks (pickups, vans, etc.) may be allowed; subject to review of plans. Heavy equipment access to PG&E facilities is to be maintained at all times. Parking is to clear PG&E structures by at least 10 feet. Protection of PG&E facilities from vehicular traffic is to be provided at developer's expense AND to PG&E specifications.

12. Construction Activity: Since construction activity will take place near PG&E's overhead electric lines, please be advised it is the contractor's responsibility to be aware of, and observe the minimum clearances for both workers and equipment operating near high voltage electric lines set out in the High-Voltage Electrical Safety Orders of the California Division of Industrial Safety (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/Title8/sb5g2.html>), as well as any other safety regulations. Contractors shall comply with California Public Utilities Commission General Order 95 (http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/gos/GO95/go_95_startup_page.html) and all other safety rules. No construction may occur within 25 feet of PG&E's towers. All excavation activities may only commence after 811 protocols has been followed.

Contractor shall ensure the protection of PG&E's towers and poles from vehicular damage by (installing protective barriers) Plans for protection barriers must be approved by PG&E prior to construction.

13. PG&E is also the owner of distribution facilities throughout many of the areas within the state of California. Therefore, any plans that impact PG&E's facilities must be reviewed and approved by PG&E to ensure that no impact occurs that may endanger the safe and reliable operation of its facilities.



May 1, 2025

Laura Hall
County of Lake
Community Development Department
Planning Division

Re: Esther Formula USA PL-25-48/UP 25-03
4615 Work Right Circle, Lakeport, CA 95453; APN 008-032-51

Dear Laura,

Thank you for providing PG&E the opportunity to review the proposed plans for Esther Formula USA dated April 17, 2025. Our review indicates the proposed improvements do not appear to directly interfere with existing PG&E facilities or impact our easement rights.

Please note this is our preliminary review and PG&E reserves the right for additional future review as needed. This letter shall not in any way alter, modify, or terminate any provision of any existing easement rights. If there are subsequent modifications made to the design, we ask that you resubmit the plans to the email address listed below.

If the project requires PG&E gas or electrical service in the future, please continue to work with PG&E's Service Planning department: <https://www.pge.com/cco/>.

As a reminder, before any digging or excavation occurs, please contact Underground Service Alert (USA) by dialing 811 a minimum of 2 working days prior to commencing any work. This free and independent service will ensure that all existing underground utilities are identified and marked on-site.

If you have any questions regarding our response, please contact the PG&E Plan Review Team at pgeplanreview@pge.com.

Sincerely,

PG&E Plan Review Team
Land Management

Laura Hall

From: Gjerde, Daniel W@DOT <Daniel.Gjerde@dot.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 21, 2025 9:49 AM
To: Laura Hall
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Request For Review for Major Use Permit PL-25-48/ UP 25-03 Esther Formula USA and CEQA Exemption CE 25-03:

Hi Laura,

In this case, Caltrans has not comment.

Thank you.

Dan Gjerde

Local Development Review Coordinator
System Planning & LDR
707-497-7742 (cell)



From: Laura Hall <Laura.Hall@lakecountyca.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 21, 2025 9:44 AM
To: Gjerde, Daniel W@DOT <Daniel.Gjerde@dot.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Request For Review for Major Use Permit PL-25-48/ UP 25-03 Esther Formula USA and CEQA Exemption CE 25-03:

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dan,

Yes, that is correct.

Thank you,

Laura

From: Gjerde, Daniel W@DOT <Daniel.Gjerde@dot.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 18, 2025 2:23 PM
To: Laura Hall <Laura.Hall@lakecountyca.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Request For Review for Major Use Permit PL-25-48/ UP 25-03 Esther Formula USA and CEQA Exemption CE 25-03:

Hello Laura,

It appears this is a re-use of an existing building and parking lot, located near the Lakeport airport.

Can you clarify if this is the case?

Thanks.

Dan Gjerde

Local Development Review Coordinator
System Planning & LDR
707-497-7742 (cell)



From: Laura Hall <Laura.Hall@lakecountyca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, April 17, 2025 5:00 PM

To: Douglas Gearhart <dougg@lcaqmd.net>; Elizabeth Knight <elizabethk@lcaqmd.net>; Ryan Lewelling <Ryan.Lewelling@lakecountyca.gov>; Lori Baca <Lori.Baca@lakecountyca.gov>; preitz@lakeportfire.com; Craig Wetherbee <Craig.Wetherbee@lakecountyca.gov>; R2CEQA@wildlife.ca.gov; dchance@cityoflakeport.com; dnorris@lakeport.k12.ca.us; centralvalleysac@waterboards.ca.gov; Krul, Steven@CHP <SKrul@chp.ca.gov>; james.scott@lakecountyca.gov; Greg Peters <Greg.Peters@lakecountyca.gov>; Gjerde, Daniel W@DOT <Daniel.Gjerde@dot.ca.gov>; Luke Bingham <Lucas.Bingham@lakecountyca.gov>; Lori Baca <Lori.Baca@lakecountyca.gov>; Vance Ricks <Vance.Ricks@lakecountyca.gov>; Greg Peters <Greg.Peters@lakecountyca.gov>; Bruce.Mccracken@wasteconnections.com; Lars Ewing <Lars.Ewing@lakecountyca.gov>; gdm@paratransit.net; cal@cobbareawater.com; PGEPlanReview@pge.com; roberta.lyons@att.net; redbud.audubon@gmail.com; elioth@sscra.org; gscottdtm@gmail.com; External, bray@DOT <bray@big-valley.net>; External, chairman@DOT <chairman@big-valley.net>; External, sryan.big-valley@DOT <sryan@big-valley.net>; External, l.brown.elem@DOT <l.brown.elem@gmail.com>; Agustin Garcia (agarcia@elemindiancolony.com) <agarcia@elemindiancolony.com>; apopaditch@elemindiancolony.com; cmota@elemindiancolony.com; rsteale@knwi.org; dcirelli@hpultribe-nsn.gov; aaroyosr@hpultribe-nsn.gov; External, rgeary@DOT <rgeary@hpultribe-nsn.gov>; jley@hpultribe-nsn.gov; Ideas@hpultribe-nsn.gov; dcazares@hpultribe-nsn.gov; External, dbeltran@DOT <dbeltran@koination.com>; yolandatovar@koination.com; tc@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; lgalupe@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; kcole@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; thpo@middletownrancheria-nsn.gov; External, bcromwell <bcromwell@rrcbc-nsn.gov>; ejcrandell@rrcbc-nsn.gov; External, lsantana@DOT <lsantana@rrcbc-nsn.gov>; External, shawn.davis@DOT <shawn.davis@sv-nsn.gov>; alondra.herrera@sv-nsn.gov; External, pfranklin@DOT <patty.franklin@sv-nsn.gov>; cww281@gmail.com; External, sjelliott@DOT <sjelliott@hoplandtribe.com>; ta@hoplandtribe.com; welliott@hoplandtribe.com; scottg@mishewalwappotribe.com; External, admin.rvrpomo@DOT <admin@rvrpomo.net>; enved@rvrpomo.net; thpo@yochadehe-nsn.gov; jkinter@yochadehe-nsn.gov; aroberts@yochadehe-nsn.gov
Cc: Joseph Ahn <j.ahn@estherformulausa.com>; ruskali@estherformulausa.com
Subject: Request For Review for Major Use Permit PL-25-48/ UP 25-03 Esther Formula USA and CEQA Exemption CE 25-03:

EXTERNAL EMAIL. Links/attachments may not be safe.

Dear Agencies and Other Interested Parties,

Laura Hall

From: Craig Wetherbee
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2025 4:11 PM
To: Laura Hall
Cc: Christina Gearhart
Subject: FW: Work Right Building at 4615 Work Right Circle in Lakeport (APN 008-032-51):

Hi Laura,

I had to put this to one of my Senior Inspectors as I have never been involved in a Pump Test before. After review, Chris offered the following comments:

- 1) I took a quick look and couldn't find a pump test (for a test that we witnessed) in the shared drive file.
- 2) The use permit document we have on file (Master File batch 5, pg 2) mentions the test needing to be done (not that it was done at that time-although it may have been done after that time).
- 3) I would think they would want to (and should) do a new test since groundwater levels and supply have changed and the last test was about 30-35 years ago (given use permit 90-1 is 1990 and they did the test sometime around then).

She also asked for clarification regarding what you mean by the test being "relevant". A test would most likely be valid at the time, but may not necessarily remain so today due to changes in the water use and availability in that area. In any case, a new test would probably be recommended.

Craig Wetherbee, REHS

Director of Environmental Health

707-263-1164

Craig.Wetherbee@lakecountyca.gov



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: Please be advised, this email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entities to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender

immediately by email if you have received this email by mistake and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

From: Christina Gearhart <Christina.Gearhart@lakecountyca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2025 3:37 PM
To: Craig Wetherbee <Craig.Wetherbee@lakecountyca.gov>
Subject: RE: Work Right Building at 4615 Work Right Circle in Lakeport (APN 008-032-51):

I have witnessed pump tests in the past. I think planning has specific requirements for sustained flow for lot splits (which is usually when we were involved). Commercial probably has it's own requirements too. In terms of whether the pump test is still "valid", I offer the following comments: 1) I took a quick look and couldn't find a pump test (for a test that we witnessed) in the shared drive file, 2) The use permit document we have on file (Master File batch 5, pg 2) mentions the test needing to be done (not that it was done at that time-although it may have been done after that time) and 3) I would think they would want to (and should) do a new test since groundwater levels and supply have changed and the last test was about 30-35 years ago (given use permit 90-1 is 1990 and they did the test sometime around then). You might ask for clarification regarding what she means by the test being "relevant", as I don't know if she's asking if the test is still valid or if the test is needed per our requirements.

Warm Regards,
Chris

Christina Gearhart
Environmental Health Specialist
Lake County Environmental Health
922 Bevins Ct.
Lakeport, CA 95453
(707) 263-1164
(707) 263-1681 (FAX)
Christina.Gearhart@lakecountyca.gov



SAVE PAPER – THINK BEFORE YOU PRINT

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents or messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, then you are (1) notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, saving, reading or use of this information is strictly prohibited, (2) requested to discard and delete this e-mail and any attachments, and (3) requested to immediately notify the sender by phone or e-mail that you mistakenly received this message.

From: Craig Wetherbee <Craig.Wetherbee@lakecountyca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2025 3:08 PM
To: Christina Gearhart <Christina.Gearhart@lakecountyca.gov>
Subject: FW: Work Right Building at 4615 Work Right Circle in Lakeport (APN 008-032-51):

Thoughts? I have never seen us review a pump test.

Craig Wetherbee, REHS

Director of Environmental Health

707-263-1164

Craig.Wetherbee@lakecountyca.gov



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: Please be advised, this email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entities to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately by email if you have received this email by mistake and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

From: Laura Hall <Laura.Hall@lakecountyca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2025 2:26 PM

To: Craig Wetherbee <Craig.Wetherbee@lakecountyca.gov>

Subject: Work Right Building at 4615 Work Right Circle in Lakeport (APN 008-032-51):

Craig,

For the original Use Permit 90-1 that was completed for Work Right Products, Inc. on property located at 4615 Work Right Circle in Lakeport (APN 008-032-51), a 72-hour pump test was done to establish adequate supply of water for both domestic and fire purposes subject to approval of the Health Department. Can you please tell me if that test is still relevant?

Thank you,

Laura