

From: JASON KRAUSS

To Our Elected Leaders,

I am reaching out to you regarding the proposed cannabis grow and self-distribution application at 16750 Herrington Road. This application and related permits must be denied. The applicant produced an IS report with several technical inadequacies, misleading and/or untrue statements, and has already **committed felonious crimes** at the listed location [Existing police reports are on file/public information act request to follow re: board of supervisor emails; Mr. Porter emails; correspondence regarding local large scale soil suppliers]. The applicant is an "out of state" business with little to no presence in Lake County; and no regard for the law and our current water crisis which is most likely going to go from bad to worse.

I am part of a growing coalition of concerned county residents questioning the board of supervisors' motives for approving such projects. I (we) are not contesting the legality of growing cannabis-that has been decided by voters and upheld by CA legislative measures. We are questioning, with a keen eye; the motives, for the intentional and/or neglectful approval of projects such as this.

Nowhere in California do responsible governing bodies allow commercial projects of this scale in "residential/rural residential" neighborhoods. The immediate adjoining subdivision is home to in-excess of twenty-three children; many of which use the roadway to travel, walk, and ride bikes. Most voting Californians would agree; there is a distinct difference between commercial and residential. This project is clearly an example of a commercial project unlawfully intruding on residents' quality of life.

Below are a few bullet points in opposition to this project.

Thank you in advance for your careful consideration.

- The applicant incorrectly states the area is sparsely populated (the projects is surrounded by residential communities on all sides)-odors will inevitably make their way to all of the surrounding neighborhoods.
- The proposed 6' fence will not provide adequate privacy screening and will degrade the existing visual character of the area (this property is known as the "green barn" property because it has a green barn that is visible from several adjacent properties and roadways). Additionally, the 6' fence will not prevent light from the greenhouses being visible from several nearby properties.
- Applicant indicates that each greenhouse will have an "air and odor" filtration system and then incorrectly assumes this will be a "less than significant impact." The applicant does not take the time to address CFM's required to mitigate the significant volume of odor from this many greenhouses; nor does the applicant identify how many hours a day these filters will run and at what decibel levels.

- Applicant does not acknowledge the regularity of PSPS events and the negative impacts of generators constantly running in a primarily residential area.
- Applicant should be required to produce engineered grading plans
- Hydrologic Analysis should be required which demonstrates that the developed post-project runoff rate will not exceed the existing pre-project runoff rate.
- The well pump test is outdated. A current WAA must be completed and the applicant needs to correct the anticipated water usage-which will be well north of 1 million gallons, not the stated 700 thousand gallons (+)
- Applicant states there will not need to be increased police protection, yet applicant anticipates needing surveillance cameras.
- A traffic analysis should be required. The intersection of Tinilyn road and Jacelyn has no traffic control devices and was not designed to handle the increased traffic of commercial vehicles this project will bring. There are no sidewalks in this area, as it was designed/approved by county as intended residential. Foot traffic is required to use the roadway. The applicant entirely neglects this major impact to quality of life.
- **The applicant has already shown blatant disregard for the law and our local ordinances. The applicant engaged in serious felony activity by being in possession of stolen construction equipment on the property and has violated several local building ordinances-requiring both law enforcement and code enforcement respond to the property.**

In closing, this commercial project is off to a highly questionable start, resulting in negative impacts to area residents and government services. The applicant should not be rewarded with project approval and/or expanded use.