

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency Department of Health Care Services



EDMUND G. BROWN JR. GOVERNOR

July 7, 2017

CHDP Program Letter No.: 17-04

- TO: ALL CHILD HEALTH AND DISABILITY PREVENTION (CHDP) PROGRAM DIRECTORS, DEPUTY DIRECTORS, MEDICAL CONSULTANTS, STATE SYSTEMS OF CARE DIVISION (SCD) STAFF, DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT COUNTY OPERATIONS STAFF.
- SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2017-2018 ALLOCATIONS FOR THE CHDP PROGRAM.

The purpose of this CHDP Program Letter is to provide CHDP local programs with the **FY 2017-2018 State General Fund (GF)** allocations for carrying out CHDP Program requirements as set forth in Health and Safety Code, Article 6, Section 124025 et seq. and Article 4.7, Section 14148.3 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. To conduct administrative activities of the CHDP Program from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018, each local CHDP Program is authorized to spend up to the amount allocated from State GF and the matching Medi-Cal Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) allocation for each funding source within the CHDP administrative budget. Please see the attached funding allocation tables which include the CHDP State-only, GF, EPSDT, and Title XIX funds.

Each local CHDP Program is authorized to claim Title XIX Federal Funds to match its own local funds in order to perform EPSDT administrative activities in the CHDP Program and, if applicable, Title XIX Federal Funds to match funds to perform EPSDT administrative activities specifically for children and youth in foster care. Please refer to the Children's Medical Services (CMS) Plan and Fiscal Guidelines (PFG) for submission of county/city match budgets.

This CHDP Program Letter serves as each local county program's approved state CHDP administrative budget and enables each local program to use this letter to develop its budget. There will be no budget approval letters issued from SCD. Each local program remains responsible for overseeing and tracking its administrative budget CHDP Program Letter No.: 17-04 Page 2 July 7, 2017

expenditures. As in previous years, local programs will only be reimbursed for expenditures up to their authorized budget allocations.

Local programs should follow the SCD/CMS PFG for budget submissions to SCD headquarters. The CHDP Program budgets are due no later than 60 days from the date of this letter. Local programs must complete, sign, and submit the CHDP Certification Statement as required in the SCD/CMS PFG. Local programs that have previously utilized budget approval letters to submit to the county's authorized personnel will be able to utilize the attached County Allocation notice as documentation and verification of the State GF allocated.

Local programs that have questions regarding staffing, personnel changes, duty statements, Memoranda of Understanding, and other budget preparation items should discuss these with the CHDP Nurse Consultant, prior to budget submission. All local programs must adhere to the SCD/CMS PFG for determination of enhanced and nonenhanced designation of staff and their activities as well as the provision for Federal Financial Participation (FFP) determination. Documentation for staff that qualify for enhanced FFP and/or work in more than one program must adhere to the SCD/CMS PFG (Section 8) time study requirements and guidelines.

All quarterly expenditure reports submitted for reimbursement must be based on accurate and auditable documentation. An audit file must be maintained by each county to support all quarterly expenditure reports and shall include, but not be limited to: time studies, when required and performed during at least one representative month of the quarter for each budgeted position for which FFP is claimed; documentation in support of training and travel costs; and other documents as required to support claimed expenditures. In addition, documentation of the methods to claim internal and external overhead must also be maintained.

Acceptance of allocated funds constitutes an agreement that the receiving local agency will comply with all federal and state requirements pertaining to the CHDP Program and adhere to all applicable policies and procedures set forth by the Department of Health Care Services and SCD/CMS. Periodically, the federal program responsible for oversight of state expenditures for the administrative costs in the management of the Medicaid program will conduct programmatic audits.

Finding of a federal audit exception and subsequent liability for repayment of federal Medicaid funds relating to the CHDP Program audit exception are the exclusive and sole responsibility of each local program.

CHDP Program Letter No.: 17-04 Page 3 July 7, 2017

If you have any questions regarding the administrative aspects of the CHDP budget/submission, please contact the SCD Plans Team via e-mail at <u>dhcsscdadmin@dhcs.ca.gov</u>. For programmatic question related to the CHDP budget/submission, please contact Carol Hazell at (916) 323-8010 or via e-mail at <u>carol.hazell@dhcs.ca.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY PATRICIA MCCLELLAND

Patricia McClelland, Chief Systems of Care Division

Attachments

cc: Mr. Stephen, Halley, Chief Program Operations Branch Systems of Care Division 1515 K Street, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95814

> Mr. Harry Chang, Chief Southern California Regional Office Systems of Care Division 311 South Spring Street, Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90013

Carol Hazell, RN, Nurse Consultant Systems of Care Division Child Health and Disability Program 1515 K Street, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95814

| CHDP/EPSDT CASE MANAGEMENT ALLOCATION FY 2017-2018 GENERAL FUND AND FEDERAL FUND (EXCLUDES COUNTY FUNDS) April 12, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Co/ City No. | CHDP County/City | CHDP/EPSDT FY 2017-2018 BASE BUDGET (Excludes County Funds) | GENERAL FUND for FY 2017-2018 | FEDERAL FUND (Title XIX) for FY 2017-2018 | | | |
| | TOTAL | 33,962,000 | 12,115,250 | 21,846,750 | | | |
| 1 | Alameda | 995,237 | 356,482 | 638,755 | | | |
| 2 | Alpine | 56,568 | 22,138 | 34,431 | | | |
| 3 | Amador | 125,192 | 50,133 | 75,058 | | | |
| 59 | Berkeley | 272,895 | 84,043 | 188,852 | | | |
| 4 | Butte | 428,907 | | 268,742 | | | |
| 5 | Calaveras | 111,453 | 46,823 | 64,630 | | | |
| 6 | Colusa | 121,028 | 50,062 | 70,965 | | | |
| 7 | Contra Costa | 810,644 | 224,037 | 586,607 | | | |
| 8 | Del Norte | 142,570 | 48,642 | 93,928 | | | |
| 9 | El Dorado | 260,811 | 105,091 | 155,721 | | | |
| 10 | Fresno | 1,132,109 | 416,419 | 715,690 | | | |
| 11 | Glenn | 175,713 | 68,369 | 107,344 | | | |
| | Humbolt | 426,785 | | 282,736 | | | |
| 13 | Imperial | 424,368 | 160,084 | 264,283 | | | |
| 14 | Inyo | 86,589 | 31,061 | 55,528 | | | |
| 15 | Kern | 1,073,873 | 402,303 | 671,570 | | | |
| 16 | Kings | 384,680 | 143,923 | 240,757 | | | |
| | Lake | 239,610 | | 146,113 | | | |
| 18 | Lassen | 94,331 | 34,771 | 59,560 | | | |
| 62 | Long Beach | 593,893 | 230,963 | 362,929 | | | |
| 19 | Los Angeles | 5,746,845 | 1,709,068 | 4,037,778 | | | |
| | Madera | 365,485 | 142,851 | 222,634 | | | |
| 21 | Marin | 280,085 | 106,915 | 173,170 | | | |
| 22 | Mariposa | 79,881 | 28,884 | 50,997 | | | |
| 23 | Mendocino | 268,843 | 109,686 | 159,157 | | | |
| 24 | Merced | 676,580 | 242,877 | 433,703 | | | |
| 25 | Modoc | 139,310 | 48,313 | 90,997 | | | |
| 26 | Mono | 71,413 | 28,489 | 42,924 | | | |
| 27 | Monterey | 647,123 | 206,155 | 440,968 | | | |
| 28 | Napa | 179,554 | 70,432 | 109,122 | | | |
| 29 | Nevada | 173,944 | 69,508 | 104,436 | | | |
| 30 | Orange | 1,947,280 | 727,925 | 1,219,355 | | | |
| 63 | Pasadena | 331,446 | 111,163 | 220,282 | | | |

| CHDP/EPSDT CASE MANAGEMENT ALLOCATION FY 2017-2018 GENERAL FUND AND FEDERAL FUND (EXCLUDES COUNTY FUNDS) April 12, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Co/ City No. | CHDP County/City | CHDP/EPSDT FY 2017-2018 BASE BUDGET (Excludes County Funds) | GENERAL FUND for FY 2017-2018 | FEDERAL FUND (Title XIX) for FY 2017-2018 | | | |
| TOTAL | | 33,962,000 | 12,115,250 | 21,846,750 | | | |
| 31 | Placer | 313,155 | 127,543 | 185,612 | | | |
| 32 | Plumas | 192,934 | 64,387 | 128,548 | | | |
| 33 | Riverside | 1,097,440 | 445,805 | 651,635 | | | |
| 34 | Sacramento | 1,212,372 | 422,165 | 790,207 | | | |
| 35 | San Benito | 220,759 | 87,986 | 132,773 | | | |
| 36 | San Bernardino | 1,525,628 | 569,983 | 955,645 | | | |
| 37 | San Diego | 1,373,640 | 580,606 | 793,034 | | | |
| 38 | San Francisco | 700,293 | 256,154 | 444,139 | | | |
| 39 | San Joaquin | 869,271 | 278,991 | 590,280 | | | |
| 40 | San Luis Obispo | 328,663 | 126,999 | 201,663 | | | |
| 41 | San Mateo | 585,099 | 198,824 | 386,275 | | | |
| 42 | Santa Barbara | 598,275 | 246,860 | 351,415 | | | |
| 43 | Santa Clara | 1,073,444 | 338,654 | 734,790 | | | |
| 44 | Santa Cruz | 378,915 | 141,389 | 237,525 | | | |
| 45 | Shasta | 331,405 | 125,486 | 205,918 | | | |
| 46 | Sierra | 85,441 | 28,146 | 57,296 | | | |
| 47 | Siskiyou | 92,453 | 34,954 | 57,498 | | | |
| 48 | Solano | 393,541 | 141,484 | 252,057 | | | |
| 49 | Sonoma | 476,022 | 179,796 | 296,227 | | | |
| 50 | Stanislaus | 705,660 | 255,699 | 449,962 | | | |
| 51 | Sutter | 226,973 | 105,986 | 120,986 | | | |
| 52 | Tehama | 207,043 | 89,440 | 117,603 | | | |
| 53 | Trinity | 93,676 | 38,432 | 55,245 | | | |
| 54 | Tulare | 637,961 | 237,940 | 400,022 | | | |
| 55 | Tuolumne | 185,365 | 68,150 | 117,215 | | | |
| 56 | Ventura | 808,085 | 303,401 | 504,683 | | | |
| 57 | Yolo | 269,195 | 102,298 | 166,897 | | | |
| 58 | Yuba | 114,247 | 42,371 | 71,876 | | | |
| TOTAL 33,962,000 12,115,250 21,846, | | | | | | | |

* Numbers may not add exactly due to rounding.