AMENDMENT ONE TO AGREEMENT FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR REPLACEMENT OF COOPER CREEK BRIDGE AT WITTER SPRINGS ROAD (14C-0119) IN LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

	THIS AME	NDMENT	ONE TO	O AGREE	MENT is m	ade this	day of	
							"COUNTY",	
Engine	ering, Inc.,	hereinaft	er referre	d to as "C	ONSULTAN	IT".		

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, COUNTY and CONSULTANT have entered into an AGREEMENT dated February 28, 2017 to provide preliminary and final design, environmental services, right of way, bidding and construction assistance services in order to replace the Cooper Creek Bridge at Witter Springs Road (14C-0119); and

WHEREAS, CONSULTANT desires to amend their budget for work on various tasks; and

WHEREAS, Article XV, Section A, "MODIFICATION", of said AGREEMENT allows that matters concerning scope of services which affect the agreed price may only be modified by written amendment thereto, executed by both parties; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY AND CONSULTANT now desire to amend said Agreement to complete the necessary work.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto agree as follows:

- 1. ARTICLE I, "SCOPE OF SERVICES", SECTION "A" is modified to read as follows:
 - A. CONSULTANT shall perform the services described in Exhibit "A" and hereby modified by Exhibit "C", attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference hereinafter called Scope of Work. In the event of a conflict between this Agreement and Exhibit "A", the provisions of this Agreement shall control.
- 2. "Compensation" under ARTICLE VI, "COMPENSATION AND TERMS OF PAYMENT" is modified to read as follows:
 - C. <u>Compensation</u>: The method of payment for this contract will be based on Actual Cost-Plus-Fixed Fee. COUNTY will reimburse CONSULTANT for actual costs (including labor costs, employee benefits, travel, equipment rental costs, overhead and other direct costs) incurred by CONSULTANT in performance of the work set forth in Exhibit "B" as hereby modified by Exhibit "C". Direct Costs for Subconsultants will be billed as actual costs. No payment will be made prior to approval of any work, nor for any work performed prior to approval of this Agreement.

CONSULTANT will not be reimbursed for actual costs that exceed the estimated wage rates, employee benefits, travel, equipment rental, overhead, and other estimated costs set forth in the approved CONSULTANT'S Cost Proposal, unless additional reimbursement is provided for by contract amendment. In no event, will CONSULTANT be reimbursed for overhead costs at a rate that exceeds COUNTY's approved overhead rate set forth in the Cost Proposal. In the event, that COUNTY determines that a change to the work from that specified in the Cost Proposal and contract is required, the contract time or actual costs reimbursable by COUNTY shall be adjusted by contract amendment to accommodate the changed work.

For all services CONSULTANT shall be paid in accordance with the budget set forth in Exhibit "B" as hereby modified by Exhibit "C", provided however that the total payments to CONSULTANT shall not exceed \$337,578.74 without prior written authorization by COUNTY and formal Amendment to this Agreement.

In addition to the allowable incurred costs, COUNTY will pay CONSULTANT a fixed fee of \$23,865.05. The fixed fee is nonadjustable for the term of the contract, except in the event of a significant change in the scope of work and such adjustment is made by contract amendment.

Reimbursement for transportation and subsistence costs shall not exceed the rates specified in the approved Cost Proposal.

Except as specifically modified herein, all other terms and conditions of the AGREEMENT dated February 28, 2017 shall remain in full force and effect.

COUNTY and CONSULTANT have executed this Amendment One to Agreement on the day and year first written above.

COUNTY OF LAKE:	Quincy Engineering, Inc.
Chair, Board of Supervisors	John Quincy, President
ATTEST:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
CAROL J. HUCHINGSON Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	ANITA L. GRANT County Counsel
By:	By: Dt ROP

EXHIBIT "C"

AMENDMENT ONE TO AGREEMENT FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR

REPLACEMENT OF COOPER CREEK BRIDGE AT WITTER SPRINGS ROAD (14C-0119)

IN LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA



Fred Pezeshk, Project Manager County of Lake Department of Public Works 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

October 9, 2018

Re:

Professional Engineering & Project Delivery Services for

Witter Springs Road at Cooper Creek Bridge Replacement Project Federal Project No. BRLO-5914(078) Amendment 1 Request

Dear Mr. Pezeshk:

Based on our previous discussions on this project, we are requesting a contract amendment to address additional effort that is needed to satisfy NEPA. Below is a summary of the additional budget being requested for each task. Also, based on current information, we believe that an Incidental Take Permit for Clear Lake Hitch will not be required. Since we had included budget for this task (Optional Task 6.1.A), we are proposing to move the budget from that task to cover the cost of the additional work in Task 4.2.

Summary by Task	Additional Amount Requested
Task 2.1 - Project Management	\$1,930.11
Task 4.1 Project Description and APE Map	\$1,290.31
Task 4.2 NEPA/CEQA Technical Studies	\$7,298.97
Total Additional Work	\$10,519.39
Budget Reallocation (Remove Optional Task 6.1.A - ITP)	- \$2,105.42
Total Amendment Request	\$8,413.97

Attached is a cost proposal and scope of work (including a detailed explanation of the reason for the amendment).

If you have any questions or comments on this proposed amendment, please call me at (916) 368-9181.

Sincerely,

Quincy Engineering, Inc.

mal I. The

Mark L. Reno, P.E.

Project Manager

Attachments

cc: File

SCOPE OF WORK

Cooper Creek Bridge at Witter Springs Road (14C0119) BRLO-5914(078)

This *Detailed Scope of Work* is an amendment to the original contract scope of work approved on February 28, 2017.

This amendment is based on the following changes and discovery that have occurred requiring the scope of work to be modified:

- APE boundary changed based on design information developed under Task 3.5 of the original contract scope of work. The contract scope of work and contract budget excluded revisions to the APE map and Project Description.
- After consulting with Caltrans, it was determined that the new APE limits would require
 - a supplemental ASR and HPSR;
 - A Farmland Impact Memorandum because of the anticipated right of way impacts to prime and unique farmland; and
 - o A minor revision to the draft Delineation of Waters of the US.
- Caltrans performed a field review on September 10, 2018. Based on their field review, Caltrans
 requested that an amendment to the original approved NES be prepared to address 1) updated
 sensitive species list (USFWS, CDFW and CNPS) and 2) correct the mis-identified oak woodland
 habitat.

Quincy has included effort for its staff to review the reports and provide comments.

TASK 2 – PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Task 2.1 - Project Management

Additional project management budget is anticipated due to subconsultant coordination and to update the various project management tracking tools such as the tracking document for submittals, accounting database, and project schedule.

TASK 4 - ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

Task 4.1 - Project Description and APE Map

The contract scope of work and contract budget excluded revisions to the APE map and Project Description. After preliminary design information was developed, it was determined that the previously approved APE did not capture the full area of direct impacts. Additional right of way, temporary construction easements and ground disturbance may occur outside the approved APE. This amendment includes one

Task 4.1 Deliverables

✓ Revised APE Map

✓ Revised Project Description



revision to the APE map to include the additional areas of impact. The revised APE map will be prepared by Quincy.

Quincy will prepare additional language for the project description as needed to include the extent of the impacts for the shoulder width alternatives being considered.

Task 4.2 – NEPA/CEQA Technical Studies (Gallaway)

The original contract scope of work was based on technical studies (HSPR/ASR, NES, and BA) being complete. Gallaway was included on our team as part of the consultant selection process to address any changes that may require updates or revisions to these technical studies.

Archeological Study Report (ASR) and Historic Property Survey Report (HPSR)

To address the changes to the APE map, supplements to the ASR and HPSR are required. Quincy will supply the new Caltrans approved Area of Potential (APE) Impact map. In-house staff at Gallaway Enterprises will conduct a field study of the expanded APE, and will draft a supplemental report to the Caltrans approved ASR/HSPR. Native American consultations are excluded from this scope of work. One review of the supplemental ASR and HPSR by the County and Caltrans is included.

The delineation of Waters of the US (WOTUS) project boundary must be the same as the project boundary in the ASR/HSPR, therefore Gallaway will revise the delineation report and graphics to be consistent with the new APE boundary.

Farmland Memo

The revised APE for the project includes both prime and unique farmland (as designated by NRCS) and either permanent or temporary right of way will occur triggering the need to address impacts to farmland. Working with Quincy the County and Caltrans, Gallaway Enterprises will review right-of-way limits, construction easements, aerial photography and staging locations to delineate impacts to farmlands and farmland resources. Impacts will be determined using the FMMP GIS database in relation to project drawings supplied by Quincy. The information will be summarized in a draft Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Corridor Type Projects (Form NRCS-CPA-106) and supplied to Caltrans for use in their consultation with NRCS. There are several portions of the NRCS-CPA-106 form that are required to be completed by NRCS and/or the federal agency.

NES

Gallaway Enterprises will prepare an amendment to the NES prepared by Northwest Biosurvey. Caltrans conducted a field visit and review of biological resources utilizing CDFW and USFWS databases in September 2018. Since completion of the original biological study, one (1) new ESA species has been

added to the USFWS species list that will need to be addressed in the NES. In the NES amendment Gallaway Enterprises will provide updated species lists (i.e. USFWS, CDFW, and CNPS) and add Burke's Goldfields (Lasthenia burkei) to the species table with an effect determination. Gallaway Enterprises will also include the results of a bat habitat assessment and presence/absence survey conducted by Caltrans. Lastly, Gallaway Enterprises will reflect a change in habitat types onsite from the misidentified Oregon white oak to the correct valley oak habitat and the State Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 17 Oak Woodlands Conservation Act will be referenced.

Task 4.2 Deliverables

- ✓ ASR and HPSR Supplements (Draft and Final)
- Revised Final Draft Delineation of WOTUS
- ✓ Draft NRCS-CPA-106 form
- ✓ Farmland Impact Map
- ✓ Results Summary Letter
- ✓ NES Amendment and Updated Species list



Task 6.1 A – Incidental Take Permit (Gallaway)

The original contract scope of work included an optional task for an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) for Clear Lake Hitch. Based on recent experience, it is anticipated that if in water work is conducted between June 15 and October 15 of any year, that an ITP will not be required. It is a reasonable assumption that construction can occur between these two dates, therefore it is not likely that this optional task will be needed. The planned budget for this optional task was \$1711. This optional task budget will be moved to Task 4.2 to cover a portion of the cost of the additional work described above.

Task Budget Reallocation Amendment #1

	6.1.A	4.2	4.1	2.1		Task #	
Total Amendment	OPTIONAL - Clear Lake Hitch Incidental Take Permit	NEPA/CEQA Technical Studies	Project Description and APE Map	Project Management		Task # Task Description	
	\$394.00	\$1,575,00	\$0.00	\$10,265.00	Budget		Project Name: Co
	- \$394.00	\$394.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Reallocation	Quincy Eng	oper Creek Bridg
\$4,183.99		\$963.57	\$1,290.31	\$1,930.11	Amendment	Quincy Engineering, Inc.	e Project (14C011
	\$0.00	\$2,932.57	\$1,290.31	\$12,195.11	Revised Budget		Project Name: Cooper Creek Bridge Project (14C0119) - Amendment #1
	\$1,711.42	\$12,957.34	\$0.00	\$0.00	Budget		
	- \$1,711.42	\$1,711.42	\$0.00	\$0.00	Reallocation	Gallaway	
\$4,229.98		\$4,229.98	\$0.00	\$0.00	Amendment	Gallaway Enterprises	
	\$0.00	\$18,898.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	Revised Budget		
\$8,413.97	J.	-	_		-		

Cost Proposal

	Project Number: L01-824 BRLO 5714(078)	Project N	ame: Coop	er Creek at	Witter Sprin	ngs Road																					
	TASKS	Principal Eng.	Senior PM	Senior Eng.	Assist Eng. 1	Survey Mgr	Survey Tech	Assoc Eng.	Assoc Eng.	CAD Manager	SAD Tech	Assist Eng. I	ntern	Apprentice **		0	dmin Asst	Quincy Total Hours	Quincy Total Labor Dollars	Quincy Labor	Quincy Profit	Quincy NLF Budget	Gallaway		181		Subconsultant Subtotal
				- 02		- 0,	1 0					_ q		٩	-	- 0	- Q			Labor+OH		Actual Labor					
No.	Initial Hourly Rate	MR	CD \$78.00	SMc \$66.90	CB \$32,40	JT \$62.00	AD \$42.00	RK \$48.50	AM \$52.10	BM \$47,10	PK \$27.40	Sbo	Sbe	App	blank	blank	PJ		Direct Labor	Multiplier	Fee Multiplier	Multiplier					
140.	Amendment 1		\$75.00	\$00,90	\$32,4U	\$62,00	\$42,00	346.50	\$52,10	\$47,10	\$27.40	\$29.20	\$17.00	\$32.00	\$0.00	\$0,00	\$38.50			2.548	0%	2.548					
								T	ľ	T T					I					2.040	070	2,0-40					
2.1	Project Management	2	- 6														3	11	\$758	\$1,930	\$0.00	\$1,930.11					\$ -
4.1	Project Description and APE Map		4		6													10	\$506	\$1,290	\$0.00	\$1,290.31					\$ -
4.2	NEPA/CEQA Technical Studies	•	6		2													8	\$533	\$1,358	\$0.00	\$1,357.57	\$ 5,834.40				\$ 5,834.
																		0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0					\$ -
																		,	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0					\$ -
																			i i								Ψ
																		0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0					\$ -
								-										0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0					\$ -
							-											0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0					\$ -
																		0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0					\$
																		0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0					s s
																			\$0		¥0.00	T					\$ -
	Subtotal- Hours	2	16	0	8	(0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	3	29			\$0.00	\$4,677.99					\$
_	Estimated Salary Increases for Multi-Yea Other Direct Costs	ar Project					-											1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00						
	Total Cost	£17 <i>A</i>	\$1,248	\$0	\$259	S(50	50	50	so	\$0	\$0	\$0	S	\$0	\$0	\$116	\$1,797	\$1,797	\$4,578	\$0	\$0.00	\$ 107.00 \$ 5,941.40	\$ -	\$ -	S -	\$ 107 \$ 5,941



Local Assistance Proc	edure	es Manual							IIBIT 10-H Proposal
				1 Cost Propo					
				Fixed Fee C	ontracts				
		Prime Consultant		L	Subconsu	ltant			
Consultant	Qu	incy Engineering	, Inc.					191	
Project Name	Co	oper Creek at Witter	Springs R	oad					
Project No.:	L01	1-824 BRLO 5714(07	7 C	ontract No.	L01-824		Date	11	0/9/2018
DIRECT LABOR				_		_			
						T			
Classification/Title		Name	Initials	Range	Hours	T	nitial		Total
						Hou	rly Rate		
Principal Eng.	*	Mark Reno	MR	\$70-\$105	2	\$	87,00	\$	174.00
Senior PM	*	Carolyn Davis	CD	\$55-\$95	16	\$	78,00	\$	1,248.00
Senior Eng.	*	Scott McCauley	SMc	\$49-\$79	0	\$	66.90	\$	
Assist Eng. I		Chris Brazil	СВ	\$26-\$46	8	\$	32.40	\$	259.20
Survey Mgr		Jim Thornton	JT	\$40-\$67	0	\$	62,00	\$	
Survey Tech		Alfonso Dabu	AD	\$29-\$43	0	\$	42.00	\$	- 2
Assoc Eng.		Ryan Kotey	RK	\$32-\$63	0	\$	48.50	\$	
Assoc Eng.		Andrew Mitchell	AM	\$32-\$63	0	\$	52,10	\$	
CAD Manager		Bob Maechler	BM	\$34-\$56	0	\$	47.10	\$	
CAD Tech Assist Eng. I	-	Patrick Kenney Salim Bouzid	PK Sbo	\$22-\$37	0	\$	27.40	\$	
Intern		Steffen Berr	Sbe	\$26-\$46 \$16-\$25	0	\$		\$	-
Apprentice **		Apprentice	App	\$32-\$52	0	+	17.00	\$	95
Application	-	Apprentice	blank	φ32-φ32	0	\$	32.00	\$	_ <u>-</u>
	_		blank		0	\$		\$	
Admin Asst	=	Phyllis Jordan	PJ	\$12-\$55	3	\$	38.50	\$	115.50
714/11/17/1001	_	1 Hyms bordan	10	₩12-₩33	29		30.30	\$	1,796,70
INDIRECT COST d) Fringe Benefits (Fi f) Overhead (Rate: h) General Administr	tate: 116.9	9%):	e) Tota	l Fringe Benef g) Overhe i) Gen & Adn	ead [(c) x (f)]	\$	\$680,95 2,100,34 \$0,00		
FIXED FEE	Guoi	, (1.d.c. 0.070).	j) ⁻	TOTAL INDIR					\$2,781.2
Fixed Fee (0,0%	6):			i) TOTAL	. FIXED FEE	[(c) -	+ (j)] x (k)	_	\$0.0
		HER DIRECT COST							
Travel (@ active IRS Pier Diem/ Hotel	milee	age rate)		miles @	\$0.545		\$0.00		
Delivery			0	days @ @	\$150,00 \$20,00		\$0.00 \$0.00		
Vendor Reproduc	tion		· ·	•	Ψ20.00		\$0.00		
		Vellum		@		\$0.0	0		
	8	1/2 X 11 Reproduction		@		\$0.0	0		
14		11 X 17 Reproduction		@		\$0.0			
		ards for Presentations anslation and printing)		@		\$0.0			
TTC#FSICILO		ubtotal Vendor Rep		<u> </u>	-	\$0,0	\$0.00	•	
Title Report	_		0	@	\$0.00		\$0.00		
Miscellaneous				Ü			\$0.00		
			m) TOTAI	OTHER DIR	ECT COSTS		\$0.00		\$0.0
\ allbac									
i) SUBCONSULTAR			cost proposal	for each subcons					
		Gallaway 0			\$5,941,40				
		0			\$0.00 \$0.00				
		0			\$0.00				
		0			\$0.00				
		0			\$0.00				
		0			\$0.00				
		0			\$0.00				
		0			\$0.00	22.			
					\$5,941.40		-		\$5,941.41
NOTES:		o) TC	TAL COS	T [(c) + (j) + (l) + (m) + (n)]		\$10,	519.	39

NOTES:

- NOTES:

 1. Key personnel marked with an asterisk (*).

 2. Employees subject to prevailing wage marked with two asterisks (**).

 3. Anticipated salary increases N/A for this amendment, all amendment work complete by 2/2019

 4. Note: Invoices will be based upon actual QEI hourly rates plus overhead at 154.8% plus prorated portion of fixed fee. Subconsultant and Direct Costs will be billed at actual cost. The overhead rate (ICR) shall remain fixed for the contract duration or until both parties agree to modify the rate in writing. to modify the rate in writing.

EXHIBIT 10-H1 COST PROPOSAL

PAGE 2 OF 2

ACTUAL COST-PLUS-FIXED FEE CONTRACTS (CALCULATIONS FOR ANTICIPATED SALARY INCREASES)

Consultant Quincy Engineering, Inc. Contract No. L01-824 Date 10/9/2018

Cooper Creek at Witter Springs Road

1. Calculate Average Hourly Rate for 1st year of the contract (Direct Labor Subtotal divided by total hours)

Direct I	abor Subtotal	Total Hours		Avg Hourly	5 Year Contract
per C	ost Proposal	per Cost Proposal		Rate	Duration
\$	1,796.70	29	=	\$61.96	Year 1 Avg Hourly Rate

2. Calculate hourly rate for all years (Increase the Average Hourly Rate for a year by proposed escalation %)

	Proposed	Escalation =	0.0%			
	Avg Hourly Rate		Proposed Escalation			
Year 1	\$61.96	+	0.0%	=	\$61.96	Year 1 Avg Hourly Rate
Year 2	\$61.96	+	0.0%	222	\$61.96	Year 2 Avg Hourly Rate
Year 3	\$61.96	+	0.0%	=	\$61.96	Year 3 Avg Hourly Rate
Year 4	\$61.96	+	0.0%	***	\$61.96	Year 4 Avg Hourly Rate
Year 5	\$61.96	+	0.0%	=	\$61.96	Year 5 Avg Hourly Rate

3. Calculate estimated hours per year (Multiply estimate % each year by total hours)

	Estimated % Completed Each Year	г	Total Hours per Cost Proposal		Total Hours per Year	
Year 1	100.00%	* /	29.0	=	29.0	Estimated Hours Year 1
Year 2	0.00%		29.0	=	0.0	Estimated Hours Year 2
Year 3	0.00%	*	29.0	=	0.0	Estimated Hours Year 3
Year 4	0.00%	*	29.0	=	0.0	Estimated Hours Year 4
Year 5	0.00%	**	29.0	=	0.0	Estimated Hours Year 5
Total	100%		Total	=	29.0	

4. Calculate Total Costs including Escalation (Multiply Average Hourly Rate by the number of hours)

	Avg Hourly Rate (calculated above)		Estimated hours (calculated above)		Cost per Year	
Year 1	\$61.96	*	29	=	\$1,796.70	Estimated Hours Year 1
Year 2	\$61.96	*	0	=	\$0.00	Estimated Hours Year 2
Year 3	\$61.96	*	0	=	\$0.00	Estimated Hours Year 3
Year 4	\$61.96	*	0	=	\$0.00	Estimated Hours Year 4
Year 5	\$61.96	*	0	=	\$0.00	Estimated Hours Year 5
	Total Direct	Labor Cost	with Escalation	=	\$1,796.70	
	Direct Labo	r Subtotal be	fore Escalation	=	\$1,796.70	
	Estimated total of I	Direct Labor	Salary Increase	=	\$0.00	Transfer to Page 1

NOTES:

This assumes that an average of one half year will be worked at the rate on the cost proposal.

EXHIBIT 10-H1 COST PROPOSAL Page 3 of 3

Certification of Direct Costs:

I, the undersigned, certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that all direct costs identified on the cost proposal(s) in this contract are actual, reasonable, allowable, and allocable to the contract in accordance with the contract terms and the following requirements:

- 1. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)
- 2. Terms and conditions of the contract

Prime Consultant or Subconsultant Certifying:

- 3. Title 23 United States Code Section 112 Letting of Contracts
- 4. 48 Code of Federal Regulations Part 31 Contract Cost Principles and Procedures
- 23 Code of Federal Regulations Part 172 Procurement, Management, and Administration of Engineering and Design Related Service
- 6. 48 Code of Federal Regulations Part 9904 Cost Accounting Standards Board (when applicable)

All costs must be applied consistently and fairly to all contracts. All documentation of compliance must be retained in the project files and be in compliance with applicable federal and state requirements. Costs that are noncompliant with the federal and state requirements are not eligible for reimbursement. Local governments are responsible for applying only cognizant agency approved or Caltrans accepted Indirect Cost Rate(s).

Name: John S. Quincy	Title *: President
Signature: Joh Soun	Date of Certification (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/17/18
Email: johnq@quincyeng.com	Phone Number: 916-368-9181
Address: 11017 Cobblerock Drive, R	
*An individual executive or financial officer of no lower than a Vice President or a Chief Finan the financial information utilized to establish th List services the consultant is providing under the propo	. ,
Project Management, engineering design for i	roadway and bridge, PS&E,

COST PROPOSAL

Consultant	Gallaway Enterprises, Inc.				
Project	Cooper Creek at Witter Springs Rd			Date	8-Oct-18
DIRECT LABOR Classification	Name	Hours	Actual Hourly Rate	Total	
Project Manager/Sr. Biologist* Project Manager/Sr. Planner* Biologist GIS Analyst 1/Archaeologist Administrator/Clerical	Jody Gallaway Kevin Sevier Melissa Murphy Cate Davis Ganna Kleppe	0.0 @ 14.0 @ 16.0 @ 46.0 @ 7.0 @	\$ 45.00 \$ 28.00 \$ 26.00	\$ 0.00 \$ 630.00 \$ 448.00 \$ 1,196.00 \$ 126.00	
LABOR COSTS	Total Direc		ect Labor Costs Salary Increases	\$\$2,400.00 \$0.00	\$ 2,400.00
INDIRECT COSTS Fringe Benefits			Rate 34.00%	Total \$816.00	
Overhead/General and Administrative	9		87.00% Total Indirect Costs	\$\$2,088.00	\$\$
FIXED FEE @ 10%					\$530.40_
CONSULTANTS DIRECT COST Description Mileage (200@.535/mi)		Quantity 200	Unit Unit Cost 1 \$ 0.535	Total \$ 107.00	
SUBCONSULTANTS COSTS			Total Direct Costs	\$ \$0.00	\$ 107.00
			Total Subconsultant	\$	\$ 0,00
TOTAL COSTS		Total other Dir	rect Costs Including Sub	oconsultants	\$ 107.00 \$ 5,941.40

^{1.} Key personal must be marked with an asterisk (*) and employees that are subject to prevailing wage requirements must be marked with two asterisks (**). All costs must comply with the Federal cost principles. Subconsultatns will provide their own cost proposals.

²⁾ The cost proposal format shall not be amended. Indirect cost rates shall be updated on an annual basis in accordance with the consultants's annual accounting period and estbalished by a cognizant agency or accepted by Caltrans.

³⁾ Anticipated salary increases calculation (page 2) must accompany.

10-H1 Cost Proposal: Anticipated Salary Increases (page 2 of 3)

1. Calculate Average Hourly Raye for 1st year of the contract (Direct Labor Subtotal divided by total hours)

Direct Labor Subtotal	Total Hours per	Avg Hourly	4 Year Contract
per Cost Proposal	Cost Proposal	Rate	Duration
\$ 2,400.00	83	\$ 28.92	

2. Calculate hourly rate for all years (Increase the Average Hourly Rate for a year by proposed escalation %)

	Av	g Hourly Rate	Proposed Escalation	·		,
Year 1	\$	28.92	2%	\$	29.49	Year 2 Avg Hourly Rate
Year 2	\$	29.49	2%	\$	30.08	Year 3 Avg Hourly Rate
Year 3	\$	30.08	2%	\$	30.69	Year 4 Avg Hourly Rate

3. Calculate estimated hours per year (Multiply estimate % each year by total hours)

	Estimated % Com	pleted	Total Hours per	Total Hours
	Each Year		Cost Proposal	Per Year
Year 1	100	X	83	83 Est. Hours Year 1
Year 2	0	X	83	0 Est. Hours Year 2
Year 3	0	x	83	0 Est. Hours Year 3
Year 4	0	x	83	0 Est. Hours Year 4
Total	100%		Total	83

4. Calculate Total Costs including Escalation (Multiply Average Hourly rate by the number of hours)

	Avg Hourly Rate	e Estir	mated Hours	Co	st per Year	
Year 1	\$ 28.92	x	83	\$	2,400.00	Est. Hours Year 1
Year 2	\$ 29.49	x	0	\$	5	Est. Hours Year 2
Year 3	\$ 30.08	×	0	\$	말	Est. Hours Year 3
Year 4	\$ 30.69	×	0	\$	*	Est. Hours Year 4
	Total Direct Labor Cost with Escalation Direct Labor Subtotal before Escalation Estimated Total of Direct Labor Salary			\$ 	2,400.00	The state of the s
				\$	2,400.00	
						Transfer to page 1
			Increase	\$	0.00	

EXHIBIT 10-H1 COST PROPOSAL Page 3 of 3

Certification of Direct Costs:

l, the undersigned, certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that all direct costs identified on the cost proposal(s) in this contract are actual, reasonable, allowable, and allocable to the contract in accordance with the contract terms and the following requirements:

- 1. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)
- 2. Terms and conditions of the contract

Prime Consultant or Subconsultant Certifying:

- 3. Title 23 United States Code Section 112 Letting of Contracts
- 4. 48 Code of Federal Regulations Part 31 Contract Cost Principles and Procedures
- 23 Code of Federal Regulations Part 172 Procurement, Management, and Administration of Engineering and Design Related Service
- 6. 48 Code of Federal Regulations Part 9904 Cost Accounting Standards Board (when applicable)

All costs must be applied consistently and fairly to all contracts. All documentation of compliance must be retained in the project files and be in compliance with applicable federal and state requirements. Costs that are noncompliant with the federal and state requirements are not eligible for reimbursement. Local governments are responsible for applying only cognizant agency approved or Caltrans accepted Indirect Cost Rate(s).

Name: Kevin Sevily	Title *: VICE President	
Signature:	Date of Certification (mm/dd/yyyy):	10/8/18
Email: Kevin e gallaway enterprises. com	Phone Number: <u>5303339909</u>	
Address: 117 Meyer's St. Snite 120, Chi	100 CA 95928	
*An individual executive or financial officer of no lower than a Vice President or a Chief Finan the financial information utilized to establish the List services the consultant is providing under the propo	cial Officer, or equivalent, who has author e cost proposal for the contract.	zation at a level ity to represent
NES Amendment ASRIHPSR Memo Updated Delineation of Wol Farmland Memo		