

**From:** [Autumn Beinhauer](#)  
**To:** [Public Comment](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL]4.10 Cannabis Ordinance 3084 Amendment - 05122020  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 12, 2020 12:55:31 PM

---

Hello my name is Autumn Beinhauer, and I want to thank the board for addressing the Public Lands setback requirement. We own land in the north part of the county up Bartlett Springs Rd. where my family lost our home and everything we own, including our beautiful forest to the Ranch fire. Now, like many of our neighbors, we are left with desolate charcoaled properties with low homestead value but great Cannabis cultivation sites, with gentle ridge tops, plentiful clean water, and lots of sunshine. There is just one problem, the public land setback that was added to the ordinance in 2019 excludes most all of the properties in region. And let me point out and emphasize, there was NO public land setback requirement in the original 2018 ordinance, it only mentioned you cannot "grow within public lands". Somehow it was added into the new condensed version passed in 2019 when permitting was finally re-opened with the changes made to the water board permit requirement date. At that time, we thought we were finally eligible and personally went through the whole process, even got approved by Planning at our pre app appointment last fall to move forward with our application, only to find out months later when we were intending to submit, that a 1,000 ft public lands setback had been added to the ordinance without so much as a mention to us. To further add to the confusion, the old language from the 2018 ordinance adopted into article 27 was still posted on the county website as recently as a fews ago. I also couldn't find any board meeting video footage where this 1000' change was even discussed. Anyway, we are requesting there be no public land setback requirement, just the standard 100' property line setback. But in the spirit of resolving this quickly, 200' also seems fairly reasonable. Thank you for your time.

I also wanted to urge my support for removing the plant number restriction from Type 1C outdoor as discussed in last weeks meeting. No one should be told how to grow their cannabis and we already have canopy limitations. Thank you.