Section / Topic	Jan 6, 2025 IS/MND	Apr 25, 2025 Redline	Impact / Why it matters
Grading Permit references (GR 25-01)	Olincluded/cross-	GR 25-01 references removed from packet and cross-refs.	Removal obscures the scope of grading/ground disturbance and related permits → likely recirculation (§15073.5(a)).
Project description (use/scope)	Described as "immature plant nursery" (narrower operational implications).	broader activities (lighting,	Scope expansion can alter impact conclusions (energy, air/noise, glare, hazards) → recirculation (§15073.5(b)(1)).
Workforce / trip generation	Consistent staffing (e.g., two employees) used for trips/operations.	Text shows "two (2) one (1) to nine (9) employees", materially changing daily trips/peaks.	Changed trip assumptions affect transportation safety/evacuation/noise → recirculation (\$15073.5).
Comments considered with the IS/MND	Public/agency comments aligned to the earlier version; mixed metadata.	Commission/Board considered the Apr 25 redline without recirculating comments tied to that version.	Decision-makers must consider the IS/MND together with comments on that same version (§15074(b)); may require re-notice (§15087(d)) and recirculation.
Identifier consistency (IS no., filenames)	Labels vary (IS 23-20 / IS 23-29) in notices/staff materials.	Some corrections; other inconsistencies remain across uploads.	Record integrity/notice clarity issue; re-notice may be required if confusion affected public review (§15087(d)).
Mitigation Monitoring & Reporting Program (MMRP)	Mitigations embedded in narrative; no stand-alone MMRP assigning timing/responsible party/verification.	Same—no adopted standalone MMRP before action.	Violates PRC §21081.6(a) (must adopt an enforceable MMRP before approval). Not a recirculation trigger by itself unless mitigation changes significance.