

Carolyn Purdy

From: Robert Massarelli
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2018 11:23 AM
To: Michelle Irace
Subject: FW: tree removal

FYI

Robert Massarelli, AICP
Community Development Director
Lake County Community Development Department

255 N. Forbes St.
Lakeport, Ca 95453

707-263-2221 (Office)
707-349-8004 (Cell)
Robert.Massarelli@lakecountyca.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Adelman [mailto:rob@metalogosinc.com]
Sent: Monday, March 5, 2018 7:14 AM
To: Robert Massarelli <Robert.Massarelli@lakecountyca.gov>
Subject: tree removal

Hi Bob,

On our call you told me just True Oak or Tan Oak trees were covered by the prohibition on removal, but the draft says any commercial species? Was that a drafting mistake? It kills off some of the best projects. It does not affect the Benmore Valley, but kills other great projects, like 40 Springs (where around 5 acres out of 800+ need to be cleared of small trees).

Rob

Carolyn Purdy

From: bobby skalla <bobbyskalla@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2018 3:44 PM
To: Michelle Irace
Cc: Trey Sherrell
Subject: Re: Comments for tomorrow?

Hi Michelle,

The ordinance as whole looks great. I think the last two years of work from all parties involved has been reflected in what is being put forward. Further tweaks can be addressed after the growing season. Most importantly the dialogue between the county and all stakeholders has really matured.

However we have a minor issue.

I decided to reach out to cdfa today to get clarity on the status of my license. Kelly informed me that they will not issue a Medium Outdoor license until they get further direction from Lake County.

This is turning into a major problem for our business and the development of our site. Our project cost is traveling north of \$500,000 and to not be able to engage in commerce doesn't help.

All the black market growers are starting to prep their sites and get ready for the season. While people who have spent years now working on being compliant are left in limbo.

The county really needs to have a mechanism to allow the people who have been self certified and have all supporting environmental documentation to move forward with their projects. (Which hopefully will be addressed with early activation.)

Please keep in mind that the state has already said they will limit the number of type 3 license which is 10,000 to 43,560 sqft. These licenses are being gobbled up as we speak. Majority of the Outdoor grows in the county are going to fall in this range. This puts all of us at a strategic disadvantage if we can't obtain this license type.

Just wanted to make you aware of this potential snag.

Regards,
Bob

On Mon, Mar 5, 2018 at 11:33 AM, Trey Sherrell <calcannabisconsultants@gmail.com> wrote:
Thank you for this opportunity Michelle.

Tables of Page 56 of the Draft Ordinance (Section 5: Section 27.2, Table Revisions and Section 6: Section 27.11, Table B Revisions): It is not clear (to me at least) why some of the circles are hollow and others are solid.

Definition of Cannabis Indoor Cultivation: This definition is not in line with CDFA's indoor definition. Particularly pertaining to the twenty-five watts per square foot. "Indoor cultivation means the cultivation of

cannabis within a permanent structure using exclusively artificial light or within any type of structure using artificial light at a rate above twenty-five watts per square foot."

On Mon, Mar 5, 2018 at 10:54 AM, Michelle Irace <Michelle.Irace@lakecountyca.gov> wrote:

Hi Guys,

Mireya is out sick today and I'm prepping for tomorrow. Just wondering, did you have any written comments to submit for tomorrow's hearing? If you do, you can email them to me if you like. I'm here until 4 ☺

Yay for tomorrow!

Michelle Irace, Planner

County of Lake Community Development Dept.

Courthouse - [255 N. Forbes Street](#)

[Lakeport, California 95453](#)

[\(707\) 263-2221](#)

www.lakecountyca.gov



To: Trey Sherrell
Subject: RE: Comments for tomorrow?

From: Trey Sherrell [mailto:calcannabisconsultants@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, March 5, 2018 11:34 AM
To: Michelle Irace <Michelle.Irace@lakecountyca.gov>
Cc: bobby skalla <bobbyskalla@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Comments for tomorrow?

Thank you for this opportunity Michelle.

Tables of Page 56 of the Draft Ordinance (Section 5: Section 27.2, Table Revisions and Section 6: Section 27.11, Table B Revisions): It is not clear (to me at least) why some of the circles are hollow and others are solid.

Definition of Cannabis Indoor Cultivation: This definition is not in line with CDFA's indoor definition. Particularly pertaining to the twenty-five watts per square foot. "Indoor cultivation means the cultivation of cannabis within a permanent structure using exclusively artificial light or within any type of structure using artificial light at a rate above twenty-five watts per square foot."

On Mon, Mar 5, 2018 at 10:54 AM, Michelle Irace <Michelle.Irace@lakecountyca.gov> wrote:

Hi Guys,

Mireya is out sick today and I'm prepping for tomorrow. Just wondering, did you have any written comments to submit for tomorrow's hearing? If you do, you can email them to me if you like. I'm here until 4 ☺

Michelle Irace, Planner

County of Lake Community Development Dept.

Courthouse - [255 N. Forbes Street](#)

[Lakeport, California 95453](#)

[\(707\) 263-2221](#)

www.lakecountyca.gov



Carolyn Purdy

From: Mary Draper <mary.draper55@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2018 11:35 AM
To: Michelle Irace; Mireya Turner
Subject: Re: new ordinance clarifications

This is not a question for the board but a question to those who wrote the ordinance as to what their meaning is. Comments to the board will depend on the answer to the question.

Does the ordinance allow a greenhouse to use more than 25 watts of power per square foot if on more than 20 acres and all other aspects of the 22,000 sq foot greenhouse is approved by county?

Thank you,
Mary Draper

On Monday, March 5, 2018 10:47 AM, Michelle Irace <Michelle.Irace@lakecountycal.gov> wrote:

Hi Mary,
All good questions. In light of tomorrow's hearing, comments should be addressed to the Board. I can forward your emails on to them as is, or you may re-work them into one letter addressed to them and send it to me. Let me know what you prefer. I'm here until 4pm today to receive emails.

Michelle

From: Mary Draper [mailto:mary.draper55@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 4, 2018 9:24 PM
To: Michelle Irace <Michelle.Irace@lakecountycal.gov>; Mireya Turner <Mireya.Turner@lakecountycal.gov>
Subject: new ordinance clarifications

Hi Michelle & Mireya,

Sorry for writing to you both but I'm not 100% sure whom I should send this to.

Some of us have gotten together and gone through the new ordinance and are asking for some clarification, please.

First major question below: Can a greenhouse pay a tax of indoor and use more than 25 watts per sq ft? We have looked at the following pages to come up with what we think the answer is.

A greenhouse can be a tier 1 or tier 2 not having more than 25 watts per sq ft max for tier 2 pg 17 line 33

A greenhouse is defined page 5 line 9 "constructed out of glass, 6ml film, polycarbonate, or other rigid translucent material"

Indoor defined page 5 starting line 19 "fully enclosed...constructed of solid materials that cannot easily be broken through, such as standard 2" x 4" or thicker studs overlain with 3/8" plywood or equivalent materials."

Page 15 line 23 Indoor commercial cultivation defined "or **within any type of structure** using artificial light at a rate above 25 watts per square foot"

Our reading of the above we interpret as; allowing a greenhouse as defined on page 5 to be taxed as indoor cultivation and allows the energy usage as defined on page 40 starting on line 30. Is this correct? A 22,000 sq ft greenhouse that is legal on all other aspects of the ordinance would be allowed to use 50 watts per square foot and would then be considered indoor and taxed as indoor, as long as energy usage page 40 line 30 is followed.

Please explain page 8 line 10.

Second question

From what we are reading my understanding is a lot merger is no longer required. page 18 line 18 Contiguous parcels under the same control can hold up to 4 licenses if all requirements are met. page 27 line 28

Thank you for your help
Mary Draper