

# Bylaws

## Western Region Town Hall

### “WRTH”

#### A Municipal Advisory Council

#### For Upper Lake, Nice, Blue Lakes and region, Lake County

#### **Article 1: Name and Purpose      Section 1: Name**

The name of the organization shall be the Western Region (of District 3) Town Hall and can be called WRTH. It is a Municipal Advisory Council hereinafter referred to as WRTH. The elected members for WRTH will hereinafter be referred to as the **Council**.

#### **Section 2: Purpose**

The Purpose of WRTH is to increase the participation of the residents from Upper Lake, Nice, and Blue Lake’s community growth boundaries in the decision-making processes that affect their area. The Council will serve as official local community representatives to work with the District 3 Supervisor or other agencies as needed to provide citizen input, direction and guidance on issues related to the unincorporated area of the county as defined by a map of the community growth boundary developed for this purpose that accompanied the Board of Supervisor’s Resolution forming the entity. These issues include, but are not limited to, matters of public health, safety, welfare, public works, planning, quality of life and land use.

#### **Article 2: Conduct of Proceedings**

All proceedings shall be conducted in accordance with Rosenberg’s Rules of Order, except where those rules conflict with these bylaws.

### **Article 3: Membership**

All WRTH meetings are open to the public and there are no membership requirements for those wishing to participate. However, in order to take part in any action taken by WRTH, persons must reside within the boundaries as defined by the map developed that includes the community growth boundary as established and recorded by the county. No participation by proxy is permitted.

### **Article 4—Determination of Governing Council and – Terms of office – Duties**

#### **Section 1: WRTH Council area of representation**

WRTH Council Applicants shall be 2 members from Upper Lake and north to Lake Pillsbury, 1 from Blue Lakes and surrounding area, one member from Nice and 3 member at large as recommended by the District 3 Supervisor or submitted from the general population. All applications shall come to the Board of Supervisors for confirmation. Each applicant shall qualify as a registered voter in Lake County, has attended two meetings in the prior year, and reside within the boundaries as defined in the WRTH forming Resolution and map.

#### **Section 2: Terms of Office- Staggered Odd and Even Years**

The Members at Large are limited to two year appointment cycles and responsible for their application to the Board of Supervisors.

Each member can be reappointed to a new term upon approval of application to the Board of Supervisors.

#### **Section 3: Appointments to the Council**

If less than 7 applicants apply to the Board of Supervisors to represent Lucerne, the elected council may ask for a nominations sub-committee formed from those members present to develop a list of applicants. Those nominated shall attend the next two meetings, at which time by majority vote of those community members present decide who takes an available seat for Council. No more than one family member may be

elected or appointed to serve on the Council at the same time. The member shall serve until a qualified application is confirmed by the Board of Supervisors.

#### **Section 4: Board Structure and Officer Duties**

The Council shall consist of a Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson, Records Keeper and 4 other members. The Committee will hold a roll call election for these posts from its members immediately following the confirmation by the Board of Supervisors or as needed to fill an empty position. The Vice Chairperson shall rotate at each meeting between the Members and be the member that hosts the meeting.

The duties of the officers are as follows:

**Chairperson:** Shall preside at all meetings and shall be responsible for conducting all meetings in an orderly manner. The Chairperson or his/her designee shall decide all questions of order raised by any member. The Chairperson shall set the agenda for each meeting based on submission of agenda items from any Council member. At the request of the District 3 Supervisor the chair shall represent WRTH to the Board of Supervisors or other entities. The Chairperson shall also perform other duties as requested by a quorum vote of the Council.

**Vice-chairperson:** Shall perform all the duties and assume all the responsibilities of the chairperson when he/she is absent. The Vice Chairperson shall determine the place of meeting, develop the initial draft agenda and publically advertise the agenda according to the Brown Act. Each agenda should contain items under the consideration of the council that have not been completed. The chair will determine if there is sufficient information at each meeting to address the item.

**Records Keeper:** Shall act as records' custodian for WRTH. The Records-Keeper shall take and keep records of Council determinations and

prepare a summary written account of each meeting to be presented at the subsequent meeting for acceptance majority vote of the Council. The Records-Keeper shall keep a contact list of residents that request notice via the internet or an account on Facebook, or other popular means as needed for that purpose. "Note: If no member of the Council runs for this position, the Records Keeper Role can be selected and serve as a non-member of the Council and occupy an ex-officio, non-voting role."

**Council Members:** Shall seek to determine the opinion of as many residents as possible on items before the Council and submit those views for the record. The Council shall seek relevant technical information on agenda items, analyze the details of issues and make findings and recommendations where possible that serve to advise the Supervisor of the District and when needed the Board of Supervisors. This analytical function is to be considered an important part of building a record, prioritizing projects, correcting issues, repairing dysfunctional community qualities, sharing relevant information, and reviewing proposed District 3 projects and other agency actions.

**Projects:** If a council project is proposed for the betterment of the community a council member should agree to become the council representative that tracks the progress of the project. A champion of the project should be agreed by the majority council to take responsibility for developing the project until completion. The Champion can be a public or council member.

### **Section 5: Replacement of WRTH Member**

The members of WRTH may direct the replacement of a governing Council member for any of the following reasons:

\*Three (3) consecutive unexcused absences, or five (5) absences in a twelve (12) month period.

\*For any serious misconduct including, but not limited to, criminal conviction, use of slurs or derogatory comments, or any other conduct, whether physical, verbal or written, directed at or based upon another person's race, color, origin, sex, religion, sexual orientation, disability or age.

\*If the member no longer lives in the area for which he/she was elected.

\*Failure to accurately represent WRTH's reasoned analysis and opinions as opposed to their own.

If there is a grievance against a WRTH Council member the individual making the complaint shall notify the Chairperson/Vice Chairperson and District Supervisor in writing of the alleged offense. The Chairperson/Vice Chairperson shall notify the full Council of the complaint and outline the alleged offense in writing within 48 hours of receipt. The defending Council member has one month to respond in writing to the complaint.

Upon receipt of the written response, the Chairperson/Vice Chairperson may either appoint a sub-committee to hear the complaint or refer it to the full Council with all members in attendance for determination.

If action is to be taken to remove a WRTH Council Member, then the Member must be sent a notice by certified letter stating that they are no longer a member of the WRTH Council and notify the Lake County Board of Supervisors of the removal.

**Article 5: Quorum- voting- Meetings**

\*At least 50%+ voting members of the Council shall constitute a quorum. A quorum must be present at all meetings other than workshops.

\*No motion may be approved without an affirmative majority vote of members present.

\*All meetings of the Council shall be open to the public and shall be subject to all the requirements of the Brown Act, Government Code sections 54960 et seq.\*\*

\*Opportunities must be provided for public comment, and stated as such on the meeting agenda. Public input is to be encouraged and valued.

\*Regular monthly or quarterly meetings shall be held at a place and time as determined by the Council.

\*Notices shall be required of all meetings, and the notice/agenda shall be posted at a public board in Lakeview Market public board, the public board at the U.S. Post Office and other locations that notify the public. In addition, the email and other electronic contact list shall be noticed.

\*A special meeting may be requested by the Supervisor.

\*Notices and agendas of special meetings shall be provided at least 72 hours in advance, and posted at the same locations described above.

**Article 6: Compensation**

WRTH Council members are community volunteers and as such deserve the appreciation and gratitude of the community and will serve without other compensation of any kind. The Council or any individual member shall incur no debt or obligation of any kind on behalf of WRTH. WRTH

shall not be responsible for any liability of the Council or its individual members.

**Article 7: Amendments**

Proposed amendments to these bylaws may be made by a three-fifths majority vote of the WRTH Council, provided that a copy of the proposed amendment has been presented and publicly circulated in written form no less than one (1) meeting in advance of the time that a proposed amendment is subject to vote. The District Supervisor shall agree to the amendments proposed.

# Dave Rosenberg

## SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE



### **"Rosenberg's Rules of Order, Revised"**

*(Simple Rules of Parliamentary Procedure for the 21st Century) By  
Judge Dave Rosenberg  
(First Revision dated July 2011)*

#### **Introduction**

The rules of procedure at meetings should be simple enough for most people to understand. Unfortunately, that has not always been the case. Virtually all clubs, associations, boards, councils and bodies follow a set of rules – "Robert's Rules of Order" – which are embodied in a small, but complex, book. Virtually no one I know has actually read this book cover to cover. Worse yet, the book was written for another time, and for another purpose. If one is chairing or running a Parliament, then "Robert's Rules of Order" is a dandy and quite useful handbook for procedure in that complex setting. On the other hand, if one is running a meeting of, say, a 5member body with a few members of the public in attendance, a simplified version of the rules of parliamentary procedure is in order.

Hence, the birth of "Rosenberg's Rules of Order."

What follows is my version of the rules of parliamentary procedure, based on my 20 years of experience chairing meetings in state and local government. These rules have been simplified for the smaller bodies we chair or in which we participate, slimmed down for the 21st Century, yet retaining the basic tenets of order to which we have grown accustomed. Interestingly enough, Rosenberg's Rules has found a welcoming audience. Hundreds of cities, counties, special districts, committees, boards, commissions, neighborhood associations and private corporations and companies have adopted Rosenberg's Rules in lieu of Robert's Rules because they have found them practical, logical, simple, easy to learn, and user friendly.

This treatise on modern parliamentary procedure is built on a foundation supported by the following four pillars: (1) Rules should establish order. The first purpose of rules of parliamentary procedure is to establish a framework for the orderly conduct of meetings. (2) Rules should be clear. Simple rules lead to wider understanding and participation. Complex rules create two classes: those who understand and participate; and those who do not fully understand and do not fully participate. (3) Rules should be user friendly. That is, the rules must be simple enough that the public is invited into the body and feels that it has participated in the process. (4) Rules should enforce the will of the majority while protecting the rights of the minority. The ultimate purpose of rules of procedure is to encourage discussion and to facilitate decision-making by the body. In a democracy, majority rules. The rules must enable

the majority to express itself and fashion a result, while permitting the minority to also express itself, but not dominate, and fully participate in the process.

### **Establishing a Quorum**

The starting point for a meeting is the establishment of a quorum. A quorum is defined as the minimum number of members of the body who must be present at a meeting for business to be legally transacted. The default rule is that a quorum is one more than half the body. So, for example, in a five-member body a quorum is three. When the body has three members present, it can legally transact business. If the body has less than a quorum of members present, it cannot legally transact business. And even if the body has a quorum to begin the meeting, the body can lose the quorum during the meeting when a member departs (or even when a member leaves the dais), and when that occurs the body loses its ability to transact business until and unless a quorum is reestablished.

The default rule, identified above, however, gives way to a specific rule of the body which establishes a quorum. So, for example, the rules of a particular five-member body may indicate that a quorum is four members for that particular body. The body must follow the rules it has established for its quorum. In the absence of such a specific rule, the quorum is one more than half the members of the body.

### **The Role of the Chair**

While all members of the body should know and understand the rules of parliamentary procedure, it is the Chair of the body who is charged with applying the rules in the conduct of the meeting. The Chair should be well versed in those rules. The Chair, for all intents and purposes, makes the final ruling on the rules every time the Chair states an action. In fact, all decisions by the Chair are final unless overruled by the body itself.

Since the Chair runs the conduct of the meeting, it is usual courtesy for the Chair to play a less active role in the debate and discussion than other members of the body. This does not mean that the Chair should not participate in the debate or discussion. To the contrary, the Chair as a member of the body has the full right to participate in the debate, discussion and decisionmaking of the body. What the Chair should do, however, is strive to be the last to speak at the discussion and debate stage, and the Chair should not make or second a motion unless the Chair is convinced that no other member of the body will do so at that point in time.

### **The Basic Format for an Agenda Item Discussion**

Formal meetings normally have a written, often published agenda. Informal meetings may have only an oral or understood agenda. In either case, the meeting is governed by the agenda and the agenda constitutes the body's agreed-upon roadmap for the meeting. And each agenda item can be handled by the Chair in the following basic format:

First, the Chair should clearly announce the agenda item number and should clearly state what the agenda item subject is. The Chair should then announce the format (which follows) that will be followed in considering the agenda item.

Second, following that agenda format, the Chair should invite the appropriate person or persons to report on the item, including any recommendation that they might have. The appropriate person or persons may be the Chair, a member of the body, a staff person, or a committee chair charged with providing input on the agenda item.

Third, the Chair should ask members of the body if they have any technical questions of clarification. At this point, members of the body may ask clarifying questions to the person or persons who reported on the item, and that person or persons should be given time to respond.

Fourth, the Chair should invite public comments, or if appropriate at a formal meeting, should open the public meeting for public input. If numerous members of the public indicate a desire to speak to the subject, the Chair may limit the time of public speakers. At the conclusion of the public comments, the Chair should announce that public input has concluded (or the public hearing as the case may be is closed).

Fifth, the Chair should invite a motion. The Chair should announce the name of the member of the body who makes the motion.

Sixth, the Chair should determine if any member of the body wishes to second the motion. The Chair should announce the name of the member of the body who seconds the motion. (It is normally good practice for a motion to require a second before proceeding with it, to ensure that it is not just one member of the body who is interested in a particular approach. However, a second is not an absolute requirement, and the Chair can proceed with consideration and vote on a motion even when there is no second. This is a matter left to the discretion of the Chair.)

Seventh, if the motion is made and seconded, the Chair should make sure everyone understands the motion. This is done in one of three ways: (1) The Chair can ask the maker of the motion to repeat it. (2) The Chair can repeat the motion. (3) The Chair can ask the secretary or the clerk of the body to repeat the motion.

Eighth, the Chair should now invite discussion of the motion by the body. If there is no desired discussion, or after the discussion has ended, the Chair should announce that the body will vote on the motion. If there has been no discussion or very brief discussion, then the vote on the motion should proceed immediately and there is no need to repeat the motion. If there has been substantial discussion, then it is normally best to make sure everyone understands the motion by repeating it.

Ninth, the Chair takes a vote. Simply asking for the "ayes", and then asking for the "nays" normally does this. If members of the body do not vote, then they "abstain". Unless the rules of the body provide otherwise (or unless a super-majority is required as delineated later in these rules) then a simple majority (as defined in law or the rules of the body as delineated later in these rules) determines whether the motion passes or is defeated.

Tenth, the Chair should announce the result of the vote and should announce what action (if any) the body has taken. In announcing the result, the Chair should indicate the names of the members of the body, if any, who voted in the minority on the motion. This announcement might take the following form: "The motion passes by a vote of 3-2, with Smith and Jones dissenting. We have passed the motion requiring 10 days notice for all future meetings of this body."

### **Motions in General**

Motions are the vehicles for decision-making by a body. It is usually best to have a motion before the body prior to commencing discussion of an agenda item. This helps the body focus.

Motions are made in a simple two-step process. First, the Chair should recognize the member of the body. Second, the member of the body makes a motion by preceding the member's desired approach with the words: "I move . . . ." So, a typical motion might be: "I move that we give 10-day's notice in the future for all our meetings."

The Chair usually initiates the motion by either (1) Inviting the members of the body to make a motion. "A motion at this time would be in order." (2) Suggesting a motion to the members of the body. "A motion would be in order that we give 10-day's notice in the future for all our meetings." (3) Making the motion. As noted, the Chair has every right as a member of the body to make a motion, but should normally do so only if the Chair wishes to make a motion on an item but is convinced that no other member of the body is willing to step forward to do so at a particular time.

### **The Three Basic Motions**

There are three motions that are the most common and recur often at meetings:

The basic motion. The basic motion is the one that puts forward a decision for the body's consideration. A basic motion might be: "I move that we create a 5-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser."

The motion to amend. If a member wants to change a basic motion that is before the body, they would move to amend it. A motion to amend might be: "I move that we amend the

motion to have a 10-member committee." A motion to amend takes the basic motion which is before the body and seeks to change it in some way.

The substitute motion. If a member wants to completely do away with the basic motion that is before the body, and put a new motion before the body, they would move a substitute motion. A substitute motion might be: "I move a substitute motion that we cancel the annual fundraiser this year."

"Motions to amend" and "substitute motions" are often confused. But they are quite different, and their effect (if passed) is quite different. A motion to amend seeks to retain the basic motion on the floor, but modify it in some way. A substitute motion seeks to throw out the basic motion on the floor, and substitute a new and different motion for it. The decision as to whether a motion is really a "motion to amend" or a "substitute motion" is left to the chair. So that if a member makes what that member calls a "motion to amend", but the Chair determines that it is really a "substitute motion", then the Chair's designation governs.

### **Multiple Motions Before the Body**

There can be up to three motions on the floor at the same time. The Chair can reject a fourth motion until the Chair has dealt with the three that are on the floor and has resolved them. As a practical matter, more than three motions on the floor at one time tends to be too confusing and unwieldy for most everyone – so keep the maximum at three at three for the sake of clarity.

When there are two or three motions on the floor (after motions and seconds) at the same time, the vote should proceed first on the last motion that is made. So, for example, assume the first motion is a basic "motion to have a 5-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser." During the discussion of this motion, a member might make a second motion to "amend the main motion to have a 10-member committee, not a 5-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser." And perhaps, during that discussion, a member makes yet a third motion as a "substitute motion that we not have an annual fundraiser this year." The proper procedure would be as follows:

First, the Chair would deal with the third (the last) motion on the floor, the substitute motion. After discussion and debate, a vote would be taken first on the third motion. If the substitute motion passed, it would be a substitute for the basic motion and would eliminate it. The first motion would be moot, as would the second motion (which sought to amend the first motion), and the action on the agenda item would be completed on the passage by the body of the third motion (the substitute motion). No vote would be taken on the first or second motions.

Second, if the substitute motion failed, the Chair would now deal with the second (now, the last) motion on the floor, the motion to amend. The discussion and debate would focus strictly on the amendment (should the committee be 5 members or 10 members). If the motion to amend passed the Chair would now move to consider the main motion (the first motion) as amended. If the motion to amend failed the Chair would now move to consider the main motion (the first motion) in its original format, not amended.

Third, the Chair would now deal with the first motion that was placed on the floor. The original motion would either be in its original format (5-member committee), or, if amended, would be in its amended format (10-member committee). And the question on the floor for discussion and decision would be whether a committee should plan and put on the annual fundraiser.

### **To Debate or Not to Debate**

The basic rule of motions is that they are subject to discussion and debate. Accordingly, basic motions, motions to amend, and substitute motions are all eligible, each in their turn, for full discussion before and by the body. The debate can continue as long as members of the body wish to discuss an item, subject to the decision of the Chair that it is time to move on and take action.

There are exceptions to the general rule of free and open debate on motions. The exceptions all apply when there is a desire of the body to move on. The following motions are not debatable

(that is, when the following motions are made and seconded, the Chair must immediately call for a vote of the body without debate on the motion):

A motion to adjourn. This motion, if passed, requires the body to immediately adjourn to its next regularly scheduled meeting. It requires a simple majority vote.

A motion to recess. This motion, if passed, requires the body to immediately take a recess. Normally, the Chair determines the length of the recess which may be a few minutes or an hour. It requires a simple majority vote.

A motion to fix the time to adjourn. This motion, if passed, requires the body to adjourn the meeting at the specific time set in the motion. For example, the motion might be: "I move we adjourn this meeting at midnight." It requires a simple majority vote.

A motion to table. This motion, if passed, requires discussion of the agenda item to be halted and the agenda item to be placed on "hold". The motion can contain a specific time in which the item can come back to the body: "I move we table this item until our regular meeting in October." Or the motion can contain no specific time for the return of the item, in which case a motion to take the item off the table and bring it back to the body will have to be taken at a future meeting. A motion to table an item (or to bring it back to the body) requires a simple majority vote.

A motion to limit debate. The most common form of this motion is to say: "I move the previous question" or "I move the question" or "I call the question" or simply "question." (As a practical matter, when a member calls for the "question" the chair can expedite things by simply asking the body if anyone wishes to continue discussing the underlying matter. If no one wishes to discuss it further, the chair can proceed to a vote on the underlying matter – without having to vote on the "question". On the other hand, if even one member of the body wishes further discussion and debate on the underlying matter, then the chair has to treat the call for the "question" as a motion and proceed accordingly.) When a member of the body makes such a motion for the "question", the member is really saying: "I've had enough debate. Let's get on with the vote". When such a motion is made, the Chair should ask for a second, stop debate, and vote on the motion to limit debate. The motion to limit debate requires a 2/3 vote of the body. Note: that a motion to limit debate could include a time limit. For example: "I move we limit debate on this agenda item to 15 minutes." Even in this format, the motion to limit debate requires a 2/3 vote of the body. A similar motion is a motion to object to consideration of an item. This motion is not debatable, and if passed, precludes the body from even considering an item on the agenda. It also requires a 2/3 vote.

### **Majority and Super-Majority Votes**

In a democracy, a simple majority vote determines a question. A tie vote means the motion fails. So in a 7-member body, a vote of 4-3 passes the motion. A vote of 3-3 with one abstention means the motion fails. If one member is absent and the vote is 3-3, the motion still fails.

All motions require a simple majority, but there are a few exceptions. The exceptions come up when the body is taking an action which, effectively, cuts off the ability of a minority of the body to take an action or discuss an item. These extraordinary motions require a 2/3 majority (a super-majority) to pass:

Motion to limit debate. Whether a member says "I move the previous question" or "I move the question" or "I call the question" or "I move to limit debate", it all amounts to an attempt to cut off the ability of the minority to discuss an item, and it requires a 2/3 vote to pass.

Motion to close nominations. When choosing officers of the body (like the Chair) nominations are in order either from a nominating committee or from the floor of the body. A motion to close nominations effectively cuts off the right of the minority to nominate officers, and it requires a 2/3 vote to pass.

Motion to object to the consideration of a question. Normally, such a motion is unnecessary since the objectionable item can be tabled, or defeated straight up. However, when members of

a body do not even want an item on the agenda to be considered, then such a motion is in order. It is not debatable, and it requires a 2/3 vote to pass.

Motion to suspend the rules. This motion is debatable, but requires a 2/3 vote to pass. If the body has its own rules of order, conduct or procedure, this motion allows the body to suspend the rules for a particular purpose. For example, the body (a private club) might have a rule prohibiting the attendance at meetings by non-club members. A motion to suspend the rules would be in order to allow a non-club member to attend a meeting of the club on a particular date or on a particular agenda item.

### Counting Votes

The matter of counting votes starts simple, but can become complicated.

Usually, it's pretty easy to determine whether a particular motion passed or whether it was defeated. If a simple majority vote is needed to pass a motion, then one vote more than 50% of the body is required. So, for example, in a five-member body, if the vote is 3 in favor and 2 opposed, the motion passes. If it is 2 in favor and 3 opposed, the motion is defeated.

If a two-thirds majority vote is needed to pass a motion, then how many affirmative votes are required? The simple rule of thumb is to count the "no" votes and double that count to determine how many "yes" votes are needed to pass a particular motion. So, for example, in a seven-member body, if 2 members vote "no" then the "yes" vote of at least 4 members is required to achieve a two-thirds majority vote to pass the motion.

What about tie votes? In the event of a tie vote, the motion always fails since an affirmative vote is required to pass any motion. So, for example, in a five member body, if the vote is 2 in favor and 2 opposed, with 1 member absent, the motion is defeated.

Vote counting starts to become complicated when members vote "abstain" or in the case of a written ballot, cast a blank (or unreadable) ballot. Do these votes count, and if so, how does one count them? The starting point is always to check the statutes.

In California, for example, for an action of a board of supervisors to be valid and binding, the action must be approved by a majority of the board. California Government Code Section 25005. Typically, this means 3 of the 5 members of the board must vote affirmatively in favor of the action. A vote of 2 to 1 would not be sufficient. A vote of 3 to 0 with two abstentions would, be sufficient. In general law cities in California, as another example, resolutions or orders for the payment of money and all ordinances require a recorded vote of the total members of the city council. California Government Code Section 36936. Cities with charters may prescribe their own vote requirements. Local elected officials are always well-advised to consult with their local agency counsel on how state law may affect the vote count.

After consulting state statutes, step number two is to check the rules of the body. If the rules of the body say that you count votes of "those present" then you treat abstentions one way. However, if the rules of the body say that you count the votes of those "present and voting" then you treat abstentions a different way. And if the rules of the body are silent on the subject, then the general rule of thumb (and default rule) is that you count all votes that are "present and voting". Accordingly, under the "present and voting" system you would NOT count abstain votes on the motion. Members who abstain are counted for purposes of determining quorum (they are "present"), but you treat the abstention votes on the motion as if they did not exist (they are not "voting"). On the other hand, if the rules of the body specifically say that you count votes of those "present" then you DO count abstain votes both in establishing the quorum and on the motion. In this event, the abstention votes act just like "no" votes.

How does this work in practice? Let's look at a few examples.

Let's assume that we have a five-member city council voting on a motion that requires a simple majority vote to pass, and let's assume further that the body has no specific rule on counting votes. Accordingly, the default rule kicks in and we count all votes of members that are "present and voting". If the vote on the motion is 3-2, the motion passes. If the motion is 2-2 with 1 abstention, the motion fails.

Let's assume we have a five-member city council voting on a motion that requires a two-thirds majority vote to pass, and let's further assume that the body has no specific rule on counting votes. Again, the default rule applies. If the vote is 3-2, the motion fails for lack of a two-thirds majority. If the vote is 4-1, the motion passes with a clear two-thirds majority. A vote of 3 "yes", 1 "no" and 1 "abstain" also results in passage of the motion. Once again, the abstention is counted only for the purpose of determining quorum, but on the actual vote on the motion, it is as if the abstention vote never existed – so an effective 3-1 vote is clearly a two-thirds majority vote.

Now, let's change the scenario slightly. Let's assume the same five-member city council voting on a motion that requires a two-thirds majority vote to pass, but let's now assume that the body DOES have a specific rule requiring a two-thirds vote of members "present". Under this specific rule, we must count the members present not only for quorum but also for the motion. In this scenario, any abstention has the same force and effect as if it were a "no" vote. Accordingly, if the vote were 3 "yes", 1 "no" and 1 "abstain", then the motion fails. The abstention in this case is treated like a "no" vote and effective vote of 3-2 is not enough to pass two-thirds majority muster.

And, how, exactly, does a member cast an "abstention" vote? Any time a member votes "abstain" or says "I abstain", that is an abstention. However, if a member votes "present" that is also treated as an abstention (the member is, essentially, saying, "count me for purposes of a quorum, but my vote on the issue is abstain"). In fact, any manifestation of intention to vote neither "yes" nor "no" on the pending motion may be treated by the chair as an abstention. And if written ballots are cast, a blank or unreadable ballot is counted as an abstention as well.

Can a member vote "absent" or "count me as absent"? Interesting question. The ruling on this is up to the chair. The better approach is for the chair to count this as if the member had left his/her chair and is actually "absent". That, of course, affects the quorum. However, the chair may also treat this as a vote to abstain, particularly if the person does not actually leave the dais.

### **The Motion to Reconsider**

There is a special and unique motion that requires a bit of explanation all by itself; the motion to reconsider. A tenet of parliamentary procedure is finality. After vigorous discussion, debate and a vote, there must be some closure to the issue. And so, after a vote is taken, the matter is deemed closed, subject only to reopening if a proper motion to consider is made and passed.

A motion to reconsider requires a majority vote to pass like other garden-variety motions, but there are two special rules that apply only to the motion to reconsider.

First, is the matter of timing. A motion to reconsider must be made at the meeting where the item was first voted upon. A motion to reconsider made at a later time is untimely. (The body, however, can always vote to suspend the rules and, by a two-thirds majority, allow a motion to reconsider to be made at another time.)

Second, a motion to reconsider may be made only by certain members of the body. Accordingly, a motion to reconsider may be made only by a member who voted in the majority on the original motion. If such a member has a change of heart, he or she may make the motion to reconsider (any other member of the body – including a member who voted in the minority on the original motion - may second the motion). If a member who voted in the minority seeks to make the motion to reconsider, it must be ruled out of order. The purpose of this rule is finality. If a member of minority could make a motion to reconsider, then the item could be brought back to the body again and again, which would defeat the purpose of finality.

If the motion to reconsider passes, then the original matter is back before the body, and a new original motion is in order. The matter may be discussed and debated as if it were on the floor for the first time.

### **Courtesy and Decorum**

The rules of order are meant to create an atmosphere where the members of the body and the members of the public can attend to business efficiently, fairly and with full participation. At the

same time, it is up to the Chair and the members of the body to maintain common courtesy and decorum. Unless the setting is very informal, it is always best for only one person at a time to have the floor, and it is always best for every speaker to be first recognized by the Chair before proceeding to speak.

The Chair should always ensure that debate and discussion of an agenda item focuses on the item and the policy in question, not the personalities of the members of the body. Debate on policy is healthy, debate on personalities is not. The Chair has the right to cut off discussion that is too personal, is too loud, or is too crude.

Debate and discussion should be focused, but free and open. In the interest of time, the Chair may, however, limit the time allotted to speakers, including members of the body.

Can a member of the body interrupt the speaker? The general rule is "no." There are, however, exceptions. A speaker may be interrupted for the following reasons:

Privilege. The proper interruption would be: "point of privilege." The Chair would then ask the interrupter to "state your point." Appropriate points of privilege relate to anything that would interfere with the normal comfort of the meeting. For example, the room may be too hot or too cold, or a blowing fan might interfere with a person's ability to hear.

Order. The proper interruption would be: "point of order." Again, the Chair would ask the interrupter to "state your point." Appropriate points of order relate to anything that would not be considered appropriate conduct of the meeting. For example, if the Chair moved on to a vote on a motion that permits debate without allowing that discussion or debate.

Appeal. If the Chair makes a ruling that a member of the body disagrees with, that member may appeal the ruling of the chair. If the motion is seconded, and after debate, if it passes by a simple majority vote, then the ruling of the Chair is deemed reversed.

Call for orders of the day. This is simply another way of saying, "Let's return to the agenda." If a member believes that the body has drifted from the agreed-upon agenda, such a call may be made. It does not require a vote, and when the Chair discovers that the agenda has not been followed, the Chair simply reminds the body to return to the agenda item properly before them. If the Chair fails to do so, the Chair's determination may be appealed.

Withdraw a motion. During debate and discussion of a motion, the maker of the motion on the floor, at any time, may interrupt a speaker to withdraw his or her motion from the floor. The motion is immediately deemed withdrawn, although the Chair may ask the person who seconded the motion if he or she wishes to make the motion, and any other member may make the motion if properly recognized.

### **Special Notes About Public Input**

The rules outlined above will help make meetings very public-friendly. But in addition, and particularly for the Chair, it is wise to remember three special rules that apply to each agenda item:

Rule One: Tell the public what the body will be doing.

Rule Two: Keep the public informed while the body is doing it.

Rule Three: When the body has acted, tell the public what the body did.

### **About the Author**

Dave Rosenberg is a Superior Court Judge He has served as Presiding Judge of his Superior Court for two terms, as well as Presiding Judge of the Superior Court Appellate Division. He has also served as Chairman of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee (composed of all 58 California Superior Court Presiding Judges) and as an advisory member of the California Judicial Council. Judge Rosenberg was first appointed to the bench by the Governor of California in 2003, and has been subsequently elected to office. Prior to his appointment to the Bench, Rosenberg served as an elected County Supervisor representing the 4th district in Yolo

County, and also served as Director of Community and Intergovernmental Relations, Director of Operations, and Senior Advisor to the Governor of California. He has served as a member and chair of numerous state, regional, and local boards, both appointed and elected. He has served as a member of the Davis City Council member for 12 years, including two terms as Mayor of Davis. He served two terms as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors. He also chaired the California State Lottery Commission, the California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board, the Yolo County Economic Development Commission, and the Yolo County Criminal Justice Cabinet. He has served as Chairman of the California Law Revision Commission and as Chairman of the District Securities Advisory Commission, the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, and as a member of the California Council on Criminal Justice Planning and the California Commission on State Mandates. For many years, he has taught classes on parliamentary procedure and has served as parliamentarian for large and small bodies.

# # # # #

[Home](#)

[Biography](#)

[News](#)

[Resume](#)

[Rosenberg's 12 Easy Steps You Can Take To Reduce Global Warming](#)

[Rosenberg's Rules of Order](#)

[Rosenberg's Rules for Life and Health](#)

[Yolo Superior Court Home Page](#)

[Archived Supervisor Site](#)

[Historical Page/County Supervisor Articles](#)