

Footnote / Figure	Description	Disposition	
[A1]	CEQA Guidelines §15126.2(a) ; Laurel Heights (purpose)	No – statute/case law	
[A2]	CEQA Guidelines §15130(a); §15065(a)(3)	No – statute	
[A3]	CEQA Guidelines §15064.3(b) ; Appendix G (c)–(d)	No – statute	
[A4]	PRC §21168.5; County of Inyo v. City of LA	No – statute/case law	
[B1]	Appendix G (safety/em ergency access); §15126.2(a)	No – statute	
[B2]	OPR Technical Advisory (Apr. 2018) – 110 trips/day is VMT-only	No – reference URL	20 Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA https://lci.ca.gov/docs/20180416-743_Technical_Advisory_4.16.18.pdf

[B3]	Caltrans Highway Design Manual (geometrics)	No – reference text	
[B4]	AASHTO Green Book (geometrics)	No – reference text	
[B5]	PRC §4290; 14 CCR §§1273.01–1273.04 (min. road stds)	No – statute	
[B6]	Caltrans LDIGR Interim Safety Guidance (July 2020)	No – reference URL	21 2020-07-01-interim-ldigr-safety-guidance-a11y.pdf https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/transportation-planning/documents/sb-743/2020-07-01-interim-ldigr-safety-guidance-a11y.pdf
Figure B1	Table 1: VMT vs Safety Standards	Yes – Include in this chapter	01 HDM 4290 and GreenBook Comparison Tables
Figure B2	Table 2: Road Standards Comparison	Yes – Include in this chapter	01 HDM 4290 and GreenBook Comparison Tables
[C1]	§15130(a)(3); Kings County v. Hanford	No – statute/case law	

[C2]	IS/MND (Apr. 2025) pp. 33–35, p. 73	Yes – Exhibit File 00	
[C3]	CEQA Guidelines §15126.2(a)	No – statute	
[C4]	OPR Technical Advisory §G	No – reference URL	
[D1]	§15384(a) substantial evidence; No Oil v. LA	No – statute/case law	
[D2]	PHA Transportat ion Consultant s letter (11/11/202 1)	Yes – Include in this chapter	02 PHA Transportation Consultants HVR Concerns
[D3]	CHP Lt. Fansler comment (responsibl e agency)	Yes – Include in this chapter	03 CHP Letter.pdf
[D4]	CHP incident records 2012–2018 vs 2019– 2025; PRC/CCR	Partial – attach CHP data; No – statute	09 CHP Log Information 2025 08 17
[D5]	Liu Farms hearing (June 2024) –	Yes. Granicus Link	Lake County Planning Commission, Liu Farms Hearing (June 2024), remarks of Commissioner Chavez (1:09:26) and Commissioner Price (1:13:28),

	timestamp s		https://lakecounty.granicus.com/player/cli/p/725?view_id=1&redirect=true
[D6]	Poverty Flats hearing (May 2025) – timestamp s	Yes – Exhibit File 00	
[D7]	SourzHVR hearing (July 22, 2021) – statements	Yes. Granicus Link	Lake County Planning Commission, SourzHVR hearing (July 22, 2021), remarks of Commissioners Brown, Hess, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wkW_bxL_HXI&t=240s
[D8]	SourzHVR hearing (July 8, 2021) – statements	Yes. Granicus Link	Lake County Planning Commission, SourzHVR hearing (July 08, 2021), https://lakecounty.granicus.com/player/cli/p/497?view_id=1&redirect=true
[D9]	§15384(a); Berkeley Keep Jets	No – statute/case law	
[D10]	PW Dir. De Leon statement (SourzHVR, 7/22/2021)	Yes – Include in this chapter	04 Sourz HVR PC Hearing transcript 2021 07 22 Youtube
[D11]	County Surveyor Ricks (Liu Farms, 1:19:28)	Yes. Granicus Link	Lake County Planning Commission, Liu Farms Hearing (June 2024), statement of County Surveyor Vance Ricks at 1:19:28, https://lakecounty.granicus.com/player/cli/p/725?view_id=1&redirect=true
[D12]	Resident YouTube channel (HVR	No – URL reference	

	incidents 2023–2025)		
[D13]	ERTH agendas – standing item HVR safety	No – URL/referen ce	
[D14]	BoS 3/11/2025 discussion (HVR safety)	Yes Provide URL	Lake County Board of Supervisors Meeting (March 11, 2025), discussion of High Valley Road safety, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f3K9dyZGGEM
[D15]	County signage; AASHTO cites	Yes – signage photo; No – reference text	05 HVR Warning Sign.pdf
[D16]	Resident Maria Kahn testimony (SourzHVR 7/8/2021)	Yes – Granicus Link	Lake County Planning Commission, SourzHVR hearing (July 08, 2021), https://lakecounty.granicus.com/player/cli/p/497?view_id=1&redirect=true
Figure D1	Safety signage at HVR entrance (photo)	Yes – Include in this chapter	05 HVR Warning Sign.pdf
[E1]	§15126.2(a) ; PRC §21168.5	No – statute	
[E2]	14 CCR §1273.01(a) – 20-ft two- lane	No – statute	
[E3]	14 CCR §1273.04(a)	No – statute	

	– 50-ft inside radius	
[E4]	14 CCR §1273.03(a) – 16% grade	No – statute
[E5]	14 CCR §1273.01(c) – all- weather 40k lbs	No – statute
[E6]	AASHTO 2018 §2.8.2 (turning radii)	No – reference text
[E7]	Caltrans HDM §203.1 (AASHTO governs)	No – reference text
[E8]	Caltrans HDM §203.3 (avoid sharp curves after long tangents)	No – reference text
[E9]	PRC §21167.6(e) ; §§15064(f), 15074(b)	No – statute

[E10]	Caltrans HDM Topic 201; AASHTO Ch.3	No – reference text	
[E11]	YouTube links to incident videos (examples)	No – URL reference	
Figure E1a	CHP incident overlays vs Road Width Study	Yes – Include in this chapter	06 CHP Incident Overlay Slides.pdf 08 High Valley Road width numbers.pdf 09 CHP Log Information 2025 08 17.pdf
Table 3	CHP incident & accident data for HVR (2012– 2025)	Yes – Include in this chapter	06 CHP Incident Overlay Slides.pdf 08 High Valley Road width numbers.pdf 09 CHP Log Information 2025 08 17.pdf
Figure E1b	CHP incident/ac cident data visualizatio n	Yes – Include in this chapter	06 CHP Incident Overlay Slides.pdf 08 High Valley Road width numbers.pdf 09 CHP Log Information 2025 08 17.pdf
Figure E2a	Aerial overlay: near school/curv es	Yes – Include in this chapter	06 CHP Incident Overlay Slides.pdf 08 High Valley Road width numbers.pdf 09 CHP Log Information 2025 08 17.pdf
Figure E2b	Aerial overlay: hairpin cluster	Yes – Include in this chapter	06 CHP Incident Overlay Slides.pdf 08 High Valley Road width numbers.pdf 09 CHP Log Information 2025 08 17.pdf

Figure E2c	Aerial overlay: Dwinell Dr. cluster	Yes – Include in this chapter	06 CHP Incident Overlay Slides.pdf 08 High Valley Road width numbers.pdf 09 CHP Log Information 2025 08 17.pdf
Figure E2d	Aerial overlay: ridge (width/grade/surface)	Yes – Include in this chapter	06 CHP Incident Overlay Slides.pdf 08 High Valley Road width numbers.pdf 09 CHP Log Information 2025 08 17.pdf
Figure E3a	Parcel Viewer slope overlay – steep segments	Yes – Include in this chapter	07 Aerial Image and AASHTO slides.pdf
Figure E3b	Google Earth elevation profile	Yes – Include in this chapter	07 Aerial Image and AASHTO slides.pdf
Figure E4a	Hairpin radius < 50 ft (aerial imagery)	Yes – Include in this chapter	07 Aerial Image and AASHTO slides.pdf
Figure E4b	AASHTO min turning radii (illustration)	No – reference text	
Figure E4c	Turning radii – single/double axle trucks	Yes – Include in this chapter	07 Aerial Image and AASHTO slides.pdf
Figure E4d	Turning radii –	Yes – Include in this chapter	07 Aerial Image and AASHTO slides.pdf

	small semi & RV		
Figure E4e	Sharp curves at end of steep downgrade s	Yes – Include in this chapter	07 Aerial Image and AASHTO slides.pdf
Figure E4f	Caltrans SSD/DSD specificatio ns	Yes – Include in this chapter	07 Aerial Image and AASHTO slides.pdf
Figure E4g	Actual SSD/DSD at school curve	Yes – Include in this chapter	07 Aerial Image and AASHTO slides.pdf
Figure E5a	Fire vehicles negotiating hairpin	Yes – Include in this chapter	Refer to “xx Tactacam Reveal Export *. Zip “xx” refers to index numbers 10 -19
Figure E5b	Vehicles stuck causing blockages	Yes – Include in this chapter	Refer to “xx Tactacam Reveal Export *. zip
Figure E5c	Trucks in opposing lane near school	Yes – Include in this chapter	Refer to “xx Tactacam Reveal Export *. zip
Figure E5d	Narrow High Valley Road. segment	Yes – Include in this chapter	Refer to “xx Tactacam Reveal Export *. zip
Figure E5e	Video screenshot s (ditch, stuck semi)	Yes – Include in this chapter	Refer to “xx Tactacam Reveal Export *.zip

Figure E5f	Vehicles negotiating hairpin turn	Yes – Include in this chapter	10 Semi's_and_horseshoe_bend.zip
Figure E5g	Vehicles negotiating hairpin turn	Yes – Include in this chapter	10 Semi's_and_horseshoe_bend.zip
[F1]	§15124 project description ; PRC §4290	No – statute	
[F2]	14 CCR §1270.01(i) – “Driveway” definition	No – statute	
[F3]	14 CCR §1270.01(y) – “Road” includes commercial	No – statute	
[F4]	14 CCR §1273.01(a) – 20-ft road width	No – statute	
[F5]	14 CCR §1273.01(c) – all-weather surface	No – statute	
[F6]	14 CCR §1273.03(a) – max 16% grade	No – statute	

[F7]	14 CCR §1273.04(a) – min 50-ft radius	No – statute	
[F8]	14 CCR §1273.06 – turnouts	No – statute	
[G1]	PRC §21168.5; SF Downtown Plan	No – statute/case law	
[G2]	PRA responses – no mitigation plans	Partial – attach PRA responses	Negative Exhibit. After several requests to reveal the plans and an extensive search by the County, no plans were produced.
[G3]	Vance Ricks (Liu Farms 1:19:28)	Yes – Granicus Link	Lake County Planning Commission, Liu Farms Hearing (June 2024), statement of County Surveyor Vance Ricks at 1:19:28, https://lakecounty.granicus.com/player/cli/p/725?view_id=1&redirect=true
[G4]	Director Turner (Poverty Flats hearing)	Yes – Exhibit File 00	
[G5]	IS/MND pp. 71–74 (no mitigation)	Yes – Exhibit File 00	
[G6]	Poverty Flats hearing (3:17:47– 3:18:34)	Yes – Exhibit File 00	

[G7]	PRC §§21002, 21002.1(b), CEQA cases	No – statute
[G8]	No Oil; Berkeley Keep Jets	No – case law
[G9]	SFUDP; POET v. CARB	No – case law
[G10]	Sundstrom; §§15063, 15070(a)	No – statute/case law
[H1]	§§15064(b), 15126.2(a); Appendix G	No – statute
[H2]	Neighbors for Smart Rail	No – case law
[H3]	§15064(f)(2) ; Keep Our Mountains Quiet	No – statute/case law
[H4]	Appendix G (a); §15126.2(a)	No – statute
[H5]	Georgetow n Preservatio n Society	No – case law
[H6]	§15126.4(a) (2); City of Marina	No – statute/case law

[H7]	CREED v. San Diego; POET v. CARB	No – case law
[H8]	§§15064(f)(1), 15091(a); Protect Niles	No – statute/case law
[I1]	PRC §21168.5; §§15126.2, 15126.4, 15130; No Oil v. LA	No – statute/case law