

REALM

Engineering

1767 Market Street, Suite C, Redding, CA 96001



HYDROLOGY REPORT

1850 OGULIN CANYON ROAD, CLEARLAKE, CA

SEPTEMBER 21, 2021





Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	3
WATER USAGE.....	5
WATER AVAILABILITY	5
AQUIFER/GROUNDWATER RECHARGE	6
POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO STREAMS & NEIGHBORING WELLS.....	7
DROUGHT MANAGEMENT PLAN	9
CONCLUSIONS	10
LIMITATIONS	11
REFERENCES	12

Figure 1: Site Location Map

Figure 2: Nearest Known Wells Location Map

Attachment A: Urgency Ordinance No. 3106

Attachment B: Onsite Well Completion Report and Well Test

Attachment C: Proposed and Existing Conditions Site Plans

Attachment D: Well Completion Reports for Nearest Known Wells

Attachment E: Radius of Influence Analysis



INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Hydrology Study/Report is to provide adequate information regarding the water usage for a proposed cannabis cultivation operation and its impacts to surrounding areas. This report was written to meet the requirements of an Urgency Ordinance requiring land use applicants to provide enhanced water analysis during a declared drought emergency, approved by the Lake County Board of Supervisors on July 27th, 2021 (**Attachment A – Urgency Ordinance No. 3106**).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Emerald Mountain Farms, Inc. (EMF) is seeking a Major Use Permit from the County of Lake for a proposed Outdoor Commercial Cannabis Cultivation Operation at 1850 Ogulin Canyon Road near Clearlake, CA on Lake County APN 010-053-03 (Project Parcel). EMF's proposed cultivation operation would be developed in three phases over three or more years. The proposed cannabis cultivation operation during the first phase of site/project development (Phase I), would be composed of 19,792 ft² of outdoor cultivation area, a 200 ft² Security Center (wooden shed), and a 160 ft² Pesticides & Agricultural Chemicals Storage Area (wooden shed). An additional 56,837 ft² of outdoor cultivation area would be established during the second phase of site/project development (Phase II). And an additional 86,483 ft² of outdoor cultivation area would be established during the third phase of site/project development (Phase III). After the final phase of project/site development, EMF's cultivation operation would be composed of a 69,760 ft² outdoor cultivation area with 1-acre of canopy, a 1-acre (43,560 ft²) outdoor cultivation/canopy area, a 20,000 ft² outdoor cultivation/canopy area, and a 10,000 ft² outdoor cultivation/canopy area, plus a 160 ft² Pesticides and Agricultural Chemicals Storage Area, and a 200 ft² Security Center.

The 118-acre, Rural Lands-zoned, two parcel, Project Property (Lake County APNs 010-053-03 & 010-011-01) is located approximately 1.5 miles east of Clearlake, CA in eastern Lake County. The Project Parcel is accessed via Ogulin Canyon Road, a shared private gravel access road that connect to Highway 53 approximately 1.5 miles east of the Project Property. A metal gate across Ogulin Canyon Road controls access to the Project Property. Existing improvements on the Project Parcel include a groundwater well, a man-made off stream water storage reservoir, a residence, and a shop (metal building).

The Project Parcel consists of a series of hills bisected by Blackeye Canyon, with elevations ranging from 1,556 to 1,790 feet above mean sea level, and 10 and 40 percent slopes. The proposed cultivation operation will be located on a low ridge that divides the Burns Valley-Frontal Clear Lake watershed (HUC12) from the Grizzly Creek-North Fork Cache Creek watershed (HUC12). An unnamed intermittent Class II watercourse at the bottom of Blackeye Canyon flows from south to west through western half of the Project Parcel. Multiple ephemeral Class III watercourses form on the Project Property, and either flow south into Blackeye Canyon or north into Phipps Creek (offsite). There are two existing culverted ephemeral Class III watercourse crossings in the western half of the Project Parcel on Ogulin Canyon Road. All proposed project disturbance would occur more than 100 feet from all natural surface water bodies.

The proposed outdoor cannabis cultivation areas and associated facilities would be accessed via an existing private gravel access road off of Ogulin Canyon Road. 6-foot tall woven galvanized wire fences will be erected around the proposed cultivation area(s), and privacy screen/cloth shall



be installed on the fences where necessary to screen the cultivation area from public view. The growing medium of the proposed outdoor canopy areas would be an above grade imported organic soil mixture in fabric pots and wood-framed garden beds, with drip irrigation systems. All cannabis waste generated from the proposed cultivation operation will be composted on-site within a designated secure composting area, and composted cannabis waste would be incorporated into the soils of the cultivation areas each year as a soil amendment. Fertilizers/nutrients, pesticides, and petroleum products shall be securely stored inside the proposed Pesticides and Agricultural Chemicals Storage Area (proposed 160 ft² metal shipping container). An existing onsite groundwater well located at Latitude 38.980376° and Longitude -122.577846°, would serve as the water source for the proposed cultivation operation.




Figure 1 – Site Location Map



WATER USAGE

Cannabis has often been characterized as a high-water-use plant. Bauer et al. (2015)¹ and Carah et al (2015)² estimate that cannabis plants can consume up to approximately 6 gallons per plant per day, whereas grapes consume approximately 3.5 gallons per plant per day in the North Coast region of California. Other authors, however, have reported that water use requirement for cannabis plants are similar to those of other agricultural crops, such as corn and hops, with an estimated water use requirement of 25-35 inches per year (Hammon et al. 2015³). According to a recent study published in the Journal of Environmental Management (Dillis et al. 2020⁴), outdoor and mixed-light cannabis cultivation uses the most water during the months of August, with an estimated water use of approximately 58,704 gallons per acre during the month of August.

According to EMF's Property Management Plan, they expect a total annual water use requirement of 5.6 acre-feet or 1,825,000 gallons for irrigation purposes, with the greatest daily water usage during the months of July, August, and September (approximately 10,862 gallons per day). EMF's maximum total proposed cannabis canopy area is 117,120 ft². Using the water use requirements outlined in Hammon et al. 2015³, we estimate that the proposed cultivation operation would have an annual water use requirement between 5.6 and 7.8 acre-feet. The following table presents the expected water use of the proposed cultivation operation in gallons by month during the cultivation season (April through November), using water usage information provided in EMF's Property Management Plan.

	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov
Low (25" per year)	65,170	195,510	260,680	325,850	325,850	325,850	260,680	65,170
High (35" per year)	91,240	273,710	364,950	456,190	456,190	456,190	364,950	91,240

Based on the water use estimates above, we estimate that the proposed cultivation operation would have a maximum daily water use requirement of approximately 15,206 gallons per day.

WATER AVAILABILITY

All water for the proposed cultivation operation will come from the existing onsite groundwater well located at Latitude: 38.980376° and Longitude: -122.577846°, near the southern boundary of the Project Property. This groundwater well was drilled to a depth of 260 feet below ground surface (bgs) in March of 2018, through brown gravelly clay (0-40 feet bgs), shale and sandstone (40-200 feet bgs), greenstone (200-210 feet bgs), and Franciscan gravels (210-260 feet bgs). This well had an estimated yield of 50 gallons per minute (gpm) at the time it was drilled

(Attachment B: Onsite Well Completion Report and Well Test). On January 14th, 2021

Cramer Enterprises (License No. 98176) conducted a well performance test of the onsite groundwater well. During the well performance test, the water level in the onsite groundwater well was monitored while it was pumped at +30 gpm. The static water level in the onsite groundwater well was 105.8 feet bgs prior to the start of the well performance test. The water level in the onsite groundwater well stabilized at 117.4 feet bgs during the well performance test **(Attachment B: Onsite Well Completion Report and Well Test)**. The water level within the



well recovered to 107.8 feet bgs within 10 minutes after the pumping ceased. A Specific Capacity of 2.6 gpm/foot of drawdown (i.e., 30 gpm / 11.6 feet) was calculated from the well performance test data.

The well yield test data suggests that the onsite groundwater well can produce approximately 2.6 gpm for every foot of drawdown in the well. Additionally, EMF performed water level measurements during July and August of 2021, and the static water level in the onsite groundwater well was found to be between 113 and 116 feet bgs. The peak anticipated daily demand for water of the proposed cultivation operation is ~15,206 gallons per day, which equates to a need for the onsite groundwater well to produce at least 21.2 gpm over a 12-hour pumping period (or 10.6 gpm over a 24-hour period). Additionally, EMF proposes to establish at least 24,000 gallons of water storage capacity on the property. The well recovery observations of the well yield test and the recent water level measurements indicate that the onsite groundwater well would be able to produce sufficient water for the proposed cultivation operation without causing overdraft conditions.

AQUIFER/GROUNDWATER RECHARGE

Groundwater recharge is the replenishment of an aquifer with water from the land surface. It is usually expressed as an average rate of inches of water per year, similar to precipitation. Thus, the volume of recharge is the rate times the land area under consideration times the time period, and is usually expressed as acre-ft per year. In addition to precipitation, other sources of recharge to an aquifer are stream and lake or pond seepage, irrigation return flow (both from canals and fields), inter-aquifer flows, and urban recharge (from water mains, septic tanks, sewers, and drainage ditches).

To estimate the groundwater recharge at the site, we first must assume that the recharge to the aquifer is primarily through rainfall across the 78-acre Project Parcel (Lake County APNs 010-053-03). Therefore, the annual precipitation available for recharge onsite can initially be estimated using the following data and equation.

$$\begin{aligned} &78 \text{ acres} \times 2.75 \text{ feet (Average Annual Precipitation for Clearlake, CA)} = 214.5 \text{ acre-feet} \\ &\underline{\text{Estimated Annual Precipitation Onsite} = 214.5 \text{ acre-feet/year}} \end{aligned}$$

However, this estimate does not account for surface run-off, stream underflow, and evapotranspiration that occurs in all watersheds. According to the USGS, the long-term average precipitation that recharges groundwater in the northern California region is approximately 15 percent. Since the soils of and geology under the Project Property are typical for the northern California region, we estimate that the long-term average precipitation that recharges groundwater within the entire site to be approximately 15 percent. With this data and the precipitation data presented above, we can estimate the groundwater recharge of the Project Property by using the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} &214.5 \text{ acre-feet/year (annual precipitation onsite)} \times 0.15 \text{ (long term average recharge)} = \\ &\underline{\text{Estimated Groundwater Recharge} = 32.2 \text{ acre-feet/year}} \end{aligned}$$

Based on the estimated average annual recharge to the aquifer under the Project Property (~32 acre-feet/year) and the estimated annual water usage of the proposed cultivation operation (5.6 to



7.8 acre-feet/year), it appears that EMF will have enough water to meet their demands without causing overdraft conditions.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO STREAMS & NEIGHBORING WELLS

Urgency Ordinance 3106 requires analysis of the “Cumulative impact of water use to surrounding areas due to project” implementation. To do this, we must first identify surrounding areas and uses that could be impacted from the project’s well pumping/water usage. As outlined in previous sections of this report, all water for the proposed cultivation operation would come from an existing onsite groundwater well located near the southern boundary of the Project Property, and the proposed cultivation operation would have an annual water use requirement between 5.6 and 7.8 acre-feet (1,825,000 to 2,542,000 gallons) per year.

An unnamed intermittent Class II watercourse at the bottom of Blackeye Canyon flows from south to west through western half of the Project Parcel. Multiple ephemeral Class III watercourses form on the Project Property, and either flow south into Blackeye Canyon or north into Phipps Creek (offsite). The ephemeral and intermittent watercourses of the Project Property do not support aquatic habitat year-round and are typically dry by May of each year, when pumping for the proposed cultivation operation would increase to potentially significant levels. Therefore, the potential for stream depletion as a result of the proposed onsite groundwater usage is not considered a concern to this assessment.

The California Department of Water Resources’ Well Completion Report Map Application indicates that there are seven groundwater wells (including the onsite groundwater well) in the same Sections as the Project Property (Township 13N, Range 07W, Sections 12 & 13; Township 13N, Range 06W, Sections 07 & 18). However, upon further review, it is apparent that four of the wells shown on the Well Completion Report Map Application as being located within the same Sections as the Project Property, are actually located within Sections that over two miles east and northeast of the Project Property. Additionally, three wells shown on the Well Completion Report Map Application as being located in Sections over a mile north of the Project Property, were determined to be located within the same Sections as the Project **(Attachment D: Well Completion Reports for Nearest Known Wells)**. Figure 2, on the next page, shows the approximate locations of the nearest known wells to the Project Property (Figure 2 – Nearest Known Wells Location Map).

To evaluate potential well pumping impacts to surrounding areas and uses, the potential lateral extent of pumping from the onsite groundwater well was estimated. Using general relationships discussed in *Groundwater and Wells, Second Edition* (Driscoll 1986⁵), we estimate the lateral pumping influence using information from the January 14, 2021 well performance test performed by Cramer Enterprises (License No. 984176). An approximate relationship between specific capacity calculated from the well yield test and aquifer transmissivity was used to obtain aquifer characteristics and estimate a potential radius of pumping influence. Transmissivity was estimated for a confined aquifer, using the relationship of specific capacity (yield/drawdown) multiplied by the coefficient of 2,000 (for a confined aquifer). To develop the slope of the drawdown curve from the pumping well, the value of Δs (drawdown over on log graph cycle) was calculated for a distance-drawdown relationship, where $T = 528Q/\Delta s$ (Driscoll 1986, equation 9.11⁵). To



determine the maximum anticipated radius of influence, we used the estimated maximum daily water use requirement of approximately 15,206 gallons. The analysis is shown on the attached semi-log plot (**Attachment E – Radius of Influence Analysis**).




Figure 2 – Nearest Known Wells Location Map

Using data from the Well Performance Test Report and the general relationships outlined above, we calculated a zone of pumping influence extending approximately 1,000 feet from the onsite groundwater well. The nearest known neighboring well, located at 2002 Ogulin Canyon Road (Lake County APN 010-055-43), is located approximately 1,400 feet north of the onsite groundwater well. The second nearest known neighboring well, located at 2122 Ogulin Canyon Road (Lake County APN 010-053-02), is located over 2,300 feet east of the onsite groundwater well. Given the horizontal and vertical separations between the onsite groundwater well and neighboring wells, it does not appear that pumping for the proposed cultivation operation will result in well interference.



DROUGHT MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Urgency Ordinance approved by the Lake County Board of Supervisors on July 27th, 2021 (Ordinance No. 3106) requires applicants to provide a plan depicting how the applicants plan to reduce water use during a declared drought emergency. EMF's proposed cannabis cultivation operation would have up to 117,120 ft² of outdoor canopy area, with a total combined estimated annual water use requirement between 5.6 and 7.8 acre-feet (1,825,000 to 2,542,000 gallons). EMF intends to plant the proposed canopy areas on or around May 1st of each year (depending on climatic conditions). Per the Water Conservation and Use requirements outlined in the State Water Resources Control Board's Cannabis General Order, EMF shall implement the following Best Practical Treatment and Control (BPTC) measures to conserve water resources:

- Regularly inspect their entire water delivery system for leaks and immediately repair any leaky faucets, pipes, connectors, or other leaks;
- Apply weed-free mulch in cultivation areas that do not have ground cover to conserve soil moisture and minimize evaporative loss;
- Implement water conserving irrigation methods (drip or trickle and micro-spray irrigation);
- Maintain daily records of all water used for irrigation of cannabis. Daily records will be calculated by using a measuring device (inline water meter) installed on the main irrigation supply line between the water storage area and cultivation area(s);
- Install float valves on all water storage tanks to keep them from overflowing onto the ground.

With the Water Conservation and Use requirements outlined above, EMF's proposed cultivation operation would efficiently use water resources at all times.

To ensure both success and decreased impacts to the surrounding areas, EMF plans to reduce their outdoor cultivation/canopy area and water usage by 10 percent, when a drought emergency has been declared for their region. To reduce their water usage by 10 percent, EMF will not plant 11,712 ft² or more of their proposed canopy area. The canopy area(s) to be left fallow will depend on when a drought emergency is declared (before or after the proposed canopy areas have been planted) and the phase of site/project development. Additionally, EMF will prioritize the preferred canopy areas over less desirable canopy areas (based on cultivation experience) when determining which canopy areas to maintain and which to leave fallow. By implementing the Drought Management Plan outlined above, EMF will reduce their estimated annual water demand from 1,825,000 - 2,542,000 gallons, to 1,642,500 - 2,287,800 gallons (10 percent), during periods of drought.



CONCLUSIONS

All water for the proposed cultivation operation will come from the existing onsite groundwater well located at Latitude: 38.980376° and Longitude: -122.577846°, near the southern boundary of the Project Property. This groundwater well was drilled to a depth of 260 feet below ground surface in March of 2018, with an estimated yield of 50 gallons per minute at the time it was drilled. A recent well performance test performed in January of 2021, indicates that the onsite groundwater well can produce at least 30 gallons per minute. From the well performance test data we can calculate a Specific Capacity of approximately 2.6 gpm/foot for the onsite groundwater well. The total estimated annual water use requirement for the proposed cultivation operation is between 1,825,000 and 2,542,000 gallons per year.

Based on data from the recent well performance test and the estimated water use requirement(s) for the proposed cultivation operation, it appears that the onsite groundwater well is a sufficient water source for the proposed cultivation operation. Based on the estimated average annual recharge to the aquifer under the Project Property (~32 acre-feet/year) and the estimated annual water usage of the proposed cultivation operation (5.6 to 7.8 acre-feet/year), it appears that the aquifer storage and recharge area are sufficient to provide for sustainable annual water use at the site and on the Project Property.

The calculated a zone of pumping influence for the proposed cultivation operation extends approximately 1,000 feet from the onsite groundwater well. It does not appear that pumping for the proposed cultivation operation will impact neighboring wells, given the horizontal and vertical separations between the onsite groundwater well and neighboring wells. Additionally, it does not appear that pumping for the proposed cultivation operation will impact nearby ephemeral and intermittent watercourses, as they are typically dry by May of each year, when pumping for the proposed cultivation operation would increase to potentially significant levels.

Emerald Mountain Farms' Drought Management Plan is to reduce their outdoor cultivation/canopy area and water usage by 10 percent, to ensure both success and decreased impacts to the surrounding areas during a drought emergency. The canopy area(s) to be left fallow will depend on when a drought emergency is declared and the phase of site/project development. By implementing their Drought Management Plan, Emerald Mountain Farms would reduce their estimated annual water demand from 1,825,000 - 2,542,000 gallons, to 1,642,500 - 2,287,800 gallons, during periods of drought.



LIMITATIONS

Realm Engineering is not responsible for the independent conclusions, opinions or recommendations made by others based on the records review, site inspection, field exploration, and interpretations presented in this report.

Groundwater systems of Lake County are typically complex, and available data rarely allows for more than general assessment of groundwater conditions and delineation of aquifers. Hydrologic interpretations are based on Well Completion Reports made available to us through the California Department of Water Resources, available geologic maps and hydrological studies and professional judgment. This analysis is based on limited available data and relies significantly on interpretation of data from disparate sources of disparate quality.

It should be noted that hydrological assessments are inherently limited in the sense that conclusions are drawn and recommendations developed from information obtained from limited research and site evaluation. Additionally, the passage of time may result in a change in the environmental characteristics at this site and surrounding properties. This report does not warrant against future operations or conditions, nor does this warrant operations or conditions present or a type or at a location not investigated.

This report is for the exclusive use of Emerald Mountain Farms, Inc., their affiliates, designates and assignees, and no other party shall have any right to rely on any service provided by Realm Engineering without prior written consent.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions that you may have regarding this Hydrology Study/Report.

Sincerely,
Jason Vine, P.E. 67800



Realm Engineering
1767 Market Street, Suite C
Redding, CA 96001
530-526-7493
info@realm-engineering.com



REFERENCES

- ¹Bauer, S., Olson, J., Cockrill, A., et al. 2015. Impacts of surface water diversions for marijuana cultivation on aquatic habitat in four northwestern California watersheds. PLOS ONE, 10(9): e0137935
- ²Carah, J.K., Howard, J.K., Thompson, S.E., *et al.* 2015. High time for conservation: adding the environment to the debate on marijuana liberalization. Bioscience, 65, pp.822-829
- ³Hammon, B., Rizza, J. and Dean, D. 2015. Current impacts of outdoor growth of cannabis in Colorado. Colorado State University Extension, Fact Sheet No. 0.308
- ⁴Dillis, C.R., Grantham, T.E., McIntee, C., McFadin, B., Grady, K.V. 2020. Water storage and irrigation practices for cannabis drive seasonal patterns of water extraction and use in Northern California. Journal of Environmental Management, Volume 272, 15 October 2020, 110955
- ⁵Driscoll, Fletcher G., 1986, Groundwater and Wells, Second Edition, Johnson Division, St. Paul Minnesota, 1089p.

ATTACHEMENT A

URGENCY ORDINANCE NO. 3106

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF LAKE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ORDINANCE NO. 3106

AN URGENCY ORDINANCE REQUIRING LAND USE APPLICANTS TO PROVIDE ENHANCED WATER ANALYSIS DURING A DECLARED DROUGHT EMERGENCY

WHEREAS, the Sheriff, acting as the OES Director of Lake County, declared a local emergency due to drought conditions on May 6, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Lake County Board of Supervisors approved the ratification of the declaration of a local emergency due to drought conditions on May 11, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors wish to ensure continued access to drinking water from private wells or from water purveyors throughout the county; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors wish to ensure that all current agricultural activities and projects find success during this declared drought emergency; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Lake finds that additional information is critical to ensuring that the Planning Commission approves projects based on evidence of water use and water impacts and the analysis of the impacts to the surrounding areas.

NOW THEREFORE, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Lake hereby ordains as follows:

Section One: Due to the exceptional drought that we are experiencing and the declaration of a drought emergency, any land use approvals are required to provide adequate information regarding water usage for the project being considered and its impacts to surrounding areas. All projects that require a CEQA analysis of water use must include these additional items:

- A. Hydrology report prepared by a California licensed civil engineer, hydro-geologist, hydrologist, or geologist experienced in water resources
 - a. Approximate amount of water available for the project's identified water source
 - b. Approximate recharge rate for the project's identified water source
 - c. Cumulative impact of water use to surrounding areas due to project
- B. Drought Management Plan
 - a. Provide a plan depicting how the applicants plan to reduce water use during a declared drought emergency, to ensure both success and decreased impacts to the surrounding areas

Section Two: This urgency ordinance, if approved, shall take effect on all future Planning Commission considerations until the declared drought emergency has expired or if the Board of Supervisors revokes the ordinance.

Section Three: It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this urgency Ordinance may have a significant effect on the environment.

Section Four: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict and no further.

Section Five: This ordinance shall go into effect immediately, and before the expiration of fifteen days after its passage, it shall be published at least once in a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the County of Lake.

Section Six: This Ordinance is adopted as an urgency Ordinance pursuant to the provisions of Government Code sections 25123 and 25131 and shall be effective immediately upon adoption. Based on the declaration of purpose and facts constituting the urgency set forth above in Section One of this Ordinance, the Board of Supervisors finds and determines that the adoption of this Ordinance as an urgency Ordinance is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety to address critical groundwater conditions in Lake County.

The Foregoing Ordinance was introduced before the Board of Supervisors on the 27th day of July, 2021, and passed by the following vote on the 7th day of July, 2021.

AYES: Supervisors Simon, Crandell, Scott, Pyska, and Sabatier

NOES: None

ABSENT OR NOT VOTING: None

COUNTY OF LAKE


Supervisor, County of Lake, 2021 (04/15/2021)

Chair, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: CAROL J. HUCHINGSON
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By: _____
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ANITA L. GRANT
County Counsel

By: _____

ATTACHEMENT B



**ONSITE WELL COMPLETION REPORT
AND WELL TEST**

[illegible]

ATTACHEMENT C

PROPOSED AND EXISTING CONDITIONS SITE PLANS

EMERALD MOUNTAIN FARMS, INC.
1850 OGULIN CANYON RD.
APN:010-053-03



LEGEND:

- 1530 CONTOUR ELEVATION
- FENCE
- ASPHALT
- GRAVEL
- EARTH
- FLOOD ZONE
- CREEK / SWALE
- APN ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER
- APPROX APPROXIMATELY
- DWY DRIVEWAY
- (E) EXISTING
- (P) PROPOSED
- RD ROAD
- SF SQUARE FEET


NOTES:

1. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 10'


- (A) CLASS II INTERMITTENT WATERCOURSE
- (B) CLASS III EPHEMERAL WATERCOURSE
- (C) 100' SETBACK FROM WATERCOURSE
- (D) (E) GROUNDWATER WELL
LAT: 38.980376°
LONG: -122.577846°
- (E) (E) RESIDENCE
- (F) (E) SHOP
- (G) (E) 4,962 SF LEGACY CULTIVATION AREA
- (H) (E) 4,900 SF LEGACY CULTIVATION AREA
- (I) (P) 7,596 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION / CANOPY AREA
- (J) (P) 2,334 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION / CANOPY AREA
- (K) (P) 8'x20' PESTICIDE & AGRICULTURAL CHEMICAL STORAGE AREA
- (L) (P) 10'x20' COMPOST AREA
- (M) (P) 10'x20' SECURITY CENTER
- (N) (P) DESIGNATED REFUSE AREA

PROPOSED CONDITIONS SITE PLAN
PHASE I

GRAPHIC SCALE



(IN FEET)
1 inch = 150 ft.




Revisions:

REALM ENGINEERING
CIVIL ENGINEERING, SURVEYING & PLANNING
1767 MARKET STREET SUITE C
REDDING, CA. 96001
530-526-7493



PLANS PREPARED UNDER THE
SUPERVISION OF:



PROPOSED CONDITIONS SITE PLAN - PHASE I
EMERALD MOUNTAIN FARMS, INC.
APN: 010-053-03
1850 OGULIN CANYON RD.
CLEARLAKE, CA 94622
LAKE COUNTY

PLOTTED BY:

DATE PLOTTED:

4/15/20

SCALE OF DRAWING:



SEE PLAN

JOB NUMBER:

ADD FILE:

SHEET:

EMERALD MOUNTAIN FARMS, INC.
1850 OGULIN CANYON RD.
APN:010-053-03



LEGEND:

- 1530 CONTOUR ELEVATION
- FENCE
- ASPHALT
- GRAVEL
- EARTH
- FLOOD ZONE
- CREEK / SWALE
- APN ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER
- APPROX APPROXIMATELY
- DWY DRIVEWAY
- (E) EXISTING
- (P) PROPOSED
- RD ROAD
- SF SQUARE FEET


NOTES:

1. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 10'


- (A) CLASS II INTERMITTENT WATERCOURSE
- (B) CLASS III EPHEMERAL WATERCOURSE
- (C) 100' SETBACK FROM WATERCOURSE
- (D) (E) GROUNDWATER WELL
LAT: 38.980376°
LONG: -122.577846°
- (E) (E) RESIDENCE
- (F) (E) SHOP
- (G) (E) 4,900 SF LEGACY CULTIVATION AREA
- (H) (E) 2,334 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA
- (I) (E) 8'x20' PESTICIDE & AGRICULTURAL CHEMICAL STORAGE AREA
- (J) (E) 10'x20' COMPOST AREA
- (K) (E) 10'x20' SECURITY CENTER
- (L) (E) DESIGNATED REFUSE AREA
- (M) (E) 1 ACRE OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA
- (N) (E) 25,835 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA
- (O) (P) 10,000 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA
- (P) (P) 20,000 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA
- (Q) (P) 8'x20' STORAGE CONTAINER
- (R) (P) 3,000 GALLON WATER STORAGE TANKS
- (S) (P) 69,760 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA

PROPOSED CONDITIONS SITE PLAN
PHASE III


GRAPHIC SCALE



(IN FEET)
1 inch = 150 ft.





Revisions:




EMERALD MOUNTAIN FARMS, INC.
1850 OGULIN CANYON RD.
APN:010-053-03


- LEGEND:**
- 1530 CONTOUR ELEVATION
 - FENCE
 - ASPHALT
 - GRAVEL
 - EARTH
 - FLOOD ZONE
 - CREEK / SWALE
 - APN ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER
 - APPROX APPROXIMATELY
 - DWY DRIVEWAY
 - (E) EXISTING
 - (P) PROPOSED
 - RD ROAD
 - SF SQUARE FEET
- NOTES:**
1. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 10'



- (A) CLASS II INTERMITTENT WATERCOURSE
(B) CLASS III EPHEMERAL WATERCOURSE
(C) 100' SETBACK FROM WATERCOURSE
(D) (E) GROUNDWATER WELL
LAT: 38.980376°
LONG: -122.577846°
(E) (E) 4,962 SF LEGACY CULTIVATION AREA
(F) (E) 4,900 SF LEGACY CULTIVATION AREA
PHASE I
(G) (P) 6,820 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION / CANOPY AREA
(H) (P) 2,334 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION / CANOPY AREA
(I) (P) 8'x20' PESTICIDE & AGRICULTURAL CHEMICAL STORAGE AREA
(J) (P) 10'x20' COMPOST AREA
(K) (P) 10'x20' SECURITY CENTER
(L) (P) DESIGNATED REFUSE AREA
PHASE II
(M) (P) 1 ACRE OUTDOOR CULTIVATION / CANOPY AREA
(N) (P) 25,835 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA
(O) (P) 8'x20' STORAGE CONTAINER
(P) (P) 3,000 GALLON WATER STORAGE TANKS
PHASE III
(Q) (P) 10,000 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA
(R) (P) 20,000 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA
(S) (P) 69,760 SF OUTDOOR CULTIVATION/CANOPY AREA



CULTIVATION SITE PLAN WITH CANOPY



Revisions:

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR PERMITTING	3/21/20

REALM ENGINEERING
CIVIL ENGINEERING, SURVEYING & PLANNING
1767 MARKET STREET SUITE C
REDDING, CA. 96001
530-526-7493

PLANS PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF:

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
JASON B. VANE
No. 67800
EXP. 06/30/21
CIVIL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CULTIVATION SITE PLAN WITH CANOPY
EMERALD MOUNTAIN FARMS, INC.
APN: 010-053-03
1850 OGULIN CANYON RD.
CLEARLAKE, CA 94422
LAKE COUNTY

PLOTTED BY:
DATE PLOTTED:
3/21/20
SCALE OF DRAWING:
SEE PLAN
JOB NUMBER:
CADD FILE:
SHEET:

1

ATTACHEMENT D

WELL COMPLETION REPORTS FOR NEAREST KNOWN WELLS

ORIGINAL
File with DWR

Page ____ of ____

Owner's Well No. _____

Date Work Began 10/12/06

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
WELL COMPLETION REPORT

Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

No. **1089188**

Ended 10/20/06

Local Permit Agency Lake County Environmental Health

Permit No. WE 2498

Permit Date 10/12/06

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN

STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.

LATITUDE

LONGITUDE

APN/TRS/OTHER

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION ()

☒ VERTICAL
DRILLING METHOD

HORIZONTAL

ANGLE

(SPECIFY)

FLUID

Air

DEPTH FROM SURFACE

DESCRIPTION

Describe material, grain size, color, etc.

Fl. to Fl.
0 to 5
5 to 40
40 to 410

Bra. Soil
Bra. Shale
Black, Gray, Green, Shale

Address 1030 Junction Plaza

City Clearlake


County Lake

APN Book 010 Page 003 Parcel 68

Township 13N Range 7W Section 12

Lat. _____ N Long. _____ W
DEG. MIN. SEC. DEG. MIN. SEC.

LOCATION SKETCH
NORTH



ACTIVITY ()

☒ NEW WELL

MODIFICATION/REPAIR

Deepen

Other (Specify) _____

DESTROY (Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")

USES ()

WATER SUPPLY

☒ Domestic _____ Public

_____ Irrigation _____ Industrial

MONITORING _____

TEST WELL _____

CATHODIC PROTECTION _____

HEAT EXCHANGE _____

DIRECT PUSH _____

INJECTION _____

VAPOR EXTRACTION _____

SPARGING _____

REMEDIATION _____

OTHER (SPECIFY) _____

Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL

DEPTH TO FIRST WATER 300 (Feet) BELOW SURFACE

DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL 280 (Feet) & DATE MEASURED 10/20/06

ESTIMATED YIELD 42 (GPM) & TEST TYPE Air Lift

TEST LENGTH 1 (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN _____ (Feet)

* May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.

TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 410 (Feet)

TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL 403 (Feet)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE			BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	CASING (S)						DEPTH FROM SURFACE			ANNULAR MATERIAL			
				TYPE (≦)				MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)				GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS	SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)	TYPE	
Fl.	to	Ft.		BLANK	SCREEN	CON- DUCTOR	FILL PIPE			CE- MENT (≦)	BEN- TONITE (≦)	FILL (≦)			FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)	
0	40		9	X				PVC F480	4 1/2	SDR26						
40	363		8	X				PVC F480	4 1/2	SDR26						
363	403		8		X			PVC F480	4 1/2	SDR26	.032				pen gravel	

ATTACHMENTS ()

- Geologic Log
- Well Construction Diagram
- Geophysical Log(s)
- Soil/Water Chemical Analyses
- Other _____

ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME Don Mc Mullen Well Drilling
(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)

ADDRESS 1487 Old Long Valley Rd, Clearlake Oaks CA 95423

Signed Don Mc Mullen CITY Clearlake STATE CA ZIP 95423

C-57 LICENSED WATER WELL CONTRACTOR DATE/SIGNED 10/29/06 C-57 LICENSE NUMBER 533152

ORIGINAL
File with DWR

Page ____ of ____

Owner's Well No. _____

Date Work Began 10/10/06 Ended 10/20/06

Local Permit Agency Lake County Environmental Health

Permit No. WE 2494

Permit Date 10/4/06

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
WELL COMPLETION REPORT

Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

No. **1089186**

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN											
STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.											
LATITUDE						LONGITUDE					
APN/TRS/OTHER											

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (✓) K VERTICAL Rotary HORIZONTAL Air ANGLE (SPECIFY)

DRILLING METHOD

FLUID

DESCRIPTION


Describe material, grain size, color, etc.

DEPTH FROM SURFACE		
Ft.	to	Ft.
0	5	
5	30	
30	120	
120	170	

Brown Soil
Yellow Clay
Brown Green Gray Shale
Gray Shale

WELL LOCATION
Address 950 Junction Plaza
City Clearlake CA
County Lake
APN Book 010 Page 003 Parcel 45
Township 13N Range 2W Section 12
Lat. _____ N Long. _____ W
DEG. MIN. SEC. DEG. MIN. SEC.

LOCATION SKETCH
NORTH



ACTIVITY (✓)
☒ NEW WELL
☐ MODIFICATION/REPAIR
 ☐ Deepen
 ☐ Other (Specify) _____
☐ DESTROY (Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")
USES (✓)
☒ WATER SUPPLY
 ☒ Domestic ☐ Public
 ☐ Irrigation ☐ Industrial
☐ MONITORING
☐ TEST WELL
☐ CATHODIC PROTECTION
☐ HEAT EXCHANGE
☐ DIRECT PUSH
☐ INJECTION
☐ VAPOR EXTRACTION
☐ SPARGING
☐ REMEDIATION
☐ OTHER (SPECIFY) _____

Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL

DEPTH TO FIRST WATER 120' (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE
DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL 92' (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED 10/20/06
ESTIMATED YIELD 20 (GPM) & TEST TYPE Air Lift
TEST LENGTH 1 (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN _____ (Ft.)
* May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.

TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING 170 (Feet)
TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL 165 (Feet)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE			BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	CASING (S)						DEPTH FROM SURFACE			ANNULAR MATERIAL			
				TYPE (✓)				MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)				GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS	SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)	TYPE	
Blank	Screen	Con-Ductor		Fill Pipe	CE-MENT (✓)	BEN-TONITE (✓)	FILL (✓)			FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)						
Ft.	to	Ft.														
0	125	9	X			PVC F400	4 1/2	SDR26		0	20	X				
125	165	9	X			PVC F400	4 1/2	SDR26	.032	20	165				pea gravel	

ATTACHMENTS (✓)

- Geologic Log
- Well Construction Diagram
- Geophysical Log(s)
- Soil/Water Chemical Analyses
- Other _____

ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME Don Mc Muller Well Drilling
(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)
ADDRESS 1487 Old Long Valley Rd. Clearlake CA 95423
CITY Clearlake STATE CA ZIP 95423
Signed Don C. Muller DATE SIGNED 10/29/06
C-57 LICENSED WATER WELL CONTRACTOR C-57 LICENSE NUMBER 533152

13N/07W-23M

ORIGINAL
File with DWR

RECEIVED

JAN 06 2000

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THE RESOURCES AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
WATER WELL DRILLERS REPORT

Do not fill in

No. 228021

Not Intent No. _____
Loc. Permit No. or Date _____

AP 010-021-37

State Well No. _____
Other Well No. _____

(1) OW

Address _____

City _____

(2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions):

County Lake Owner's Well Number _____Well address if different from above 16150 DavisTownship Clearlake Range _____ Section _____Distance from cities, roads, railroads, fences, etc. 13N/07W-23

(12) WELL LOG: Total depth _____ ft. Depth of completed well _____ ft.
from ft. to ft. Formation (Describe by color, character, size or material) _____

(3) TYPE OF WORK:

New Well ☒ Deepening ☐Reconstruction ☐Reconditioning ☐Horizontal Well ☐Destruction ☐ (Describe destruction materials and procedures in Item 12)

(4) PROPOSED USE:

Domestic ☐Irrigation ☐Industrial ☐Test Well ☐Stock ☐Municipal ☐Other ☐

WELL LOCATION SKETCH

(5) EQUIPMENT:

Rotary ☒ Reverse ☐Cable ☐ Air ☒Other ☐ Bucket ☐

(6) GRAVEL PACK:

Yes ☒ No ☐ Size 3/8

Diameter of bore _____

Packed from 20 to 240 ft.

(7) CASING INSTALLED:

Steel ☐ Plastic ☒ Concrete ☐

(8) PERFORATIONS:

Type of perforation or size of screen _____

From ft.	To ft.	Dia. in.	Gage or Wall	From ft.	To ft.	Slot size
0	240	4"	c160	48	200	200 240 1/8
			psi			

(9) WELL SEAL:

Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes ☒ No ☐ If yes, to depth 20 ft.Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes ☐ No ☒ Interval _____ ft.Method of sealing cement

(10) WATER LEVELS:

Depth of first water, if known _____ ft.

Standing level after well completion 20 ft.

(11) WELL TESTS:

Was well test made? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, by whom? _____Type of test Pump ☐ Bailer ☐ Air lift ☐

Depth to water at start of test _____ ft. At end of test _____ ft.

Discharge _____ gal/min after _____ hours Water temperature _____

Chemical analysis made? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, by whom? _____Was electric log made? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, attach copy to this report

Work started _____ 19 _____ Completed _____ 19 _____

JAN 25 2000

WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT:

This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

SIGNED LARRY HERMAN

(Well Driller)

NAME FISCH HERMAN

(Person, firm, or corporation) (Typed or printed)

Address _____

City SEBASTOPOL Zip _____License No. 399226 Date of this report _____

ORIGINAL
File with DWR

Page ____ of ____

Owner's Well No. _____

Date Work Began 11-3-00, Ended 11-8-00

Local Permit Agency Health Dept.

Permit No. WE 2031

Permit Date 10-31-00

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

WELL COMPLETION REPORT

Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

DWR USE ONLY — DO NOT FILL IN

13N 07W 12

STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.

LATITUDE

LONGITUDE

APN/TRS/OTHER

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (≤)

☒ VERTICAL
DRILLING METHOD

— HORIZONTAL

— ANGLE

— (SPECIFY)

DEPTH FROM SURFACE

Ft. to Ft.

DESCRIPTION

Describe material, grain size, color, etc.

0 20 Brown Clay
20 150 Blue Clay w small stringers of sandstone
150 170 Sandstone, Quartz, misc (soft)

Address 2388 Hwy 53

City Clearlake


County Lake

APN Book 10 Page 003 Parcel 011

Township 13N Range 7W Section 12

Latitude _____ Longitude _____

LOCATION SKETCH
NORTH



ACTIVITY (≤)

☒ NEW WELL

MODIFICATION/REPAIR

— Deepen

— Other (Specify)

— DESTROY (Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")

PLANNED USES (≤)

WATER SUPPLY

☒ Domestic — Public

— Irrigation — Industrial

MONITORING —

TEST WELL —

CATHODIC PROTECTION —

HEAT EXCHANGE —

DIRECT PUSH —

INJECTION —

VAPOR EXTRACTION —

SPARGING —

REMEDIATION —

OTHER (SPECIFY) —

Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Road, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL

DEPTH TO FIRST WATER 75 (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE

DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL 50 (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED 11-3-00

ESTIMATED YIELD 200 (GPM) & TEST TYPE air lift

TEST LENGTH 2 (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN _____ (Ft.)

* May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.

DEPTH FROM SURFACE

BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)

CASING (S)

TYPE (≤)

BLANK

SCREEN

CON- DUCTOR

FILL PIPE

MATERIAL / GRADE

INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)

GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS

SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)

Ft. to Ft.

0 150

9

X

PVC 4" 40

4 1/2

160

150 170

9

X

1 1/2 1 1/2

1 1/2

1 1/2

1/8

DEPTH FROM SURFACE

ANNULAR MATERIAL

TYPE

CE- MENT

(≤)

BEN- TONITE

(≤)

FILL

(≤)

FILTER PACK (TYPE/SIZE)

Ft. to Ft.

0 20

X

20 170

5/16 pea

ATTACHMENTS (≤)

- Geologic Log
- Well Construction Diagram
- Geophysical Log(s)
- Soil/Water Chemical Analyses
- Other

ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME Larry Herman Drilling

(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)

ADDRESS 13011 Hwy 29 Lower Lake Ca 95457

CITY

Larry Herman

STATE

11800

DATE SIGNED

ZIP


465071

WELL DRILLER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

C-57 LICENSE NUMBER

Permit Date 5/4/2012

BORING_WELL_MACTEC_WELLS_MW1_MW2_MW3.GPJ GEOL.GDT 4/1/08



**Well Construction Details and
Log of Boring MW-1**

Burns Valley Elementary School
Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Sampling Report
Burns Valley Elementary School, Clearlake, California

FIGURE

3

DRAWN
1/31/08

JOB NUMBER
4088087504

CHECKED
4/08

CHCK'D DATE

APPROVED

APPR'D DATE

ORIGINAL
File with DWR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
WELL COMPLETION REPORT

Refer to Instruction Pamphlet

No. 1093073

DWR USE ONLY - DO NOT FILL IN

13N/07W/13

STATE WELL NO./STATION NO.

LATITUDE LONGITUDE

APN/TRS/OTHER

Page of

Owner's Well No.

Date Work Began 6-5-06 Ended 6-6-06

Local Permit Agency Health Dept.

Permit No. WE-5417 Permit Date 10-3-05

GEOLOGIC LOG

ORIENTATION (✓) ☒ VERTICAL ☐ HORIZONTAL ☐ ANGLE (SPECIFY)

DRILLING METHOD Air Rotary FLUID

DESCRIPTION

Describe material, grain size, color, etc.

DEPTH FROM SURFACE

Ft. to Ft.

0 160 Franciscan
160 180 Green Stone
180 240 Green Stone

WELL LOCATION

Address 2122 Ogulin Canyon Road

City Clearlake Ca 95422

County Lake


APN Book 010 Page 053 Parcel 020

Township 13N Range 7W Section 13

Lat Long

DEG. MIN. SEC. N Long DEG. MIN. SEC. W

LOCATION SKETCH



ACTIVITY (✓)

☒ NEW WELL

MODIFICATION/REPAIR

☐ Deepen
☐ Other (Specify)

☐ DESTROY (Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG")

USES (✓)

WATER SUPPLY

☒ Domestic ☐ Public
☐ Irrigation ☐ Industrial

MONITORING

TEST WELL

CATHODIC PROTECTION

HEAT EXCHANGE

DIRECT PUSH

INJECTION

VAPOR EXTRACTION

SPARGING

REMEDIATION

OTHER (SPECIFY)

Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. and attach a map. Use additional paper if necessary. PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE.

WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL

DEPTH TO FIRST WATER 180 (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE

DEPTH OF STATIC WATER LEVEL 175 (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED 6-6-06

ESTIMATED YIELD 50 (GPM) & TEST TYPE Air Lift

TEST LENGTH 2 (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN (Ft.)

* May not be representative of a well's long-term yield.

DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft. to Ft.	BORE-HOLE DIA. (Inches)	CASING (S)						DEPTH FROM SURFACE Ft. to Ft.	ANNULAR MATERIAL				
		TYPE (✓)			MATERIAL / GRADE	INTERNAL DIAMETER (Inches)	GAUGE OR WALL THICKNESS		SLOT SIZE IF ANY (Inches)	TYPE			
		BLANK	SCREEN	CON- DUCTOR				FILL PIPE					CE- MENT (✓)
0 200	9" X					pvc	4 1/2"	160	0 30	✓			
200 240	7 1/8	X				pvc	4 1/2"	200	30 240			5/16 pe	Gravel

ATTACHMENTS (✓)

- Geologic Log
- Well Construction Diagram
- Geophysical Log(s)
- Soil/Water Chemical Analyses
- Other

ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS.

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME Larry Herman Drilling
(PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION) (TYPED OR PRINTED)

ADDRESS P.O. Box 1152 Lowerlake Ca 95457
CITY STATE ZIP

Signed Larry Herman DATE SIGNED 6-9-06 465071
C-57 LICENSED WATER WELL CONTRACTOR C-57 LICENSE NUMBER

ATTACHEMENT E

RADIUS OF INFLUENCE ANALYSIS

Radius of Influence Analysis

Well Borehole Radius (from Well Completion Report) = $7.25''/2 \times 1'/12'' = 0.3$ feet

Specific Capacity (using data from Well Test)
 $30 \text{ gpm (yield)} / 11.6 \text{ feet (drawdown)} = 2.6 \text{ gpm/foot of drawdown}$
Specific Capacity (SC) = 2.6

Modified Jacob's equation from Driscoll Appendix 16-D (Driscoll 1986⁵)
Transmissivity Confined Aquifer $T = SC \times 2000$; $T = 5,200 \text{ gpft/day}$

Distance Drawdown Equation Driscoll 9.11 (Driscoll 1986⁵) $T = 528Q / \Delta s$
 $\Delta s = 528Q/T$; $\Delta s = 528 \times 10.6 \text{ gpm (peak anticipated 24-hour demand)} / 5,200$
 $\Delta s = 1.1'$

