Chapter 6 – Exhibit Index

Footn ote / Figure	Description	Disposition	
[A1]	CEQA Guidelines §§15063(d), 15125(a) – baseline requirements	No – statute	
[A10]	Chapter 4 reference – vegetation removal prior to survey	Cross- reference – no exhibit	
[A2]	Natural Investigations Co. Biological Resources Assessment (Nov. 3, 2020)	Yes – Include in this chapter	01 Natural Investigations 3 Nov 2020 BR.pdf
[A8]	NWI mapping, historical accounts, satellite imagery, sales materials	Yes – include supporting exhibits	07 Poverty Flats historically.pdf 04 Mauldin Files High Valley Story Page 7201—7400 Loopnet realestate marketing https://www.loopnet.com/Listing/10535- High-Valley-Rd-Clearlake-Oaks-CA/13057729/
Figure A1	Overlay of Biological Assessment area vs cultivation footprint	Yes – Include in this chapter	08 Imagery for Biological Chapter.pdf 01 Natural Investigations 3 Nov 2020 BR.pdf 02 Biological Assessment Graening 2024.pdf
Figure A2	Graening & Associates parcel coverage graphic	Yes – Include in this chapter	08 Imagery for Biological Chapter.pdf 01 Natural Investigations 3 Nov 2020 BR.pdf 02 Biological Assessment Graening 2024.pdf

Figure	National Wetland	Yes –	08 Imagery for Biological Chapter.pdf
A3	Inventory Map of	Include in	oo imagery for biological chapter.put
/ 13	Poverty Flats	this chapter	01 Natural Investigations 3 Nov 2020 BR.pdf
			02 Biological Assessment Graening 2024.pdf
			USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) mapped riverine features within/adjacent to parcel https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/
Figure	Loopnet real estate	Yes –	Loopnet realestate marketing
A4	listing slide – parcel	Include in	https://www.loopnet.com/Listing/10535-
/ -	description	this chapter	High-Valley-Rd-Clearlake-Oaks-CA/13057729/
[A3]	Graening &	Yes –	02 Biological Assessment Graening 2024.pdf
	Associates Biological	Include in	
	Review (2023)	this chapter	
[A4]	Sundstrom v.	No – case	
	Mendocino County	law	
	(1988) 202		
	Cal.App.3d 296		
[A5]	Golden Door	No – case	
	Properties v. County	law	
	of San Diego (2020)		
	50 Cal.App.5th 467		
[A6]	Kings County Farm	No – case	
	Bureau v. City of	law	
	Hanford (1990) 221		
	Cal.App.3d 692		
[A7]	Communities for a	No – case	
	Better Environment	law	
	v. South Coast AQMD		
	(2010) 48 Cal.4th 310		
[B1]	CEQA Guidelines	No – statute	
	§§15063(d),		
	15125(a); CWA		

	§§401/404; Fish & Game Code §1602		
[B2]	CDFW Comment Letter (Mar. 18, 2024 – Kyle Stoner) requesting delineation	Yes – Include in this chapter	09 Max Stockton and Kyle Stoner CDFW letter Jan 6 and Feb 6.pdf
[B3]	RWQCB Comment (Sept. 2024) on need for CWA §§404/401 permitting	Yes – Include in this chapter	06 RWQCB letter for Biological Chapter
[B2a]	CDFW correspondence (no site visit since 2020; no LSA Notification filed)	Yes – Include in this chapter	05 No LSA for Poverty Flats Email (Redacted).pdf
[B4]	IS/MND (Revised Jan. 6, 2025) excerpts – Fig. 2 & Hydrology/HYD-3	Yes see 00 Master exhibit	
[B8]	Evidence of culvert/stream- channel modification within Class III drainage (no LSA)	Cross Reference	
[B5]	Regulatory triggers for delineation (USACE Manual; WOTUS definitions; §401; §1602)	No – statute/refe rence	
[B6]	County Surveyor confirmation that GIS-based setbacks are inadequate for legal buffers	Cross- reference – no exhibit	

[B7]	SWRCB General Order / CGP–SWPPP requirements	No – programmat ic reference	
[B9]	Chapter 4 on Grading – cross-reference	Cross- reference – no exhibit	
[C1]	CEQA Guidelines §§15063(d), 15125(a) – biological baseline	No – statute	
[C2]	IS/MND (Revised Jan. 6, 2025), BIO-1 and biological resources analysis	Yes see 00 Master exhibit	
[C3]	Graening & Associates, Independent/Technic al Biological Review (2023/2024)	Yes – Include in this chapter	02 Biological Assessment Graening 2024.pdf
[C5]	CEQA Guidelines §15380; Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 16 U.S.C. §§703–712	No – statute	
[C4]	Evidence of Bald Eagle on parcel (June 4, 2025); FGC §3511; BGEPA, 16 U.S.C. §668	Yes – Include in this chapter	03 Bald Eagle on Poverty Flats.mp4
Figure C1	Screen capture/video still of Bald Eagle on Poverty Flats property	Yes – Include in this chapter	03 Bald Eagle on Poverty Flats
[C6]	Cross-references to Sections A–B and Chapters 4 & 9	Cross- reference – no exhibit	

[D1]	CEQA Guidelines §§15130, 15126.2(a), 15064(b) – cumulative/wildlife movement	No – statute	
[D2]	CEQA Appendix G – Biological Resources (movement/corridor s/nursery sites)	No – statute	
[D3]	PRC §21083.05; Fish & Game Code §1602 – riparian/wetland consideration and LSAA triggers	No – statute	
[D4]	CWA §§401/404; CEQA §§15063(d), 15125(a) – hydrologic connectivity context	No – statute/refe rence	
[D5]	CEQA Guidelines §§15125(c), 15126.4(a)(1) – program context & mechanism-specific mitigation	No – statute	
[D6]	Cross-reference Chapter 9 (Slopes and Survey) – mapped gradients & erosion susceptibility	Cross- reference – no exhibit	
[D7]	CEQA Guidelines §§15131(c), 15126.2(a) – ecological processes & restoration context	No – statute	

[D8]	Cross-reference Hydrology chapter & Section B – flow- dependent connectivity and setbacks	Cross- reference – no exhibit	
[E1]	CEQA Guidelines §15126.4(a)(1); §15070(b)(1); PRC §21002.1(b) – enforceable mitigation	No – statute	
[E2]	Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 296	No – case law	
[E6]	IS/MND (Revised Jan. 6, 2025) – Mitigation Measure BIO-1 (pp. 29–31)	Yes see 00 Master exhibit	
[E3]	Golden Door Properties, LLC v. County of San Diego (2020) 50 Cal.App.5th 467	No – case law	
[E4]	Kings County Farm Bureau v. City of Hanford (1990) 221 Cal.App.3d 692	No – case law	
[E5]	Communities for a Better Environment v. South Coast AQMD (2010) 48 Cal.4th 310	No – case law	
[E7]	SWRCB Cannabis General Order WQ 2019-0001-DWQ;	No – programmat ic reference	

	CGP / SWPPP –	T	
	programmatic BMPs		
[F1]	CEQA Guidelines §§15063(d)(2), 15130(a); PRC §21083(b)(2) – cumulative impacts	No – statute	
[F2]	State approvals (Sept. 2025) for BRC- funded projects (e.g., Clear Lake Keys; UC Davis HOS)	No Reference State briefings	Blue Ribbon Committee for the Rehabilitation of Clear Lake, General Fund Allocations (2025) for Harmful Algal Bloom reduction projects in Oaks Arm and Clearlake Oaks Keys. California Natural Resources Agency and Blue Ribbon Committee funding summaries and meeting materials (2021–2025), as cited in County reports and State briefings describing cumulative allocations "over \$13 million" to date. 10 BRC_Meeting33_FundingExcerpt.pdf
[F3]	Construction General Permit (Order 2009- 0009-DWQ et seq.); Water Code §§13260–13264	No – statute	
[F4]	Discussion of sediment/nutrient runoff to Schindler Creek & Oaks Arm/Keys (BRC context)	Cross- reference – no exhibit	
[F5]	CWA §§1251–1387; Porter-Cologne §13000 et seq.; CEQA §§15063(d)(2), 15064, 15065	No – statute	
[G1]	PRC §§21080(c), 21083(b); CEQA §§15063, 15070,	No – statute/case law	

15074(b), 15162; No	
Oil v. City of LA	